| Cas | | t 1393 Filed 11/03/21 Page 1 of 209 Pageid#: 1 23702 | | | | | | | | |
|-----|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Sines, et al. v. Kessler, et al., 3:17CV72, 11/03/2021 | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA CHARLOTTESVILLE DIVISION | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | CHARLOTTESVILLE DIVISION | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | ************* | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | | NOVEMBER 3, 2021, 8:59 AM | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Plaintiffs, | JURY TRIAL, DAY 8 | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | vs. | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | | Before: HONORABLE NORMAN K. MOON | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | Ţ | UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | , , | WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | Defendants. | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | ************* | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | APPEARANCES: | | | | | | | | | |
| 13 | | ALAN LEVINE, ESQUIRE | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | | 1114 Avenue of the Americas, 46th | | | | | | | | |
| 15 | 1 | Floor New York, NY 10036 212.479.6260 | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | , | DAVID E. MILLS, ESQUIRE | | | | | | | | |
| 17 | | COOLEY LLP | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | 3 | 1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Suite 700 Washington, DC 20004 | | | | | | | | |
| 19 | | 202.842.7800 | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 22 | Court Reporter: Lisa M. Bla | | | | | | | | | |
| 23 | Charlottesv: | in Street, Suite 304 ille, Virginia 22902 | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | 434.296.928 | 4 | | | | | | | | |
| 25 | PROCEEDINGS RECORDED BY MECHATRANSCRIPT PRODUCED BY COMPU | | | | | | | | | |

22

23

24

25

JAMES E. KOLENICH, ESQUIRE

9435 Waterstone Blvd., Suite 140

Kolenich Law Office

513.444.2150

Cincinnati, OH 45249

| Cas | te 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1393 Filed 11/03/21 23705 | Page 4 of 209 Pageid#: |
|-----|--|------------------------|
| | | |
| 1 | INDEX OF WITNESSES | |
| 2 | WITNESSES ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF: | PAGE |
| 3 | MATTHEW HEIMBACH | |
| 4 | Cross-Examination by Mr. ReBrook Cross-Examination by Mr. Jones | 14 16 |
| 5 | Cross-Examination by Mr. Contes Cross-Examination by Mr. Cantwell Redirect Examination by Ms. Dunn | 40 |
| 6 | Recross-Examination by Mr. Cantwell Recross-Examination by Mr. Smith | 108 110 |
| 7 | DIANE D'COSTA | 110 |
| 8 | | 112 |
| 9 | Direct Examination by Mr. Levine Cross-Examination by Mr. Cantwell | 126 |
| 10 | DEBORAH LIPSTADT, PH.D. | |
| 11 | Direct Examination by Ms. Kaplan Cross-Examination by Mr. Cantwell | 143 182 |
| 12 | Cross-Examination by Mr. Campbell Redirect Examination by Ms. Kaplan | 188 |
| 13 | ELLIOT KLINE (appearing by video) | 206 |
| 14 | (appearing 2, viaco, | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| | | |

INDEX OF EXHIBITS

| 2 | EXHIBITS | ON | BEHALF | OF | THE | PLAINT | IFF: | | | |
|----|----------|-----|--------|----|-----|--------|------|-----|------|-------|
| 3 | | EXI | HIBIT: | | | | Mark | ed | Rece | eived |
| 4 | | 270 | 02 | | | | | 27 | | 35 |
| 5 | | 272 | 22 | | | | | 37 | | 38 |
| 6 | | 252 | 23 | | | | | 84 | | 84 |
| 7 | | 384 | 40 | | | | | 91 | | 92 |
| 8 | | 313 | 37 | | | | | 93 | | 93 |
| 9 | | 347 | 72 | | | | | 102 | | 102 |
| 10 | | 330 | 04 | | | | | 106 | | 106 |
| 11 | | 323 | 3 | | | | | 122 | | 122 |
| 12 | | 203 | 30 | | | | | 164 | | |
| 13 | | 364 | 12 | | | | | 166 | | |
| 14 | | 207 | 71 | | | | | 206 | | |
| 15 | | 257 | 71 | | | | | 175 | | |
| 16 | | 323 | 3 4 | | | | | 206 | | |
| 17 | | 341 | L | | | | | 179 | | |
| 18 | | 105 | 57 | | | | | 179 | | |
| 19 | | 239 | 90 | | | | | 180 | | |
| 20 | | 197 | 7 9 | | | | | 181 | | |
| 21 | | 536 | 6 | | | | | 206 | | 206 |
| 22 | | 581 | L | | | | | 206 | | 206 |
| 23 | | 279 | 97 | | | | | 206 | | 206 |
| 24 | | 371 | 10 | | | | | 206 | | 206 |
| 25 | | 393 | 3 | | | | | 206 | | 206 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

INDEX OF EXHIBITS

2 EXHIBITS ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF:

| 2 | EXHIBITS | ON | BEHALF | OF | THE | PLAINT | IFF: | | | |
|----|----------|-----|--------|----|-----|--------|------|-----|-----|-------|
| 3 | | EXI | HIBIT: | | | | Mar | ked | Rec | eived |
| 4 | | 351 | 1 | | | | | 206 | | 206 |
| 5 | | 502 | 2 | | | | | 206 | | 206 |
| 6 | | 369 | 93 | | | | | 206 | | 206 |
| 7 | | 370 | 05 | | | | | 206 | | 206 |
| 8 | | 445 | 5 | | | | | 206 | | 206 |
| 9 | | 363 | 3 | | | | | 206 | | 206 |
| 10 | | 396 | 6 | | | | | 206 | | 206 |
| 11 | | 370 | 0 0 | | | | | 206 | | 206 |
| 12 | | 976 | 6 | | | | | 206 | | 206 |
| 13 | | 979 | 9 | | | | | 206 | | 206 |
| 14 | | 110 | 9 | | | | | 206 | | 206 |
| 15 | | 118 | 3 4 | | | | | 206 | | 206 |
| 16 | | 348 | 3 | | | | | 206 | | 206 |
| 17 | | 349 | 9 | | | | | 206 | | 206 |
| 18 | | 426 | 6 | | | | | 206 | | 206 |
| 19 | | 448 | 3 | | | | | 206 | | 206 |
| 20 | | 112 | 28 | | | | | 206 | | 206 |
| 21 | | 376 | 66 | | | | | 206 | | 206 |
| 22 | | 542 | 2 | | | | | 206 | | 206 |
| 23 | | 506 | 6 | | | | | 206 | | 206 |
| 24 | | 399 | 9 | | | | | 206 | | 206 |
| 25 | | 375 | 5 | | | | | 206 | | 206 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1393 Filed 11/03/21 Page 7 of 209 Pageid#: 7

| 1 | TNDEX | \bigcirc F | EXHIBITS |
|---|-------|--------------|----------|
| | | | |

2 EXHIBITS ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF:

| 2 | EXHIBITS | ON BEHALF O | F THE PLAIN' | TIFF: | |
|----|----------|-------------|--------------|----------|-----|
| 3 | EXHIBIT: | | Marked | Received | |
| 4 | | 3760 | | 206 | 206 |
| 5 | | 3708 | | 206 | 206 |
| 6 | | 403 | | 206 | 206 |
| 7 | | 449 | | 206 | 206 |
| 8 | | 450 | | 206 | 206 |
| 9 | | 512 | | 206 | 206 |
| 10 | | 356 | | 206 | 206 |
| 11 | | 367 | | 206 | 206 |
| 12 | | 358 | | 206 | 206 |
| 13 | | 408 | | 206 | 206 |
| 14 | | 409 | | 206 | 206 |
| 15 | | 410 | | 206 | 206 |
| 16 | | 412 | | 206 | 206 |
| 17 | | 413 | | 206 | 206 |
| 18 | | 414 | | 206 | 206 |
| 19 | | 452 | | 206 | 206 |
| 20 | | 415 | | 206 | 206 |
| 21 | | 518 | | 206 | 206 |
| 22 | | 376 | | 206 | 206 |
| 23 | | 419 | | 206 | 206 |
| 24 | | 420 | | 206 | 206 |
| 25 | | 424 | | 206 | 206 |
| | I | | | | |

| Cas | se 3:17-cv-00 | 0072-NKM-JCH | Document 1393 23709 | Filed 11/03/21 | Page 8 of 209 | Pageid#: 8 |
|-----|---------------|--------------|------------------------|----------------|---------------|------------|
| | | | | | | |
| 1 | | | INDEX OF EX | KHIBITS | | |
| 2 | EXHIBITS | ON BEHALF OF | THE PLAINTIE | ?F: | | |
| 3 | EXHIBIT: | | Marked | Received | | |
| 4 | | 3244 | | 206 | 206 | |
| 5 | | 2738 | | 206 | 206 | |
| 6 | | 2805 | | 206 | 206 | |
| 7 | | 2810 | | 206 | 206 | |
| 8 | | 2761 | | 206 | 206 | |
| 9 | | 3234 | | 206 | 206 | |
| 10 | | 2112 | | 206 | 206 | |
| 11 | | 1991 | | 206 | 206 | |
| 12 | | 2071 | | 206 | 206 | |
| 13 | EXHIBITS | ON BEHALF OF | F THE DEFENDAN | NTS: | | |
| 14 | EXHIBIT: | | Marked | Received | | |
| 15 | | LOS 2 | | 18 | 18 | |
| 16 | | LOS 1 | | 21 | 21 | |
| 17 | | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | | |
| | I | | | | | |

```
1
    (Proceedings commenced, 8:59 a.m.)
 2
              THE COURT: Good morning. Call the case.
 3
             THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honor. This is Civil Action
   Number 3:17-cv-00072, Elizabeth Sines and others versus Jason
 4
 5
   Kessler and others.
 6
             THE COURT: Plaintiffs ready?
 7
             MS. KAPLAN: We are, Your Honor.
 8
             THE COURT: Defendants ready?
 9
             MR. KOLENICH: Yes, Your Honor.
10
             MR. SMITH:
                         Yes, Your Honor.
11
             THE COURT:
                         Before we begin, I will remind everyone
12
    that under Standing Order 2020-12 and 2013-8, the Court's
13
   prohibition against recording or broadcasting court proceedings
   remains in force. Attorneys, parties, or their staff, and any
14
15
   members of the public or press accessing this proceeding today,
16
   may not record or broadcast it. That means no photography, no
17
   using of any video or audio recording device, rebroadcasting,
18
   livestreaming, or otherwise disseminating any live, recorded
19
   video or audio of this proceeding.
20
              I have a few housekeeping remarks I'm going to make
21
   regarding some of the matters that have come up.
22
             First, if any party wishes to utilize an exhibit,
23
   theirs or opposing counsel's, on cross, please make sure you
24
   have that document or file readily accessible on your computer
```

or device. Please also provide and state on the record the

exhibit number, for example, PX-300, or the relevant Bates number, so all parties will be able to turn to the exhibit.

Second, to the extent a party wishes to utilize an exhibit not previously admitted on direct examination, I note that where discussions between the parties have taken place beforehand, on several occasions they have appeared to reduce potential areas in dispute and made cross-examinations run more smoothly.

Third, a reminder for any counsel on Zoom who will be examining a witness, or who wishes to make an objection, the clerk has been allowing you access to take your microphone off mute when you wish to ask a question or make an objection, and you can always also message the clerk, Ms. Moody, to flag something for her as well. She has been raising issues for my attention as they come in from the parties, attorneys, on Zoom. I believe that process has been going smooth, and counsel have been able to cross and raise objections where they have them.

And fourth, there have been a number of exhibits, audio or video, that have been admitted with respect to only particular portions or time stamps of the videos. Currently the whole videos are on the Box system for evidence. The clerk cannot move the whole videos to the folder for files admitted for use by the jury until the clerk gets edited portions.

In those instances, can counsel offering the limited clip of the video submit to the clerk's office the following

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
morning an edited clip, limited to the portions of the video
that were admitted into evidence? And to make sure there is no
dispute about the portions of the edited video itself, counsel
should circulate the proposed edited video to opposing counsel
to confirm their agreement that the edited video captures the
portion to be admitted before sending it to the clerk's office.
          Mr. Cantwell, IT is working on something to help you
out.
          MR. CANTWELL: Thank you.
          THE COURT: Okay. That's all I had.
          MS. KAPLAN: Two very small matters, Your Honor.
          Like Samantha Froelich's video that we showed
yesterday, we have videos ready for Defendants Hopper and
Kline. We understand that Defendant Hopper is also the subject
of the letter we submitted last night, and we'll await Your
Honor's instructions on that. But those are available as time
fillers today.
          Also, we have a 45-minute or so presentation of
documentary evidence with respect to Defendant Ray. Defendant
Ray, as Your Honor I'm sure is aware, has not participated in
any way in this case. There's been no objection to those
exhibits and we'd like to present them, read portions of
them -- put them on the screen and read portions of them to the
jury.
          THE COURT: Okay.
                             I'm not sure I follow that.
```

1 Okay. 2 MR. KOLENICH: Judge, we -- we may have some 3 objections regarding Dillon Hopper, but they can wait until later when plaintiffs try to put something in. 4 5 As far as Mr. Ray, it's true we didn't object to the exhibits themselves because Ray is an unrepresented party, but 6 7 the contents of the exhibits we haven't fully reviewed yet. So if it's all right, we could do that live while they're 9 presenting it or we could do that before they present it. I don't know if she wants to do that to start. As far as I know, 10 11 Mr. Heimbach is still being examined. So we could do that while we're in process. 12 13 MS. KAPLAN: On Ray, we sent them last week. 14 Hopefully you can look at them during Heimbach and maybe we can 15 reach agreement. 16 On Hopper, my wise colleague, Mr. Bloch, corrected 17 He's not a defendant in the case. He's not a party. He's 18 a representative of Vanguard America that is a party. 19 MR. KOLENICH: That raises a separate problem, Your 20 Honor. Vanguard America is an unrepresented corporate 21 defendant. They can't appear except through counsel. So we 22 may object to Mr. Hopper being called as a corporate witness 23 when they had no ability to designate a corporate witness, and

so forth; they have to appear through counsel. So if they have

evidence, documentary evidence or something like that, that's

24

```
1
   one thing. But calling Hopper as a witness may be
   objectionable and we may want to posit an objection at that
 2
 3
   point.
 4
             MS. KAPLAN: Again, Your Honor, this was addressed in
 5
   our letter last night. The law is very clear that when someone
 6
   testifies in a deposition, as Mr. Hopper did, as either a
 7
   30(b)(6) designee or as an official head of an entity, which he
   testified he was, that evidence, that testimony is admissible,
 8
   regardless of availability or any other reason.
10
             THE COURT: Okay. You all have the letter from last
11
   night?
12
             MR. KOLENICH: I do have the letter. I haven't
13
   reviewed it. It may well be they're correct and we would
14
   concede the point.
15
             THE COURT: We haven't completely worked that out.
16
             MR. KOLENICH: Yes, Your Honor.
17
             THE COURT: Call the jury.
18
             Where is the witness now?
19
             THE CLERK: Counsel agreed that Mr. ReBrook is going
20
   next.
21
             MR. KOLENICH:
                             Sure.
22
             THE CLERK: Mr. ReBrook will be next by phone.
23
             THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Heimbach, you may come on
24
   back.
25
    (Jury in, 9:07 a.m.)
```

Good morning, Mr. Heimbach.

Good morning, Mr. ReBrook.

23

24

I just have a few questions for you.

25 First, you mentioned that all the defendants were on the

Discord. Do you have any recollection of whether or not 2 Mr. Jeff Schoep was on the Discord? 3 I do not believe Mr. Schoep was present on the Discord, to the best of my knowledge. 4 5 There were some questions yesterday asking you about wearing of clothes that concealed blood. I wanted to ask if 6 7 the intention was to conceal the blood of other persons or the 8 blood of the wearer of the clothing? 9 MS. DUNN: Objection, leading. 10 THE COURT: I don't think the question suggests the 11 answer. It gives him an option. Overruled. 12 THE WITNESS: That would be the wearers. We had 13 gotten used to being attacked by anti-fascists with weapons over an extended period of time. So there was an understanding 14 projectiles being thrown or weapons being utilized against our 15 16 legally permitted rallies was unfortunately a norm. 17 THE COURT: I'm going to instruct the witness just to 18 answer the question. Don't do the anticipated follow-up. 19 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: He can ask you why if he wants to. 21 THE WITNESS: Yes. 22 THE COURT: But it goes much better. We take a lot 23 of time unscrambling this. 24 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

I'm going to show you what's been admitted as Plaintiffs'

While we're waiting for it to load up, I'll start with a

Exhibit 0939. I ask this to be published, please.

22

23

24

25

few questions here.

- 1 What is this image?
- 2 A That was an image taken at what was known as
- 3 Charlottesville 1.0 with attendees and speakers.
- 4 Q Are Michael Hill or Michael Tubbs pictured in this image?
- 5 A No, sir.
- 6 Q Do you know whether they attended that rally?
- 7 A They did not.
- 8 Q You also mentioned a rally in Pikeville, Kentucky?
- 9 A Yes, sir.
- 10 \mathbb{Q} Was that before the August 12th Charlottesville rally?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q Did League of the South attend that rally?
- 13 A They did.
- 14 ∥Q Do you know if Michael Hill and Michael Tubbs were there?
- 15 A Mr. Hill was there.
- 16 Q Okay.
- 17 A I believe Mr. Tubbs as well.
- 18 Q Do you know whether Antifa was there?
- 19 A Yes, numerous Antifa organizations were there.
- 20 \parallel Q And how are you able to identify somebody as being a
- 21 member of Antifa?
- 22 A Several different ways. As an example, in Pikeville, the
- 23 paramilitary Antifa militia Redneck Revolt was there. They
- 24 wear red bandannas as their signifying uniform. And generally
- 25 \parallel anti-fascists wear what they call black bloc, which is where

So how are you able to identify Antifa supporters in this

24

25

image?

- A The sign that they're holding glorifying the unprovoked attack against Mr. Spencer would be one good indicator. Also
- 4 Q And can you tell whether Antifa is standing behind 5 anything?
- 6 A Yes. They're standing behind a police barricade.
- 7 Q Were police present in Pikeville?
 - A Yes.

the red bandannas.

3

- 9 Q Did the police take any actions to keep Antifa separated 10 from the protesters in Pikeville?
- 11 A Yes. Each side had their designated space, so where we
- 12 had our permitted rally, and there was a street, and then there
- 13 were barricades that the counter-demonstrators, the Antifa,
- 14 were behind. And there was a line of, I believe, Kentucky
- 15 State Troopers that were down the middle to ensure that
- 16 everyone stayed in their designated zones.
- Q Did you attend Pikeville with other members of the
- 18 | Nationalist Front?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 \parallel Q And who were the other members of the Nationalist Front?
- 21 A At the time that would have been the Traditionalist Worker
- 22 Party, the League of the South, Vanguard America, and the
- 23 National Socialist Movement.
- 24 Q Do you know whether James Fields attended Pikeville?
- 25 A He did not.

That depicts the entrance of the League of the South and

the Traditionalist Worker Party, among other folks, attempting

23

24

25

to enter the park.

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1393 Filed 11/03/21 Page 21 of 209 Pageid#.1
                          M. Heimbach - Cross
 1
         Is this another angle of a video, similar series of events
 2
    that we saw yesterday?
 3
         Yes, sir.
         So what is currently depicted in this video?
 4
 5
              (Video playing.)
 6
         What is depicted is counter-demonstrators have blocked the
 7
   road and several of them have sticks in their hands.
              MR. JONES: Ms. Wheeler, could we publish this to the
 8
 9
   jury?
10
              THE CLERK: I do not show this exhibit has been
11
   admitted yet, Mr. Jones.
12
              MR. JONES: Your Honor, I move to admit PX3239.
13
              THE COURT: Be admitted.
              (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 3239 admitted.)
14
15
              MR. JONES: Now, I'm going to pull up a screenshot of
16
    this that I marked as Defense 1. And I'm going to move to
17
   introduce this screenshot as Defense 1 and request that it be
   published.
18
19
              THE COURT: Be admitted.
20
              (Defendant LOS Exhibit 1 marked.)
21
              (Defendant LOS Exhibit 1 admitted.)
    BY MR. JONES:
22
23
         Was this the group that you encountered as you walked down
24
   Market Street towards Emancipation Park?
25
         Yes, sir.
   Α
```

- 1 Q If you can tell, is there any way around this group of counter-protesters?
- $3 \parallel A$ No, sir.
- 4 Q Do you recognize any of the same Antifa clothing in this
- 5 group of protesters?
- 6 A Yes. Red bandannas.
- 7 \mathbb{Q} Do you know whether the color red signals a certain
- 8 | political ideology?
- 9 A Yes. As featured in the previous exhibit, one of the
- 10 signs that they held where they put red in a different color
- 11 for rednecks that were opposing us, that generally represents a
- 12 | far left political perspective.
- 13 Q Would that be communism?
- 14 A Generally, yes.
- 15 MS. DUNN: Objection. Foundation.
- 16 MR. SMITH: Your Honor, it's his personal experience.
- 17 He's speaking to his personal experience.
- 18 THE COURT: As to this witness's state of mind, it's
- 19 | not -- will not be accepted for the truth, but rather for this
- 20 gentleman's opinion and state of mind at the time.
- 21 BY MR. JONES:
- 22 Q I'm going to pull up what's been previously admitted as
- 23 Plaintiffs' Exhibit 3267.
- 24 Mr. Heimbach, can you see that?
- 25 A Yes, sir.

- 1 Q Can you see what I just circled on the screen?
- 2 A Yes, sir. It appears to be a flag of the Soviet Union.
- 3 Q What color is that flag?
- 4 A Red.

- 5 Q Is that the basis for your knowledge that red represents
- 6 the Communist Party of the Soviet Union?
 - A Among other things, yes.
- 8 Q There was some talk on Discord before the rally about
- 9 communists being at the park. Do you recall that?
- 10 A Yes, sir.
- 11 | Q What did you mean by that?
- 12 A Communists, members of Marxist organizations or
- 13 anti-fascist organizations.
- 14 MS. DUNN: Objection, Your Honor. The exhibit that
- 15 \parallel was shown was somebody else's words, and the question to the
- 16 witness was "what did you mean?"
- 17 MR. JONES: I can ask him if he anticipated
- 18 | communists being at the rally.
- 20 | objection. I'm sorry.
- 21 BY MR. JONES:
- 22 Q Mr. Heimbach, there was some Discord -- I'll withdraw the
- 23 previous question.
- 24 As I previously said, there was some talk on Discord about
- 25 | communists being at Charlottesville.

- 1 A Correct.
- 2 Q Did you anticipate communists being at the rally in
- 3 Charlottesville?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q Did you -- if you know, did you see police intervening in
- 6 Charlottesville to prevent communist protesters from blocking
- 7 the street?
- 8 A They did not intervene.
- 9 Q I'm going to pull up what's previously been introduced as
- 10 PX0707.
- On page 2 of this exhibit, at the bottom you made a
- 12 comment, "we're all doing it together"; is that right?
- 13 A Yes, sir.
- 14 $\|Q\|$ When you made that comment, had you met James Fields?
- 15 A No.
- 16 Q Had you ever spoken to James Fields?
- 17 A No.
- 18 Q Did you know whether he was a member of any organization?
- 19 A No.
- 20 $\|Q\|$ Did you know whether he would be in Charlottesville?
- 21 A No.
- 22 | Q What were you referring to when you made that comment?
- A Merely that a variety of organizations would be going as
- 24 attendees to a legally permitted rally.
- 25 **Q** Were you referencing the rally? "We're all doing it

- 1 together." Was "it" referring to the rally?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 \mathbb{Q} And when did the rally end?
- $4 \parallel A$ When the governor ordered a state of emergency.
- 5 Q And do you recall where you were when James Fields's car
- 6 attack occurred?
- 7 A I was either on the way to a rented cabin or already in a
- 8 rented cabin outside of Charlottesville.
- 9 Q You were not with James Fields at the time of the car
- 10 | attack?
- 11 A No, sir.
- 12 Q Do you know who Samantha Froelich is?
- 13 A Now I do.
- 14 Q When you say now you do, what do you mean?
- 15 A Due to her testimony earlier in the case.
- 16 \parallel Q Did you know who she was before her deposition was played
- 17 | in this case?
- 18 A I don't believe so.
- 19 Q Have you ever met her before?
- 20 \parallel A I might have seen her at the first Charlottesville event,
- 21 | but I don't believe I have ever spoken to her.
- 22 | Q Do you know whether anybody in the Traditionalist Worker
- 23 Party knows her?
- 24 MS. DUNN: Objection, Your Honor.
- 25 MR. SMITH: Well, if he knows, Your Honor.

Not at all.

24

23 Do you consider communism to be a race?

No. That would be a political ideology.

25 So a reference to plowing through a human wall of Q

```
1
   communists would be referencing politically motivated action,
 2
   not racially motivated action, correct?
 3
              MS. DUNN: Objection, leading.
 4
              THE COURT: Sustained.
 5
    BY MR. JONES:
 6
        I'm going to pull up PX0583. This was the Discord
 7
   conversation where somebody named Heinz referenced a shield
 8
   wall. Do you recall that?
 9
        Yes, sir.
        Why -- did you believe it was important to have shields?
10
11
   Did you believe it was important to have shields at
   Charlottesville?
12
13
        Yes.
        Why was that?
14
15
        Specifically due to the ongoing examples of violence by
16
   Antifa against not only ourselves, but by the summer of 2017,
17
   against even Trump supporters at rallies and normal, everyday
18
   folks that they disagreed with, even free speech events on
19
   college campuses. Antifa had a long track record of being
20
   violent against people that they wanted to shut down. So we
21
   feared that they would once again try to attack us for
22
   exercising our First Amendment rights.
23
        I'm going to pull up what's marked as Plaintiffs' Exhibit
24
   2702. I don't believe this has been previously admitted.
25
              (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2702 marked.)
```

```
1
              (Video playing.)
 2
   BY MR. JONES:
 3
         Do you recognize what this video shows?
        It looks to be on the outside of Emancipation Park.
 4
 5
              MR. JONES: And for the record, this is Exhibit 2702.
   I'm going to move to introduce just the portion starting at
 6
 7
   3:08, and going to 3:13.
 8
              MS. DUNN: Objection, Your Honor. Foundation.
                                                               The
 9
   witness did not see this himself, and he actually says this
   looks to be outside Emancipation Park.
10
11
              MR. JONES: Your Honor, this has been filed in the
12
   case and Your Honor has repeatedly held that videos of the case
   that have been filed can be admitted.
13
14
              THE COURT: What's your question concerning it?
15
              MR. JONES: Well, I'm going to play it for him.
16
   me ask the question and then I'll move to admit it.
    BY MR. JONES:
17
18
        Can you see what's displayed on the screen?
19
        Yes, sir.
20
              (Video playing.)
21
        What do you see there on the screen?
22
         It appears to be a Antifa --
23
              MS. DUNN: Your Honor, objection.
                                                 There's no
24
   foundation for this. This is not -- he has not identified
25
   himself or that he was there.
```

```
MR. JONES: Your Honor, I made a foundation objection
 1
 2
   yesterday to a video and Your Honor admitted it because it had
 3
   been filed and there had been no objections.
             THE COURT: The video is admitted. He can play it.
 4
 5
   But the video speaks for itself, and unless he knows --
 6
             MS. DUNN:
                       Your Honor --
 7
             THE COURT: I don't know how he knows -- if he can
 8
   explain why he knows something in the video, it's okay.
 9
             MR. JONES: Your Honor, this is plaintiffs' exhibit.
10
             THE COURT:
                         Okay. I know. You can play it for him.
11
   But the question is: How does he know who's throwing what?
12
             MR. JONES: I'm not asking him if he knows the
13
   person. I'm just asking --
14
             THE COURT: He doesn't have to know. But he's
15
   already said that the person was from a particular viewpoint or
16
   organization. And that's --
17
             MR. JONES: I'll ask him to explain how he knows
18
   that.
19
             THE COURT: Well, that's what you have to --
20
             MS. DUNN: Your Honor, we object. This is not an
21
   admitted exhibit, and we'd ask that the witness testify to what
22
   he personally saw --
23
             THE COURT: Has this video not been admitted?
24
             MS. DUNN:
                       No.
25
             THE COURT: Well, it's been filed. There's no --
```

1 it's authentic, right? 2 MR. JONES: It's been filed by plaintiffs, Your 3 Honor. There's no objection previously made to it. It's the same basis that videos were introduced yesterday. 4 5 MS. DUNN: We do object to this exhibit, and there is no foundation. The witness has to be testifying and provide 6 7 personal foundation. THE COURT: If you all have admitted the -- if --8 it's filed without objection. I think you filed it, right? 9 10 MR. JONES: That's correct. 11 THE COURT: Is that what you're saying, the plaintiff 12 filed it? 13 MR. JONES: That's correct. It's PX, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2702. 14 15 MS. DUNN: Your Honor, our understanding was not that 16 exhibits would come in without foundation. 17 THE COURT: You mean you have to lay a foundation in 18 every exhibit you plan -- you haven't been doing this, have 19 you? 20 MS. DUNN: Yes, Your Honor. For this --21 MR. JONES: No, Your Honor. Yesterday --22 THE COURT: Look, okay, the exhibit can be played. 23 The question is the propriety of what questions he's going to 24 be asked, because he didn't take it. So he can identify anyone 25 he knows or tell us anything about any person.

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1393 Filed 11/03/21 Page 31 of 209 Pageid#3.1
                          M. Heimbach - Cross
 1
              MR. JONES: So --
 2
              THE COURT: He doesn't have to know the person, but
 3
   if he's going to say anything about the person depicted in the
    film, he's going to have to have a foundation for his
 4
 5
   knowledge.
    BY MR. JONES:
 6
 7
         Mr. Heimbach, were you at Emancipation Park on August
   12th --
 8
 9
   Α
         I was.
10
         -- 2017?
11
         I'm sorry. Yes.
12
        And were you inside the park?
13
        At certain points, and on the outskirts at other points.
         Did you see any counter-protesters at -- in
14
15
   Charlottesville on August 12th?
16
        Yes.
   Α
17
         And generally speaking, where were the counter-protesters
18
   located?
19
        On the streets, often attempting to try to force their way
20
   into the park.
21
         I'm showing you this picture. Do you recognize this as a
22
   street outside Emancipation Park?
23
         Yes.
24
        And do you recognize the clothing worn by some of the
```

people in this picture?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There appears to be an individual holding a club with a red bandanna around his neck, and a masked individual with sunglasses in front of him. What clothing would you identify that person as far as whether they were a protester or a counter-protester? MS. DUNN: Objection, Your Honor. Your Honor said he has to identify something from his personal knowledge, not just describe what any person might describe. He's not a --THE COURT: The jury can decide -- will decide who was there. He can testify as to what he observes of his knowledge that's in the photograph. It will be up to the jury to decide ultimately what is there. Go ahead. BY MR. JONES: What's the person in the white tank top doing? Throwing a projectile. And as to your earlier question about outfits, generally anti-fascists would work to obscure their faces to avoid prosecution potentially for violent acts they committed. So it would be likely that this would be a counter-protester due to the fact he was not only wearing sunglasses but obscuring his face while committing a violent act. Which direction was he throwing the projectile, if you can tell from your personal knowledge? It appears based on the video he is throwing it at the

legally permitted Unite the Right demonstrators.

1 THE COURT: Look. Strike that. 2 MS. DUNN: Your Honor --3 THE COURT: In his answer, he may not editorialize. That's fine. That's fine. 4 MR. JONES: 5 THE COURT: I mean, go to the exhibit, if you want to put it in, but he just cannot editorialize and characterize 6 7 what's going on. The jury can see. 8 MR. JONES: Your Honor, I'm going to move to admit 9 and ask to be published to the jury Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2702 from the 3:08 mark to the 3:13 mark. 10 11 MS. DUNN: Your Honor, just procedurally, by the 12 logic here, the entire Discord server of Charlottesville 2.0 13 would come in, even if there was not a percipient witness that 14 participated in the exchanges or could testify to firsthand knowledge. And so we don't think there's foundation to this. 15 16 This individual is just describing what he thinks he sees on a 17 screen. 18 THE COURT: Well, so far I've only ruled now that it 19 can come -- that he can play the exhibit --Right. But --20 MS. DUNN: 21 THE COURT: -- with limited questions. 22 MS. DUNN: We do not think this is properly -- can be 23 properly admitted into evidence with no percipient witness and 24 no foundation. And we just want to be clear because, if this comes in with no percipient witness, no factual basis, doesn't 25

identify himself, wasn't there, then anything on the exhibit list without foundation would likewise come in. And we'd like to discuss that, if that is how we're going to proceed.

MR. JONES: I don't know. Are they contesting the authenticity of this video?

MS. DUNN: Your Honor --

MR. JONES: It's going to go on forever if every video of Charlottesville that's been filed -- I mean --

THE COURT: All right. You may play the video.

Overrule the objection, but it's not precedent to anything else. I mean, these videos, unless you've got some evidence that this is fraudulent or -- I mean, we've played parts of all these videos that were taken. I take it you -- it was at the rally.

MS. DUNN: Your Honor, that's insufficient because, without a witness to testify to firsthand knowledge of the video, there is not foundation for its admission or for the testimony. So, you know, we did show Mr. Heimbach exhibits that he participated in or where he could recognize people in the video or himself in the video, or the text or the Discord post. We were very careful about that. So I think that we're going to have a problem if both parties here are allowed to just show videos and have witnesses without firsthand knowledge talk about them and describe them and admit them. I think it will change the entire —

```
1
             MR. JONES: We're fine to play every video of every
 2
   minute of the rally --
 3
             THE COURT: Wait, wait, wait.
             MS. DUNN: I think it will change the entire
 4
 5
   character of this trial.
 6
             THE COURT: What have you got to say?
 7
             MR. JONES: I said, we're fine for every minute of
 8
    the rally to be played by video.
 9
             THE COURT: You what?
10
             MR. SMITH:
                         Agreed.
11
             MR. SPENCER: Agreed.
12
             MR. CANTWELL: Agreed.
13
             MR. JONES: We're fine for every minute of the rally,
14
   every video to be played to the jury.
15
             MR. SMITH:
                          The more the merrier, Your Honor.
16
             MR. JONES: They're the ones trying to keep out the
17
   video of the rally.
18
             MS. DUNN: Your Honor, this is posturing. It will
19
   change the entire character of this trial if every witness can
20
   testify to anything that they didn't personally see or
21
   experience. That's just -- it's just not --
22
             THE COURT: Without comment, I'm going to let you
23
   play this video.
24
             MR. SMITH: Thank you, Your Honor.
25
              (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2702 admitted.)
```

- 1 BY MR. JONES:
- 2 Q Did you -- at the rally, were you struck by any
- 3 projectiles?
- 4 A Not myself personally. I was struck in the head with a
- 5 bat or a flagpole, though.
- 6 Q Do you know through your personal knowledge, personal
- 7 Nobservations, whether any members of TWP were struck with
- 8 projectiles?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q What types of projectiles were they struck with at the
- 11 rally?
- 12 A Stones. Frozen water bottles.
- 13 Q I'm going to show you what's been admitted as Plaintiffs'
- 14 | Exhibit 0615.
- Do you recall seeing this yesterday during your testimony?
- 16 **|** A I do.
- 17 \parallel Q Plaintiffs' counsel asked you about what appears to be
- 18 | nails on that; is that right?
- 19 A Yes, sir.
- 20 Q Have you been deposed in this case?
- 21 A Yes, sir.
- 22 | Q One time or more than one time?
- 23 A Twice.
- 24 Q And approximately how long were each of those depositions?
- 25 A The entire day. So multiple hours.

Mr. Heimbach, do you recognize what's being shown to you

23

24

25

BY MR. JONES:

on the screen?

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1393 Filed 11/03/21 Page 38 of 209 Pageid#.8
                           M. Heimbach - Cross
 1
         Yes, sir.
 2
         Who is that?
 3
         Myself.
         What are you doing?
 4
 5
         Walking down the street and speaking to a reporter.
 6
              MR. JONES: Your Honor, I'm going to move to
 7
   introduce what's been marked as Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2722.
 8
              THE COURT: It will be admitted.
 9
              (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2722 admitted.)
10
              MS. DUNN: Your Honor, this is a 7-minute video.
11
   We'd just like to know which portion of the video counsel plans
12
    to introduce.
13
              MR. JONES: Go to the 4:42 mark of the video.
              THE CLERK:
14
                          I'm sorry. What was it, Mr. Jones?
15
              MR. JONES:
                          4:42 mark of the video.
16
              THE CLERK: Thank you.
    BY MR. JONES:
17
18
         Do you recognize what this is, Mr. Heimbach?
19
         Yes, sir.
20
         What is it?
21
         It is the street outside of Emancipation Park.
22
              (Video playing.)
23
         Did you see that, Mr. Heimbach?
24
         Yes, sir.
25
   Q
         What was that?
```

21 Q Did you conspire with the Virginia State Police to bring

22 shields to Charlottesville?

23 A No, sir.

24 Q What type of formation are they standing in?

25 \blacksquare A They're standing in a straight line, a shield wall.

Do you know the name of my youngest brother?

Do you know how many sisters I have?

22

23

24

25

Α

No, sir.

I do not.

1 Do you know whether or not my parents are still married? 2 MS. DUNN: Objection, Your Honor, relevance. 3 MR. SMITH: Your Honor, this goes to whether he 4 knows --5 THE COURT: Overruled. Overruled. Go ahead. 6 THE WITNESS: No. 7 BY MR. CANTWELL: 8 Describe to the jury what role, if any, I played in the 9 Traditionalist Worker Party. 10 Zero. 11 If I were a member of any other organization that 12 comprised the Nationalist Front, would you be in a position to know that? 13 14 I believe I would have a good idea. 15 Please describe for the jury what knowledge you have of my 16 position in any organization that comprised the Nationalist 17 Front. 18 None. 19 Did you describe your plans for August 12th as totally 20 separate from -- I believe you described your plan as totally 21 separate for August 12th. What was your plan totally separate 22 from? 23 Any other organizers. We were merely attendees. 24 Please describe for the jury what role, if any, I played 25 in what you described as your totally separate plan for

- 1 August 12th.
- 2 A There was no point of contact.
- 3 Q Are you, as of today, aware of a so-called leadership
- 4 meeting which occurred at McIntire Park on August 11th?
- 5 A I believe, based on documents that have been submitted, I
- 6 know that meeting happened, but I wasn't there.
- 7 $\mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ When did you become aware of that meeting?
- 8 A I believe based on evidence that was submitted in this
- 9 case, so after the fact.
- 10 Q Please describe what role, if any, you played in that
- 11 | leadership meeting on August 11th.
- 12 A Zero. I wasn't there.
- 13 Q Do you recall the SMS conversation between us which was
- 14 Plaintiffs' Exhibit 3317?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 \parallel Q And in the second message, you may recall that I say:
- 17 This is Cantwell, BTW."
- 18 To the best of your knowledge, is "BTW" some kind of Nazi
- 19 | code word?
- 20 A No. I believe it means "by the way."
- 21 | Q And that message -- do you remember the date on which that
- 22 message was sent?
- 23 A Not specifically.
- 24 \mathbb{Q} Was it close to the events in question?
- 25 A That would sound accurate.

- 1 Q And if I had not told you the text was from me, would you
- 2 have known that it was from me?
- 3 A No.
- 4 \blacksquare Q Do you recall a later message in that exchange in which I
- 5 suggested an app called Signal?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 \mathbb{Q} And did you at some point begin using that application?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q When was that?
- 10 A I believe it was after the events of August 11th and 12th.
- 11 Q And since that time, have you become familiar with the
- 12 user interface of that application?
- 13 A Somewhat.
- 14 ||Q In your experience, does Signal delete messages without
- 15 the user specifically activating that feature?
- 16 A No, I don't believe it's an auto setting.
- 17 $\|Q\|$ Do you know the name of that feature in the app?
- 18 \blacksquare A Not off the top of my head.
- 19 Q Have you and I ever used that feature?
- 20 A No.
- 21 \blacksquare Q During the month of August 2017, did you use that feature
- 22 | with any defendant in this case?
- 23 A I don't believe so.
- 24 \parallel Q Did you attend the event now commonly referred to as
- 25 Charlottesville 1.0?

A Yes.

- 2 Q Could you tell me approximately when you first became
- 3 aware of planning for that event?
- 4 \parallel A It would have been close to the event. I wasn't involved
- 5 in the planning or organizing of it. I was merely invited as
- 6 an attendee.
- 7 Q And when did the event actually transpire?
- 8 A In May of 2017.
- 9 Q May.
- 10 Was this event publicly promoted in advance?
- 11 A No.
- 12 Q Were you confronted by any sort of resistance at this
- 13 event?
- 14 A Not any organized or violent resistance.
- 15 Q Did you go looking for any?
- 16 A No, sir.
- 17 $\|Q\|$ Did any violence transpire at the C'ville 1.0 event?
- 18 A No, sir.
- 19 Q If somebody were to review promotional material, media
- 20 | that came out of the Charlottesville 1.0 event, what sort of
- 21 | impression might they have gotten about that event?
- 22 \blacksquare A That it was a positive, peaceful event focused on
- 23 | maintaining historical statues.
- 24 \mathbb{Q} What did you think about the aesthetic of the torches?
- 25 \blacksquare A I thought that it was very powerful.

25

Α

No.

- 1 Q Outside of the event, do you recall seeing any opposition?
- 2 A Yes. There were Antifa there.
- 3 Q Do you recall a police presence at the Auburn event?
- 4 A Yes.

event?

- 5 Q Are you aware of any injuries that occurred at the Auburn
- 7 A A Traditionalist Worker Party affiliate had his jaw broken 8 trying to go to his car.
- 9 Q Are you aware of any counter-protesters that were -- any opposition to the Spencer event that was injured at that event?
- 11 A I don't believe so.
- MS. DUNN: Objection, Your Honor. Form and
- 13 | foundation.
- 14 \parallel THE COURT: Well, he was asked was he aware. And so
- 15 **∥**I think -- overruled.
- 16 BY MR. CANTWELL:
- 17 \mathbb{Q} When we met at this pub afterwards, do you recall if I had
- 18 | any travel companions with me?
- 19 A I'm not sure.
- 20 Q When was the next time you and I met?
- 21 A That would have been the rally in Pikeville, Kentucky, I
- 22 believe.
- 23 \parallel Q Now, at the event in Pikeville, Kentucky, the plaintiffs
- 24 during your examination yesterday referenced the warm
- 25 introduction you gave me before the audience, which included a

favorable assessment of my character.

2 Could you please tell us, on what information did you base 3 that assessment?

- A We had a friendly conversation at Auburn and you had a very popular podcast.
- Q During your questioning yesterday you were asked about the substance of that introduction, and you said something to the effect of that I stood up for the truth and was willing to sacrifice for it.

10 What were you referencing in that?

- A Specifically being a pro-white advocate, the loss of income, the deplatforming, the threat of physical violence against you. So being willing to stand up and speak your mind, there's inherent risks and financial damages levied against you merely for saying a protected legal opinion.
- Q If I were a liar, would you have a lower opinion of me?
- 17 A I would.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

- Other than me, which other of our co-defendants in this case were present at the event in Pikeville, Kentucky?
- 20 A The League of the South and its associated leadership, the National Socialist Movement and Mr. Jeff Schoep, Matt Parrott.
- Q Please describe in as much detail as you can every interaction that you witnessed between me and Jeff Schoep.
 - A I can't recall any of them.
- 25 Q Same question for Michael Hill.

Did anybody on the other side of the street try to cross

MS. DUNN: Objection, Your Honor, foundation.

21

22

23

24

25

those barriers?

Not --

BY MR. CANTWELL:

THE COURT: Overruled. It doesn't suggest the

THE WITNESS: I don't remember everything I said

I don't think that's leading, Your Honor.

21

22

23

24

25

answer.

MR. SMITH:

THE COURT: Go ahead, sir.

- 1 about that speech, but yes, everything I said, I believe would
- 2 be accurate.
- 3 BY MR. CANTWELL:
- 4 \parallel Q To the best of your recollection, was anybody laughing
- 5 when you described those views?
- 6 A Not to my knowledge.
- 7 Q When you described those views, did you express a desire
- 8 to exterminate Jewish people?
- 9 A I don't believe I said anything like that.
- 10 Q Other times, when you did make genocidal remarks about the
- 11 Jews, if you did, did people in your company laugh?
- 12 A Generally.
- 13 Q What's your favorite Holocaust joke?
- 14 | A My favorite?
- 15 Q I'll withdraw it.
- 16 In your leadership role with the Traditionalist Worker
- 17 | Party, were you at all concerned with how the public perceived
- 18 your organization?
- 19 $\|A\|$ No. I believe we had a very strong, powerful message.
- 20 \parallel Q To clarify, did you desire to have a strong, powerful
- 21 message?
- 22 A Yes. To advocate for working families.
- 23 | Q And did you desire to be perceived in such a way?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 \parallel Q Okay. So you cared about how you were perceived?

1 Yes. 2 Okay. Could this concern be described as optics? 3 Basic political consideration, but sure. 4 Are you aware of any other political organizations or 5 movements that care about how they're perceived by the public? 6 Literally every political movement that there is. 7 Would it be a shorter list to describe movements that don't care about how they're perceived by the public? 8 9 That would be accurate. Okay. Yesterday plaintiffs' counsel asked you if the "OK" 10 11 hand symbol was -- and I'm paraphrasing -- some kind of white 12 supremacist dog whistle, and you seemed to find this line of 13 questioning amusing. Could you describe what you found so humorous about this inquiry? 14 15 Sure. Well, it all -- the usage of that symbol began as a 16 joke, I believe, on one of the so-called chan websites, the 17 idea of: What's the most outrageous thing that could be 18 presented to make the media and the organized leftist community 19 freak out and write endless articles and have all sorts of 20 opinions? And the usage of "OK" is a normal symbol that is 21 utilized by schoolchildren to old folks. So that was viewed as 22 a joke to, essentially, troll and bait the media, and they 23 absolutely fell for it. 24 You used the word -- the phrase "the chans." Now, is this

a reference to -- can you be more specific about what you mean

Yesterday you used the term "Rockwell pivot." Could you

Yes. One of the most important things to understand in

politics is, if a tree falls in the forest and no one hears it,

please describe to the jury what you mean by this?

22

23

24

1 did it really happen? So going back to the 1960s, World War II veteran commander 2 3 George Lincoln Rockwell wanted to advocate for white Americans, but realized into one was coming to listen to hear his 4 5 organizing, so he created the American Nazi Party, which he knew would be inflammatory and get the media there, and they 6 7 often would do stunts that would get media attention, at which point he would follow that up with well-reasoned, thoughtful 8 9 arguments to advocate for white Americans. So for ourselves, we refer to it as "the Rockwell pivot," 10 11 that being controversial and having jokes was a way that -that gets the media there, no controversy, no cameras, and then 12 13 we could talk about things like job programs, the opioid epidemic, housing, healthcare, and the issues we substantively 14 cared about and were fighting for. 15 16 Did you just tell us that a World War II veteran started 17 the American Nazi Party? 18 MS. DUNN: Objection, Your Honor. Objection. THE COURT: Overruled. 19 20 THE WITNESS: Yes, and a large number of his 21 membership were World War II veterans. 22 BY MR. CANTWELL: 23 Are you familiar with the phrase "agree and amplify"? 24 Yes. 25 Could you please describe for the jury your understanding Q

- of that phrase?
- 2 A Sure. Well, you constantly have to be able to politically
- 3 take a position, and then you kind of bring it up a little bit
- f 1 to then be able to promote your specific position.
- 5 Q You described the "okay" hand symbol as being a means of
- 6 trolling the media.
- 7 A Absolutely.
- 8 Q And did "agree and amplify" have anything to do with the
- 9 | media?
- 10 A Yes. Again, controversy brings cameras.
- 11 Q Mr. Heimbach, based on what you know about jails and
- 12 prisons, do you think you'd like to spend a whole lot of time
- 13 | in one?
- 14 | A No.
- 15 \parallel Q Okay. During the events in dispute, did you at any time
- 16 \blacksquare hide your face from the cameras?
- 17 \blacksquare A No. We had reporters embedded with us actively.
- 18 Q You were actively embedded with reporters?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 \parallel Q Do you -- is it your experience with the media,
- 21 Mr. Heimbach, that they're particularly sympathetic to our
- 22 | views?
- 23 A They are absolutely hostile.
- 24 Q Mr. Heimbach, if you were to commit some kind of crime in
- 25 I front of a press camera, do you think that they'd help you

- 1 cover it up?
- 2 A Not at all.
- 3 Q Okay. Have you ever heard the phrase "nationalism for all
- 4 peoples"?
- 5 A Yes, I've said it myself.
- 6 Q Could you please describe to the jury what your
- 7 understanding of that phrase is?
- 8 A It's the idea that every group of people has a right to
- 9 their own ethnic identity, their own culture, and they have a
- 10 right to their own nation. And that sentiment is in accordance
- 11 | with the United Nations international law.
- 12 Q Have you heard of Woodrow Wilson's -- is it 13 or 14
- 13 points? Do you know what I'm talking about?
- 14 A Yes, proposed after World War I.
- 15 \parallel Q Can you relate that to the "nationalism for all peoples"
- 16 phrase?
- 17 \blacksquare A Yes. At the time the American president suggested that
- 18 every group of people had a right to self-determination in
- 19 | their own respective homelands. And the empires that were
- 20 || forced on people, the Austro-Hungarian Empire, the Ottoman
- 21 | Empire, etc. were fundamentally against the sovereignty of
- 22 | individual people. So the American government supported the
- 23 lidea that every group of people should be able to control their
- 24 own destiny.
- 25 $\|Q\|$ Are you familiar with the name Marcus Garvey?

```
1
        Yes.
 2
        How about Malcolm X?
 3
        Yes.
 4
        What do these two men have in common?
 5
             MS. DUNN: Objection, Your Honor.
    BY MR. CANTWELL:
 6
 7
        What do you understand these two men to have in common?
 8
             THE COURT: Where are you going with this?
 9
             MR. CANTWELL: The Marcus Garvey and Malcolm -- I
10
   don't want to give the answer to the witness.
11
             THE COURT: What are you trying to prove?
12
             MR. CANTWELL: May we approach? I think I'd be
13
   leading the witness if I were to tell you in this way.
14
             THE COURT: Well, go ahead. Just tell me.
15
             MR. CANTWELL: Marcus Garvey and Malcolm X are black
16
   nationalists. It's my understanding that the --
17
             THE COURT: All right. You're going to show a lot of
18
   background. The plaintiff intends to show background of these
19
   pro-right organizations. If it has anything to do with the
20
   establishment of your ideology or so forth, you may proceed.
21
             MR. CANTWELL: It is an ideological question I'm
22
   asking Mr. Heimbach.
23
    BY MR. CANTWELL:
24
        So at the risk of getting repetitive, Matt, could you
25
   describe for the jury what, if anything, you -- what you
```

- 1 believe these two men have in common?
- 2 A Yes. Marcus Garvey, Malcolm X, Elijah Muhammad and
- 3 numerous other black organizers throughout our history have
- 4 supported the creation of their own nation-state run for and by
- 5 | black people.
- 6 Q Could you describe for the jury what if anything you
- 7 admire about these men?
- 8 A They were advocates for their community and they wanted to
- 9 exercise self-determination. That's why consistently myself
- 10 and the Traditionalist Worker Party has been supportive of any
- 11 ethnic group that wants to exercise self-determination.
- 12 ||Q Yesterday there was some discussion about -- and I'm
- 13 probably going to mispronounce this -- I think the term was
- 14 White Juche?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q Am I saying that right?
- 17 A Yes, sir.
- 18 Q Could you spell that, please?
- 19 A Juche is J-U-C-H-E.
- 20 Q I was so close.
- 21 Okay. Could you briefly describe what the origin of that
- 22 phrase is?
- 23 A Yes. It's a Korean phrase. The concept of Juche was
- 24 created by Kim Il-Sung, the first leader of the Democratic
- 25 People's Republic of Korea, also known as North Korea. And

it's the Korean sentiment of self-reliance, that the Korean 2 people, after facing a horrific war where the United States 3 dropped more bombs on North Korea in terms of tonnage than we dropped in the entire Pacific theater of World War II, the 4 5 nation was destroyed, and the Korean people could only truly rely on themselves to be able to rebuild their nation and have 7 self-determination. 8 Matt, you and I -- have you and I discussed North Korea before? 9 I don't -- I'm not sure. 10 11 Based on what you know about my political views, do you think I'm a big fan of North Korea? 13 No, sir. Α 14 Okay. North Korea is governed by the Communist Party; is that right? 15 16 Correct. 17 Okay. But you admire this regime in some way, do I 18 understand? 19 Yes. I believe that the government of the Democratic 20 People's Republic of Korea advocates for Korean solutions to 21 their specific economic and geopolitical interests. 22 Yesterday we heard Ms. Froelich testify that the alt-right 23 used the terms "Jews," "communists" and "Antifa" 24 interchangeably. Is it your understanding that North Korea is

25

a Jewish country?

1 No. It is a Korean country, much like China, which has 2 over 1 billion card-carrying Communist Party members, is a 3 Chinese country. Yesterday did I hear you correctly when you said -- did 4 5 you say that white supremacy was some sort of TWP entry requirement? 6 7 We were actively opposed to white supremacy in all forms. 8 Could you please try to -- could you help alleviate some confusion that might arise when -- between, I suppose -- well, 9 I think you can understand how people might get confused. Can 10 you try to help us out? 11 12 Sure. Well, as I look at it, your ethnic community is 13 your extended family. So I have two sons. I'm a single father 14 right now. And I care about my sons' future. And that doesn't mean that I hate my neighbors or I think my kids are better 15 16 than anyone else's kids, but as a nationalist, I have a primary 17 responsibility to my family, which would include my ethnic 18 extended family. It's not about thinking we're better. It's 19 just about duties and responsibilities and kinship. 20 Were you at some point a member of the National Socialist 21 Movement? 22 Very briefly. 23 Suffice it to say you are not still a member of the National Socialist Movement, then?

25

Α

I resigned.

1 Okay. When did that relationship end? 2 2018. 3 MS. DUNN: Objection, Your Honor. I believe there was a motion on this issue. 4 5 THE COURT: Refresh my recollection about it. 6 MS. DUNN: Give me -- Court's indulgence, just one 7 moment, Your Honor. I need to refresh my own recollection. 8 MR. CANTWELL: Judge, for what it's worth, I think I 9 know where plaintiffs' counsel's confusion stems from and I'd 10 be happy to inform them. 11 MS. DUNN: Maybe, Your Honor, we could have a quick 12 sidebar. 13 THE COURT: Excuse me? MR. SMITH: Maybe we could have a quick sidebar, Your 14 15 Honor. 16 MS. DUNN: Maybe, Your Honor, we could have a quick 17 sidebar to work this through. I thought there was a motion on 18 this topic. 19 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Come up. 20 (Sidebar commenced.) 21 MS. DUNN: I'm going to ask my colleague, 22 Mr. Isaacson, to speak to this because he worked on the motion 23 that I think is relevant to this issue. But I think the 24 general issue is testimony relevant to conversion ex post. And 25 I know that this issue has arisen with regard to Mr. Schoep.

1 Mr. Isaacson is more up to speed on whether there's been a 2 ruling, but I think we should bring the Court up to speed. 3 MR. ISAACSON: You'll remember, Your Honor, that you granted a motion in limine with regard to Mr. Schoep's witness 4 5 and Mr. Schoep, who wanted to talk about what happened in 2018. THE COURT: The witness couldn't speak to Mr. Schoep. 6 7 I think that's the main thing. MR. ISAACSON: Well, you also --8 9 THE COURT: And I said it makes no difference if 10 somebody has changed their opinion. 11 MR. ISAACSON: Yes, exactly. Exactly. Now the 12 questions are beginning to go to 2018 and afterwards, and 13 that's why Ms. Dunn wanted to know where this was going, 14 because it was after the events of Unite the Right. 15 THE COURT: All right. 16 MR. CANTWELL: I didn't want to interrupt but I can 17 save us some trouble. This is not where I'm going with it. Oh, okay. 18 MS. DUNN: 19 MR. CANTWELL: Mr. Heimbach parted ways with the NSM 20 on unfavorable terms and he was called a communist on his way 21 out. It's been stated that "Jews" and "communists" are 22 interchangeable terms, and so I'm trying to establish that that 23 is actually not the case in our culture. That's what I'm 24 trying to establish. I'm not going to try to talk about 25 anti-radicalization programming.

A No. That comes from the rap community.

Q Okay. Is it your understanding that Commander Thomas was

24 black?

23

25 \blacksquare A No. He was a white man.

- Q Were you trying to insult him by calling him a nigger?
- 2 A No. It was a joke.

- 3 Q Within the alt-right subculture was it unusual for those
- 4 immersed in this sort of social orbit to hurl derogatory racial
- 5 epithets at their white friends in contexts that might seem
- 6 bizarre to the uninitiated?
- 7 A That would be accurate.
- 8 Q Yesterday we saw several Discord conversations between you
- 9 and people you could not identify by their real name. Was it
- 10 at all unusual for you to talk with unidentified
- 11 pseudononymous social media accounts online?
- 12 A No. Due to the nature of the threat of Antifa violence or
- 13 losing your job, losing your home by folks who would be doxed,
- 14 \parallel it was common for people to try and protect their identities.
- 15 \parallel Q And when you interacted with such individuals, were you
- 16 | conscious of the possibility that they might be infiltrators
- 17 who meant to do you and your associates harm?
- 18 **A** Yes.
- 19 $\|Q\|$ Did you have any concern that they might be working for
- 20 the government?
- 21 A There absolutely is that concern.
- 22 MS. DUNN: Objection, Your Honor. The question was
- 23 \parallel leading and I don't think the answer was permissible.
- MR. CANTWELL: I'll move on.
- 25 THE COURT: All right. Strike the question and

- 1 answer. Go ahead.
- 2 BY MR. CANTWELL:
- 3 Q In Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2871, we saw a wired microphone
- 4 hanging from your shirt. Could you please tell us the purpose
- 5 of that microphone?
- 6 A Yes. I had been doing an interview with a news crew.
- 7 | That was one of the plaintiffs' exhibit from earlier in the
- 8 day. And they had miked me up as is common when you do
- 9 | interviews.
- 10 Q Have you ever communicated with me using a walkie-talkie?
- 11 A No.
- 12 Q Yesterday when plaintiffs' counsel asked you about your
- 13 use of radio communications, you said, "not that I recall."
- 14 Are you saying now that you don't recall or that you never
- 15 did speak to me using a walkie-talkie?
- 16 ∥A I don't believe I've ever spoken to you using a
- 17 | walkie-talkie.
- 18 Q In any of your dealings as a leader of the Traditionalist
- 19 ₩Worker Party or anything connected to this event or any
- 20 | activities as an activist for political and social change, have
- 21 you knowingly acted at the behest of any government, foreign or
- 22 domestic?
- 23 A No.
- 24 Q You mentioned earlier that you had an entirely separate
- 25 plan for August 12th from Jason Kessler. But in the lead-up to

- 1 the events at the heart of this dispute, were you more involved
- 2 | in the planning of the events?
- 3 A In regards to the overall event?
- 4 Q On the Charlottesville 2.0 Discord server, were you a
- 5 member of the leadership discussion channel?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 \mathbb{Q} Could you please describe to the jury my involvement with
- 8 | that channel?
- 9 A I don't even believe you were in it or participated.
- 10 Q Did you have administrative or moderation privileges on
- 11 the Charlottesville 2.0 Discord server?
- 12 A I don't recall.
- 13 Q To the best of your knowledge, did I?
- 14 \blacksquare A To the best of my knowledge, no.
- 15 Q Yesterday there was some mention of a planning meeting in
- 16 July in Tennessee. Was that -- was that for the
- 17 Charlottesville 2.0 demonstration?
- 18 A It was a local event we were holding where logistics for
- 19 carpooling was discussed about Charlottesville.
- 20 \parallel Q So there was an event in Tennessee in July that was
- 21 | separate from this event, but you discussed transportation to
- 22 | the Charlottesville 2.0 event while you were there?
- 23 A Correct.
- 24 \mathbb{Q} Okay. Could you please describe my involvement in that
- 25 | meeting?

- A You weren't there.
- 2 \blacksquare Q During the planning of Unite the Right, were you aware of
- 3 any deliberations or discussions about whether or not to invite
- 4 me to speak?

- 5 A I don't believe I was involved in any of those
- 6 discussions.
- 7 Q Yesterday the plaintiffs showed us some phone records
- 8 between you and Jason Kessler with the implication that you had
- 9 | not --
- 10 MS. DUNN: Your Honor, objection to this question,
- 11 which appears argumentative and characterizes the implication
- 12 that plaintiffs were trying to make.
- 13 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 14 MR. CANTWELL: I'll withdraw it.
- 15 THE COURT: Okay.
- 16 BY MR. CANTWELL:
- 17 $\|Q\|$ Mr. Heimbach, at any point during the course of this
- 18 litigation have you falsely denied communicating with me?
- 19 A No.
- 20 Q In Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1827 you were quoted as saying "the
- 21 | enemy is threatening to kill us." Could you please elaborate
- 22 on that?
- 23 A Yes. Antifa organizers have very often attempted to
- 24 actually kill us by bringing weapons and attacking us. So
- 25 | there was a very real fear that Antifa were going to once again

```
1
   try to kill us.
 2
        In Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2268 you tweeted that it would be
 3
   better to hit the gas than be dragged out of your car and
   beaten to death on November 14th, 2016. And was there a
 5
   specific such incident you were thinking of when you tweeted
   that?
 6
 7
        Yes. Specifically I was thinking about the Reginald Denny
   case from the LA riots where a white truck driver stopped his
 8
   vehicle and was dragged out of the vehicle and nearly murdered
 9
   in front of the entire country.
10
        November 14th, 2016 would have been right after the
11
12
   election of Donald J. Trump. Can you please describe for the
13
   jury how you perceived left-wing so-called protests in the
   lead-up to --
14
15
             MS. DUNN: Your Honor --
16
   BY MR. CANTWELL:
17
        -- and following of that election?
18
             MS. DUNN: Your Honor, objection. Relevance and
   potential --
19
20
             THE COURT: Sustained.
                         Thank you.
21
             MS. DUNN:
22
   BY MR. CANTWELL:
23
        At any of the protests surrounding the election of Donald
24
   J. Trump did you see demonstrators blocking traffic?
25
   Α
        Yes.
```

1 Do you believe that any of those drivers had reason to 2 fear for their safety? 3 Potentially. Were you aware of legislation being proposed that would 4 5 legalize or otherwise indemnify a driver who kept going when people illegally blocked traffic? 7 Yes. 8 Could that legislation fairly be described as the product of a fringe extremist in your opinion? 9 10 MS. DUNN: Objection, Your Honor. This line of 11 questioning --12 THE COURT: Sustained. 13 MS. DUNN: And move to strike. 14 THE COURT: Well, he didn't answer. Strike the 15 question and answer. BY MR. CANTWELL: 16 17 Do you recall an assault suffered by our co-defendant 18 Richard Spencer on inauguration day? 19 Yes. 20 Do you recall any viral hashtags or memes that were 21 spawned from that unprovoked assault? 22 Yes, such as #punchanazi. 23 MS. DUNN: Objection, Your Honor. There's quite a 24 lot of testifying in these questions. We're trying to let it 25 go, but Mr. Spencer can testify to this assault himself.

```
1
   Mr. Cantwell can testify to his own experiences.
 2
              (Overlapping speakers.)
 3
              THE COURT: -- if he saw this before this, August 17,
 4
   if it had some effect upon his state of mind or actions.
 5
             MR. CANTWELL: That's the direction I'm taking,
 6
   Judge.
 7
    BY MR. CANTWELL:
        In Plaintiffs' Exhibit 649 we saw mention of a bike lock.
 8
 9
   Were bike lock references something that a particular
   connotation -- that had a particular connotation within right
10
11
   wing culture at this time?
12
        Yes. Not only -- before Charlottesville there had been
13
   individuals, conservatives, Trump supporters that had been
14
   attacked by Antifa with bike locks. But even for myself it
   goes back further, during a demonstration that was permitted in
15
16
   Terre Haute, Indiana. We were attacked by Antifa from Chicago
17
   that came from out of state and attacked us with bike locks and
18
   locks in socks. They attacked us from behind. So that's not
19
   even new to 2017. That was a common Antifa tactic.
20
             THE COURT: We're going to take about a 20-minute
21
   recess now.
22
    (Jury out, 10:30 a.m.)
23
              (Recess.)
24
             THE COURT: Call the jury back.
25
    (Jury in, 10:53 a.m.)
```

1 THE COURT: You may be seated. You may proceed. 2 BY MR. CANTWELL: 3 Matt, before we went on the break we were discussing some mention of a bike lock in a Discord server. Do you remember 5 where we left off there? Yes, sir. 6 7 You described a series of events where those types of 8 seemingly innocuous tools have been turned into weapons against you and your associates --9 10 MS. DUNN: Objection, Your Honor. This is testifying 11 for Mr. Cantwell. And he will have his own opportunity. BY MR. CANTWELL: 12 13 You described your experience with bike locks. Was there an event involving a bike lock preceding the 14 15 events at the heart of this dispute which would have been known 16 outside of your social circle? 17 I don't believe known -- I'm not sure how well-known, but 18 I believe, the event you're referencing, there was perhaps --19 MS. DUNN: Your Honor, objection. The question asks 20 about knowledge outside Mr. Heimbach's own social circle. So 21 he cannot testify to that. 22 THE COURT: Sustained. 23 BY MR. CANTWELL: 24 Have you ever heard the moniker "bike lock guy"? 25 Α Yes.

1 Who is Eric Clanton? 2 An anti-fascist. 3 Do you recall seeing news stories about Eric Clanton? 4 Some. 5 MS. DUNN: Objection, Your Honor. Hearsay. 6 THE COURT: It's not hearsay. The question was had 7 he heard stories. That's not hearsay. 8 MS. DUNN: Your Honor, we also question the relevance 9 of this. It seems pretty far afield. 10 THE COURT: Well, you don't know yet. He hasn't 11 asked a question other than "do you know." 12 Go ahead. BY MR. CANTWELL: 13 Mr. Heimbach, when you were discussing bike locks in the 14 Discord server, did that have some relation to the purchase of 15 16 shields and helmets? 17 Yes, simply because Antifa were known to utilize not just 18 weapons, but tools such as bike locks as improvised weapons. 19 MS. DUNN: Objection, Your Honor. Again, we just ask 20 the Court to instruct the witness to testify to his own 21 personal knowledge and beliefs. 22 MR. SMITH: Your Honor, I believe that the witness 23 said that Trad Worker has been attacked with those very 24 weapons.

25 THE COURT: If it influences his opinion as to

- Antifa, he can testify as to whatever may have helped form his opinion.
- 3 BY MR. CANTWELL:
- Q Yesterday you made several references to "militant anti-fascists." Are you aware of a term for these groups that
- 7 A Antifa.
- 8 Q And to the best of your knowledge, is Antifa the sort of 9 thing one carries a membership card for?
- 10 **|** A No.

6

- 11 Q And in contrast, the TWP, was this something that it was
 12 possible to verify someone's membership in as a factual matter?
- 13 A Yes. We were an FEC-registered political party.
- 14 Q But for something like the alt-right or white nationalism,
 15 setting aside "no true Scotsman"-type disputes, was this
- 16 something that somebody could be kicked out of?

would be popularly understood today?

- 17 A No.
- 18 Q So did you understand Antifa to have -- different views,
 19 obviously, and tactics, but did you understand Antifa to have a
- 20 function more similarly to one of these structures than the
- 21 other?
- 22 A Yes. There was, to my understanding, no card-carrying
- 23 membership, but they existed to advance a specific political
- 24 agenda, usually through force.
- 25 \parallel Q So if some militant anti-fascist who thinks it's okay to

In anticipation of this, was that why the TWP brought

24

25

shields and helmets?

25

No, not at all.

```
1
        In Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2368 we saw a man named DeAndre
 2
   Harris get beaten by several men. To the best of your
 3
   knowledge, was that beating racially motivated?
 4
        No.
 5
             MS. DUNN: Objection, Your Honor.
 6
             THE COURT: Sustain the objection.
 7
             MS. DUNN: And we do move to strike this testimony.
 8
   This entire line of questioning is not proper.
 9
             THE COURT: All right. Yes.
10
             Disregard the question and answer.
11
    BY MR. CANTWELL:
12
        Did you hear a man shout "get the fuck out of here" in
   that video?
13
14
        Yes.
15
        Did you see anyone try to prevent him from leaving?
16
        No.
17
             MS. DUNN: Objection, Your Honor. I understand that
18
   Mr. Cantwell is a pro se defendant, but --
19
             THE COURT: The video will speak for itself in that
   regard.
20
            So --
21
             MR. CANTWELL: Your Honor, if I could just be heard
22
   on the question here. My understanding was they played this
23
   video for Mr. Heimbach and asked his opinions about the video.
24
             MR. SMITH: Several times, in fact.
25
             MR. CANTWELL: And I'm only trying to -- to
```

1 cross-examine that line of questioning. That's the goal here. MS. DUNN: Your Honor, just to be clear, what we 2 3 asked Mr. Heimbach was to identify the TWP shields in the video and his co-defendant, which he did. And our position is the 5 same. This witness should be able to testify to his own firsthand knowledge. We have given a lot of latitude here, but 7 at a certain point, this is not -- should not be evidence in this case. 8 THE COURT: Well, the video will speak for itself. I 9 mean, the witness is in no better position than the jury to say 10 11 what's on the video. BY MR. CANTWELL: 12 13 In Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1888 we saw you approaching Lee Park with the library to your right-hand side. Can you tell me 14 what, if any, of our co-defendants were with you at that time? 15 16 Dr. Hill and Michael Tubbs, and Matt Parrott was there. 17 Jeff Schoep as well. 18 Were you present for the testimony of Devin Willis? 19 Some of the cross-examination. 20 Did you hear Mr. Willis say that you could have just gone 21 around him? 22 I did hear that, yes. 23 At some point following the events at the heart of this 24 dispute, did you become aware that I got pepper-sprayed? 25 Α Yes.

```
1
        Do you know where I was pepper-sprayed, Mr. Heimbach?
 2
             MS. DUNN: Objection, Your Honor.
 3
             THE COURT: Do you know of your own knowledge where
 4
   he was?
 5
             THE WITNESS: I believe it was on Market Street.
 6
             MS. DUNN: Objection, foundation. Your Honor, the
 7
   witness did not see any of this himself.
             THE COURT: Well, I don't know.
 8
 9
             MS. DUNN: We don't know.
10
             THE COURT: Well, ask him where you were when you saw
11
   what you're testifying to.
12
             MS. DUNN: Your Honor, the question --
13
             MR. CANTWELL: I'll withdraw it. Withdrawn.
14
             THE COURT: Strike -- disregard the question and
15
   answer that's subject.
16
    BY MR. CANTWELL:
17
        Was it your understanding that you could have safely and
18
   legally walked down any street and entered the park anywhere
   you liked?
19
20
        Yes. In terms of the street on Market Street where the
21
   police -- that was the plan that we had had, that we were
22
   supposed to -- we had the right to walk down that street, yes.
23
        I'm sorry. You're saying -- let me repeat the question
24
   for you and --
25
   Α
        I apologize.
```

- 1 Q -- see if you actually understand it.
- 2 Was it your understanding that you could have safely and
- 3 | legally walked down any street and entered the park anywhere
- 4 you liked?
- 5 A Safely, no. It should have been safely.
- 6 Q Okay. You had -- did you have a plan with the
- 7 Charlottesville Police Department of where to enter the park?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Okay. And of the several entrances to the park, which did
- 10 you understand to be the safest?
- 11 A The one closest to where the police had us park.
- 12 Q And is that where we saw the clash in Plaintiffs'
- 13 Exhibit 1888?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 \parallel Q Do you understand one of the allegations in this case to
- 16 be ratifying racially motivated violence?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q The plaintiffs asked you if you said something to the
- 19 **∥**effect of "James Fields did nothing wrong." Do you recall
- 20 | that?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q Do you believe that James Fields got a fair trial in
- 23 Charlottesville?
- 24 A No.
- 25 MS. DUNN: Objection, Your Honor.

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1393 Filed 11/03/21 Page 79 of 209 Pageid#7.9
                          M. Heimbach - Cross
 1
              THE COURT: Sustained.
 2
   BY MR. CANTWELL:
 3
        When you said --
              MS. DUNN: And move to strike.
 4
 5
              I apologize, Your Honor.
 6
              THE COURT: Disregard the question and answer.
 7
   Mr. Fields pled guilty, as I understand it, in Charlottesville.
   And I don't --
 8
   BY MR. CANTWELL:
10
        When you said that James Fields did nothing wrong, did you
11
   mean that you approved of a racially motivated murder?
12
              MS. DUNN: Objection, Your Honor, objection. Leading
13
   and argumentative.
14
              THE COURT: It's leading. You can ask him what he
15
   meant by it.
    BY MR. CANTWELL:
16
17
        What did you mean when you said that James Fields did
18
   nothing wrong?
19
         I believed that, based upon the video evidence available,
   that his vehicle had been attacked and he likely feared for his
   life.
21
22
        Who is Dwayne Dixon?
23
        A member of Redneck Revolt, the Antifa paramilitary
24
   organization.
25
        Do you believe Dwayne Dixon is responsible for the death
```

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1393 Filed 11/03/21 Page 80 of 209 Pageid#.0
                          M. Heimbach - Cross
 1
   of Heather Heyer?
 2
              MS. DUNN: Objection, Your Honor.
 3
              THE COURT: Sustained.
   BY MR. CANTWELL:
 4
 5
         Have you ever posted to social media that Dwayne Dixon did
 6
   nothing wrong?
 7
              THE COURT: Sustained. Well...
 8
              MS. DUNN: Thank you, Your Honor.
    BY MR. CANTWELL:
 9
10
         Before we wrap this up, let's spend a little time talking
11
   about national socialism and Adolf Hitler, shall we?
12
   Α
         Yes.
13
         Have you read Mein Kampf?
14
   Α
         Yes.
15
         Have you read it more than once?
   Q
16
         Yes.
   Α
17
         Have you read more than one translation of Mein Kampf?
   Q
18
   Α
         Yes.
19
   Q
         In Mein Kampf, did Hitler talk about exterminating Jews?
20
   Α
         No.
21
   Q
         Did he talk about gas chambers?
22
   Α
         No.
23
   Q
         Did he talk about ovens?
24
         No.
   Α
25
         Where and under what conditions was Mein Kampf written?
   Q
```

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1393 Filed 11/03/21 Page 81 of 209 Pageid#.1
                          M. Heimbach - Cross
 1
         Adolf Hitler was imprisoned at the time.
 2
         Do you know what he was imprisoned for?
 3
        Yes. For what was known as the Beer Hall Putsch.
        And after the Beer Hall Putsch, did Hitler continue to
 4
 5
   advocate for the violent overthrow of government?
        No. He utilized democracy to gather votes from the German
 6
 7
   people to be democratically elected.
 8
        Did he advocate for uncontrolled criminal mayhem in the
 9
   streets?
        No. He advocated for law and order.
10
11
        We hear these stories about so-called "Brownshirts"
12
   terrorizing Germany prior to the National Socialist German
13
   Workers' Party coming to power --
14
              MS. DUNN: Objection, Your Honor. Objection.
15
              (Reporter clarification.)
16
   BY MR. CANTWELL:
17
        We hear these stories about Brownshirts --
18
              MS. DUNN: Your Honor, this is objectionable.
19
              MR. CANTWELL: Judge, I want to understand what
20
   Mr. Heimbach's -- how Mr. Heimbach believes --
21
              THE COURT: Was he questioned about this?
```

MR. CANTWELL: I'm about to get to the question.

THE COURT: I mean, was he questioned on direct about

Brownshirts?

24

25

MS. DUNN: No, Your Honor.

1 MR. CANTWELL: No. He was questioned about national 2 socialism, Judge. I want to ask him about national socialism. 3 That's the goal. 4 THE COURT: All right. Ask him about national 5 socialism. BY MR. CANTWELL: 6 7 Would an informed supporter of Adolf Hitler approve of --MS. DUNN: Objection, Your Honor. He's asking --8 9 THE COURT: Right. Sustained. MS. DUNN: Thank you, Your Honor. 10 11 BY MR. CANTWELL: 12 Do you recall Adolf Hitler talking about torches as a 13 symbol of racial and national revolution? 14 I don't recall any speeches of Hitler speaking of torches. 15 Do you remember anything of that sort in Mein Kampf? 16 Not off the top of my head. 17 What, if any, revolution or revolutions did Hitler talk 18 about in Mein Kampf? 19 The desire for a national revolution for the German 20 people. And also attempted armed uprisings by left wing 21 organizations. 22 And those armed uprisings, did Hitler view those 23 positively? 24 Α No.

Have you ever unironically stated that Adolf Hitler did

25

Q

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1393 Filed 11/03/21 Page 83 of 209 Pageid#.3
                         M. Heimbach - Redirect
   nothing wrong?
 2
         Yes.
 3
         Do you believe that Adolf Hitler -- when you said that,
   did you believe that Adolf Hitler murdered 6 million Jews?
 4
 5
         No.
 6
              MR. CANTWELL: No further questions.
 7
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
 8
              MR. SMITH: Your Honor, I have no questions for
 9
   Matthew. Thank you.
10
              THE COURT: Thank you. You may step down.
              Who is the next witness? Oh.
11
12
                          REDIRECT EXAMINATION
    BY MS. DUNN:
13
        Mr. Heimbach.
14
15
         Yes.
        Defense counsel asked you some questions about an event in
16
   Auburn?
17
18
   Α
         Yes.
19
        Do you recall that?
20
         And that took place in April of 2017, the Auburn event,
21
   correct?
22
   Α
         Yes.
23
         And Mr. Spencer gave a speech; you remember that?
24
   Α
         Correct.
25
         You attended and so did other Traditionalist Worker Party
   Q
```

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1393 Filed 11/03/21 Page 84 of 209 Pageid#:4
                         M. Heimbach - Redirect
   members?
 1
 2
         Yes.
 3
         Okay. And you recall that you had shields at that event?
 4
         Yes.
 5
         I'd like to play for Mr. Heimbach Plaintiffs' Exhibit
 6
   2523.
 7
              (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2523 marked.)
 8
   BY MS. DUNN:
 9
         Are you able to see that?
10
         No.
11
              MS. DUNN: 2523.
12
              (Video playing.)
    BY MS. DUNN:
13
14
         Do you recognize this video?
15
         Yes.
16
              MS. DUNN: Your Honor, we'd like to play for the jury
   Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2523 and move it into evidence.
17
18
              THE COURT: All right. Be admitted.
19
              MS. DUNN: Thank you.
20
              (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2523 admitted.)
21
              MS. DUNN: Do we have audio?
22
              (Video playing.)
23
              MS. DUNN: All right. So Mr. Spalding, if you could
24
   just play the beginning of that video.
25
              (Video playing.)
```

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1393 Filed 11/03/21 Page 85 of 209 Pageid#.5
                         M. Heimbach - Redirect
    BY MS. DUNN:
 1
 2
         Mr. Heimbach -- pause there -- do you see yourself?
 3
         I do.
              MS. DUNN: Mr. Spalding, please continue.
 4
 5
              (Video playing.)
 6
    BY MS. DUNN:
 7
         All right. And do you see yourself running with a shield?
 8
         Yes.
 9
         Okay. Keep going, please, with the video.
10
              (Video playing.)
11
         We can stop the video. Mr. Heimbach, you recall being
12
   asked about this in your deposition, correct?
13
         I don't recall exactly.
14
         Okay. You were asked whether this video shows you
15
   charging toward a group of counter-protesters. Do you recall
16
   that your answer to that was yes?
17
         Sure.
                Yes.
   Α
18
         Okay.
19
         For the purpose of we believed --
20
         I just want to establish that you and I do not agree -- do
21
   not disagree --
22
         Okay.
23
         -- that at the Auburn event that counsel asked you about,
24
   that you are, in fact, with a shield and other TWP members
25
   charging into a group of people?
```

- A Charging in regards to we believed a comrade had been caught and was potentially being attacked.
- Q Okay. And so you believed that the belief that a comrade had been caught was justification to run full force with your shields into a group of people?
- 6 MR. SMITH: Your Honor, that calls for a legal conclusion. Objection.
 - MS. DUNN: Your Honor, I'm asking about his belief.
 - THE COURT: Asking about his own belief.
- 10 THE WITNESS: We made no contact with
- 11 | counter-protesters.
- 12 BY MS. DUNN:

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

18

19

20

21

- Q Sir, that's not the question I asked you. And actually, if I can ask my colleague to bring me -- it's a transcript, just so it's not confusing to everyone what's happening. But this way I can see your answers and my questions.
- 17 | Thank you.
 - So my question to you was whether you believed that the belief that a comrade had been caught, as you just testified, was justification to run full force with other people into a group of people?
- 22 $\|A\|$ Towards a group of people, not into a group of people.
- 23 **Q** So --
- A I believe if there was a vicious assault happening against 25 someone who was caught by themselves, then yes, it would be

- understandable and reasonable to try and prevent a violent assault against an individual.
- Q Okay. But at no time, including in your deposition, did
 you mention a violent assault. This is the first time that
 you've said that, even though you've been asked about this
 video before, correct?
- 7 A Yes. It turns out the comrade --
 - Q Sir, just, please, answer my question and then if your counsel wants to ask you more, he can.
- 10 A Sure. No.
- Q And so I just want to make sure that we are all clear,
 which is, based on your belief, your conduct in this video you
- 13 believe was justified?
- 14 **A** Yes.

8

9

- 15 Q As self-defense?
- 16 A No one was ever struck. So there was no self-defense
 17 necessary to even discuss. And the entire incident shown in
 18 that video --
- 19 Q Sir, you were asked --
- 20 MR. SMITH: Can we let the witness finish, please.
- 21 MS. DUNN: There was no question pending.
- 22 BY MS. DUNN:
- Q Sir, you were asked in your deposition: "So you are saying that the reason why you charged into a group of counter-protesters with your shield was to defend somebody in

```
1
   the Traditionalist Worker Party?"
 2
        And you answered that question yes. Do you recall that?
 3
        Yes.
 4
        Okay.
              And you stand by that answer, correct?
 5
        Yes.
 6
        There was an event in Sacramento in July of 2017; you
 7
   recall that?
 8
        Yes.
 9
             MR. SMITH: Your Honor.
10
             MR. JONES: I think this is beyond the scope.
11
             MR. SMITH: Yes, this is beyond the scope of
12
   direct -- or beyond the scope of cross. Sorry, Your Honor.
13
             MS. DUNN: Your Honor, it is not. A substantial
   amount of the direct examination was aimed at this idea of
14
15
   self-defense at rallies. And we are entitled to probe that
   with this witness.
16
17
             MR. SMITH: No, Your Honor. They're trying to get a
18
   second chance at direct.
19
             THE COURT: Well, didn't you put on your evidence
20
   concerning that with him on direct?
21
             MS. DUNN: No, Your Honor. This is in direct
22
   response to their examination that just happened where he's --
23
             THE COURT: We're going to have to go back now and
24
   let all of these people come back if you bring up new stuff.
25
             MS. DUNN: Your Honor, this is going to be very
```

1 limited, but it is important because what he's describing is a world view, and we allowed a lot of questions about his 2 3 general --THE COURT: Come on. Talk about this instead of all 4 5 that's gone on before. Let's talk about this question right now. I mean, why are you going into some new event on 6 7 redirect? 8 MS. DUNN: Your Honor, I think it will become 9 obvious. I think we've discussed that Mr. Heimbach is saying the reason that he charged into a group of counter-protesters 10 11 is that he felt justified. So understanding what he thinks is 12 justified is very germane to this case and to this witness, who is a defendant. 13 THE COURT: Well, he said he ran toward them 14 because -- in his deposition and here --15 16 MS. DUNN: Right. 17 THE COURT: -- because he thought somebody else was 18 having --19 MS. DUNN: Right. 20 THE COURT: -- was one of his --21 MS. DUNN: And what we are entitled to establish is 22 that this is a pattern, that this has happened before, and that 23 that's his view. 24 THE COURT: Well, is it a pattern that he goes toward 25 a friend who's being attacked? Is that what you're trying to

1 establish? 2 MS. DUNN: Two things, Your Honor. It is a pattern 3 that -- that Mr. Heimbach and the members of the Traditionalist Worker Party take action that they regard as self-defense, but 5 that will not be shown to be self-defense. But the other --MR. SMITH: Your Honor --6 7 THE COURT: Do you have someone there that --8 MS. DUNN: What I'm going to ask him about is a logo 9 of his own party who is a defendant in this case. I don't have to go into the details of the event. It's just he and the 10 11 Traditionalist Worker Party are defendants, and they -- this is 12 well within the scope. He was also asked about many --13 globally about prior events and his experience. MR. SMITH: The word "Sacramento" came up exactly 14 zero times in his cross-examination, I believe. 15 16 THE COURT: I don't recall anything about Sacramento. 17 MS. DUNN: Your Honor, we'd ask a small amount of 18 latitude. 19 THE COURT: Go ahead. Ask your question. Let's see. 20 BY MS. DUNN: 21 Okay. Mr. Heimbach, we'd just ask you to take a look at 22 PX3820, what you're seeing on the screen. 23 Can we make that more visible? 24 MS. DUNN: Oh, I apologize. It's 3840, which could 25 have led to some confusion.

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1393 Filed 11/03/21 Page 91 of 209 Pageid#:1
                         M. Heimbach - Redirect
 1
              THE CLERK: 3840?
 2
              MS. DUNN: Yes.
 3
              (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 3840 marked.)
              MR. JONES: My exhibits stop at 3806. How many other
 4
 5
    exhibits past 3806 are there?
 6
              MS. DUNN: Your Honor, I don't know the answer to
 7
   that question. We, I am sure, have copies for defense counsel
 8
   and can get this over to them.
 9
              THE COURT: Have you asked your question?
    BY MS. DUNN:
10
11
        Mr. Heimbach, do you recognize this?
12
        Yes.
13
        This is a logo and a patch. It's a patch that you see
   photographed here, but it was also used as a logo on the TWP
14
15
   website; is that correct?
16
         I don't believe a logo. We only had one party logo.
17
        But you recognize this to be a TWP patch?
18
        Not exclusively.
19
        How about at all, do you recognize it to be a TWP patch?
20
         I don't believe it was an official patch. If you're
21
   referring to the events in Sacramento, there were different
22
   organizations there.
23
        Mr. Heimbach, this patch was displayed by TWP members
```

24

25

Α

Yes.

after the incident in Sacramento.

21 Q And you referenced Reginald Denny; do you remember that?

A I do.

22

23 \mathbb{Q} The Reginald Denny incident happened in 1992, correct?

24 A Correct.

25 Q Okay. You were also asked some questions about

communications that you had with both Mr. Cantwell and 2 Mr. Spencer. Do you recall that? 3 Yes. Both Mr. Cantwell and Mr. Spencer asked you questions 4 5 about communications with them, including phone calls and text messages. Do you recall that? 6 7 Yes. 8 We'd like to show you Plaintiffs' Exhibit 3137. 9 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 3137 marked.) BY MS. DUNN: 10 11 Now, you're aware, Mr. Heimbach, that plaintiffs filed 12 this lawsuit on October 11th of 2017, correct? 13 Yes. Α 14 And this is a text communication that you see on the screen from October 12th of 2017 --15 16 MR. SMITH: Your Honor, I'm going to object. I don't 17 see how this is any part of the cross-examination that 18 occurred. They're way outside the scope at this point. 19 MS. DUNN: Your Honor, he was --20 MR. SMITH: Way outside, Your Honor. 21 MS. DUNN: He was asked repeatedly about -- sorry, he 22 was asked repeatedly about communications between Mr. Cantwell 23 and Mr. Spencer and himself in order to establish the lack of 24 those communications and the metes and bounds. And we are 25 entitled to ask about communications and lack thereof.

1 THE COURT: Is this something you did not enter --2 you did not bring up on direct? 3 MS. DUNN: It was not -- it had not been -- this is 4 proper redirect because it's directly responsive. 5 THE COURT: Did you bring this up on direct? 6 MS. DUNN: This exhibit, no. 7 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead. 8 MS. DUNN: Thank you. BY MS. DUNN: 9 Mr. Heimbach? 10 11 Yes. 12 You recognize this to be a text message between yourself 13 and Mr. Spencer from October 12th, the day after this lawsuit 14 was filed. Do you see that? 15 Yes. 16 MS. DUNN: Your Honor, we'd ask that 3137 be admitted 17 and published. 18 THE COURT: You're talking now about after the 19 events. I mean, they've obviously been discussing the case 20 since it was filed. But I mean, as I understood Mr. Spencer 21 and Mr. Cantwell's testimony is what they -- contacts they did 22 not have with him prior to Unite the Right, Charlottesville, 23 August 2017. 24 MS. DUNN: Your Honor, as I understood it, it was 25 both during that time period and as related to those events.

1 And so this -- this would be related. We also --2 THE COURT: Well, I didn't understand it that way. 3 The jury will have to determine. I think this is beyond the 4 scope. 5 MS. DUNN: Okay. Your Honor. That's fair. 6 MR. SMITH: Thank you, Your Honor. 7 BY MS. DUNN: So Mr. Heimbach, in 2017, on the topic of your 8 9 communications via telephone, which was raised by defense counsel, you used an Android cell phone to discuss the events 10 11 in Charlottesville and to text with other defendants, correct? 12 Yes. 13 And when we asked you in your deposition what you had texted about, you said you couldn't remember anything that you had texted to anybody. Do you recall that? 15 16 Yes. 17 And two months after this lawsuit was filed you replaced 18 your Android phone with a new cell phone. It was a Blackview 19 800 Pro? 20 I believe so. 21 Okay. And you said that you did that because your 22 2-year-old had busted it beyond repair. Do you remember that? 23 Yes. 24 All right. So you did not produce to plaintiffs in this 25 case anything from your Android phone that you used before,

1 during, and after Charlottesville 2.0, correct? 2 No, because my ex-wife tossed it. 3 Right. So we'll talk about that. 4 MR. SMITH: Your Honor, I don't think we should talk 5 about that. That's way beyond the scope of direct and cross. They had an opportunity to ask about all this, Your Honor. 6 7 They passed on it. 8 THE COURT: It didn't come up on cross. 9 MS. DUNN: Your Honor, Defendants Cantwell and Spencer asked Mr. Heimbach about communications between these 10 11 defendants, and --12 MR. JONES: Before the --13 MR. SMITH: Yes, Your Honor. They asked about before 14 the rally. 15 THE COURT: Please don't interrupt. 16 MR. SMITH: I'm sorry, Your Honor. I thought --17 MS. DUNN: Thank you, Your Honor. The reason that 18 communications do not exist in part is because we did not 19 receive in discovery any communications for Mr. Heimbach, and 20 there are reasons for that. And so we are entitled, in 21 response to their saying what communications exist, to show the 22 jury that we did not receive communications and why that was. 23 THE COURT: Well --24 MR. SMITH: That was --25 THE COURT: We don't know if that's why it was, but

1 you can show -- you can bring out that --2 MR. SMITH: They want to do another direct 3 examination, Judge. 4 MS. DUNN: Your Honor, we are just going to ask this 5 witness questions and he's answering them. We're not -- if it doesn't show why, it won't show why. But we're entitled to ask 6 7 the questions. 8 THE COURT: Well, ask relevant questions. 9 MS. DUNN: Yes. BY MS. DUNN: 10 11 All right. So we just discussed, Mr. Heimbach, that you 12 replaced the Android phone with a Blackview phone, and then you 13 used the new Blackview phone to send text messages concerning Charlottesville 2.0? 14 I can't recall exactly what text messages I sent four 15 16 years ago. 17 Right. But you don't disagree, do you, with what you told 18 us in your deposition, is that you used that Blackview phone to 19 send text messages concerning Charlottesville 2.0? 20 Α Could be. 21 Well, if you disagree with that, you can turn to your 2019 22 deposition, page 331 to 332, lines 23 to 18, but if you --23 MR. SMITH: Excuse me. Are you saying you'd like to 24 admit that testimony? 25 THE COURT: Please don't talk to the lawyer.

MR. SMITH: Your Honor, is counsel saying that she 1 2 wants to admit that testimony? If so, she needs to show how 3 it's contrary to what he's saying now. MS. DUNN: Your Honor, Mr. Heimbach has testified 4 5 about two phones. The first phone, he has agreed that he texted and used the phone for communications about Unite the 6 7 Right. The second phone we just discussed, I asked him whether he had used that phone as well to send texts and to use the phone for the Unite the Right planning. He didn't remember. And so I'm referring him to his deposition to refresh his 10 11 recollection about his testimony. 12 THE COURT: All right. Just read the question and 13 answer and let's go. BY MS. DUNN: 14 15 Mr. Heimbach, the question about the Blackview phone was: 16 "And you sent text messages on that phone concerning the Unite 17 the Right in some way, right? 18 "Answer: Uh-huh. "Question: Is that a yes? 19 20 "Answer: Yes." 21 Could you give me the page and line number again? 22 331 to 332, line 23 on 331, line 18 on 332. 23 Okay. Pardon me. 24 Do you see that? 25 Yes, ma'am. Α

- 1 Q Okay. All right. So you've testified under oath that --
- 2 well, to begin with, the Android phone, we've agreed we didn't
- 3 get anything from that phone?
- 4 A Correct.
- 5 Q And we'll talk about that. But you've also testified
- 6 under oath that the Blackview phone was replaced by your wife,
- 7 Jessica Heimbach, without your permission as an early birthday
- 8 present. You recall that?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q So you didn't produce the text messages that you sent and
- 11 received about Charlottesville 2.0 from the Blackview phone
- 12 either, correct?
- 13 A Correct.
- 14 Q Okay. You also used an ASUS laptop to create documents
- 15 related to Charlottesville 2.0. Do you recall that?
- 16 \parallel A All of which were on Google Docs that I did supply to
- 17 plaintiffs.
- 18 Q But you recall discussing that laptop?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q And after this lawsuit was filed, you recall testifying
- 21 | that the only effort you made to preserve evidence was to put
- 22 | both the Android phone and the laptop in an unlabeled and
- 23 uncovered plastic tub. You recall that?
- 24 A I mean, I'm a working class guy that lived in a trailer at
- 25 the time. A separate space that seemed appropriate.

1 I'm just asking whether it's accurate that that was your 2 only effort to preserve the evidence in this case after the 3 lawsuit was filed, was to put the phone and the laptop in the 4 tub? 5 Yes. I believe that was sufficient. And at this point, even though you knew that the lawsuit 6 7 had been filed and you had a lawyer --8 MR. SMITH: Objection. Counsel is editorializing and 9 she should just ask a question. 10 THE COURT: Go ahead. 11 BY MS. DUNN: 12 You've testified that you didn't tell your lawyer at the 13 time that the phone and the laptop were in a tub in your house? 14 I don't recall every conversation I had with my lawyer at the time. 15 16 And you claim that after a domestic dispute your ex-wife 17 gave your neighbors permission to dispose of the tub, correct? 18 Along with the rest of my stuff. 19 Right. And that's a different -- so the ex-wife 20 responsible for the phone that is not available to us was 21 Jessica Heimbach, right? 22 MR. SMITH: Your Honor, can I request a sidebar, please? 23 24 THE COURT: Just a minute.

I don't think it's necessary. Let's go.

25

- 1 BY MS. DUNN:
- 2 Q So with regard to the phone, that was -- that was replaced
- 3 as an early birthday present, that was your second wife?
- 4 A Correct.
- 5 Q And with regard to the disposal of the tub with the first
- 6 phone and the laptop, that was your first wife, correct?
 - A Correct.
- 8 Q And you've also testified that your second wife -- that
- 9 your second wife deleted your VK social media account after you
- 10 had an argument about taking out the trash. Do you recall
- 11 | that?

7

- 12 **A** Yes.
- 13 Q VK is like Russian Facebook, right?
- 14 **A** Yes.
- 15 MR. SMITH: Your Honor, objection. I don't remember
- 16 | counsel talking about VK ever in this case. This is the first
- 17 I time that word's ever come up.
- 18 THE COURT: Okay. It's come up now. Go ahead.
- 19 BY MS. DUNN:
- 20 \parallel Q And so your second wife, you said, deleted the VK social
- 21 | media account after you had an argument about taking out the
- 22 Itrash, right?
- 23 A Correct.
- 24 Q That's the same wife who replaced your old phone as an
- 25 early birthday present, right?

1 Correct. 2 Okay. And you have also said that your second wife did 3 the same thing with your Gab account, that she deleted it after an argument about taking out the trash. Do you remember saying 4 5 that? Or similar argument. 6 7 Right. But do you remember saying that your second wife deleted your Gab account after an argument about taking out the 8 9 trash? 10 Yes. 11 Or a similar argument. 12 Okay. And you said that you liked social media so much 13 that this was her way to get back at you, right? 14 Yes. 15 MS. DUNN: Okay. Your Honor, we'd like to play for 16 impeachment purposes Plaintiffs' Exhibit 3472. It's hour 17 20:29, minute 38, to hour 2:30:25. It's possible I read the 18 numbers wrong, but I'll fix the time stamp. 19 THE COURT: All right. 20 MR. JONES: What was the exhibit number? 21 MS. DUNN: 3472. Thank you. 22 MR. JONES: Okay. 23 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 3472 marked.) 24 MR. KOLENICH: Your Honor, we'd like to request some 25 sort of limiting instruction regarding this testimony of

Mr. Heimbach. She's going into great detail about discovery violations. There were motions about discovery violations and limiting instructions.

THE COURT: All right.

Members of the jury, if Mr. Heimbach has not done anything regarding failure to make discovery, it is not to be considered by you as evidence against any of the other defendants. It only pertains to him.

Some of the evidence in this case applies only to the party that the Court tells you. And you're required not to use that evidence against any of the other parties. You may use it against the party directly involved.

Go ahead.

MR. CANTWELL: Before we start with this, Judge, they're about to play an audio clip. This was a technical concern that I had brought up earlier. I may, or any of my co-defendants may, wish to object to playing this audio, but we're not able to hear the audio without playing it out loud in this courtroom. That's a challenge that I think we have.

THE COURT: Well, we'll just have to --

MS. DUNN: Your Honor, this is being used for impeachment purposes. So we can hand up to Your Honor a transcript, but it's -- the rules that Mr. Cantwell just articulated, which are proper in other circumstances, are not for impeachment.

1 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead. 2 (Video playing.) 3 BY MS. DUNN: 4 Mr. Heimbach, I just want to make sure that you recognize 5 your own voice in this podcast. I do. And, respectfully, I wasn't about to announce to 6 7 Mr. Cantwell's audience private domestic disputes between my 8 wife at the time and I. That would be wildly inappropriate, to 9 betray her confidence and things that happened privately behind closed doors. 10 11 So, Mr. Heimbach, your testimony, then, is when you said 12 on November 12th of 2018, during the time that this lawsuit was 13 going on, to Mr. Cantwell that you had to delete your account, 14 that that was not true, and that it's still true that your wife deleted your Gab account after a domestic dispute about 15 16 throwing out the trash? 17 Correct. 18 Okay. And then when you go on to say "I had to delete my 19 account" and then you reference "my lawyer dealing with legal 20 stuff, he was like, 'uh, no,'" are you -- are you saying that 21 your lawyer dealing with your legal stuff knew that you had 22 deleted your Gab account? 23 I don't believe so. Again, I don't think any personal 24 conflicts at my home was something I was about to broadcast to 25 a very wide audience. That would be wildly inappropriate to

```
1
   do.
 2
        But you don't deny that that's what we just heard you say
 3
   about your lawyer, correct?
        Correct.
 4
 5
             MS. DUNN: Your Honor, at this time --
 6
             MR. SMITH: Your Honor, if I could quickly:
 7
   should probably make a note as to which lawyer the witness is
 8
   talking about, if any. It's not me.
 9
             THE COURT: You can ask him.
             MS. DUNN: Your Honor, I have no further questions.
10
11
             MR. CANTWELL: May I recross?
12
             MS. DUNN: Oh. I apologize. I do actually have one
13
   other question.
14
             THE COURT: Who was he talking -- who were you
15
   talking to in that recording?
16
             THE WITNESS: Mr. Cantwell, Your Honor.
17
             THE COURT: You were talking to Mr. Cantwell?
18
             THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
19
             THE COURT: And when was that? What was the date of
20
   that conversation?
21
             MS. DUNN: Let me find it again.
22
             November 12th of 2018.
23
             THE COURT: All right. Okay.
24
   BY MS. DUNN:
25
        I apologize. One additional thing, Mr. Heimbach.
```

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1393 Filed 11/03/21 Page 106 of 209 Page 14.6
                         M. Heimbach - Redirect
 1
    this won't take very long.
 2
              MS. DUNN: Can we put up on the screen for
 3
    Mr. Heimbach 3304N?
 4
              (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 3304N marked.)
 5
    BY MS. DUNN:
 6
         Can you read this?
 7
         No.
 8
         Now I can.
 9
         These are your interrogatory responses in this case.
10
         You were asked on cross-examination by defense counsel
11
    about your conversations with the Charlottesville Police
    Department?
12
13
         Yes.
              MS. DUNN: I'd like to admit this into evidence,
14
15
    3304N, and show the jury.
16
              THE COURT: All right. It will be admitted.
17
              (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 3304N admitted.)
18
              MS. DUNN: Thank you.
19
     BY MS. DUNN:
20
         Looking at Questions 6 and 7, these were questions where
21
    we asked you to state under oath your answers?
22
    Α
         Yes.
23
        And this was during the duration of this lawsuit, so some
    time ago, closer in time to the events of 2017. You understand
25
    that?
```

Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1393 Filed 11/03/21 Page 107 of 209 Pageid#:

M. Heimbach - Redirect

1 Yes. 2 Okay. And you see that Question 6 asks you to identify 3 each communication concerning the events you had with each member of law enforcement, whether before, during, or after the 4 5 events? 6 Correct. 7 Do you see that? 8 And your response is simply: "Mr. Parrott and I called Charlottesville PD and maybe some other agency, but I can't 9 recall exactly, to try to coordinate plans. I can't recall who 10 11 exactly I spoke to or any other details of the interaction." 12 Correct? 13 Correct. And then Question 7, we asked you to identify each 14 15 communication concerning the events you had with any government 16 official, whether before, after, or during the events, and then 17 including details of that. 18 Do you see that? 19 Yes. 20 And you say -- in response to this question asking about 21 before, after, or during the events, you say: "To my 22 knowledge, my only communication with government officials for 23 this event was during the event and calling the Charlottesville 24 PD officers/assorted state police 'pigs' and 'class traitors.'" 25 Do you see that?

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1393 Filed 11/03/21 Page 108 of 209 Page 14.
                          M. Heimbach - Recross
 1
         Yes.
 2
              MS. DUNN: Thank you, Mr. Heimbach. I have no
 3
    further questions at this time.
 4
              MR. CANTWELL: May I recross? Yes?
 5
              THE COURT: Yes.
 6
              MR. CANTWELL: Is this your device?
 7
              MS. DUNN: Oh, I'm sorry.
 8
              MR. CANTWELL: No problem.
 9
                           RECROSS-EXAMINATION
     BY MR. CANTWELL:
10
         Mr. Heimbach, have you listened to more than one episode
11
12
    of the Radical Agenda?
13
         Yes.
    Α
14
         Have you heard the introduction to the Radical Agenda?
15
         Yes.
16
         Can you tell us how the Radical Agenda is marketed?
17
              MS. DUNN: Objection, Your Honor. Scope.
18
              THE COURT: Did this come up on redirect?
19
              MR. CANTWELL: They just played a clip from the
20
    Radical Agenda.
21
              MR. SMITH: That's true, Your Honor.
```

MR. CANTWELL: And so I'd like to --

THE COURT: Was the clip involving you?

MR. CANTWELL: Yes. That's the clip that they just

22

23

24

25

played.

Did we laugh after you said that?

THE COURT: All right.

MR. CANTWELL: No further questions.

22

23

24

25

Yes.

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1393 Filed 11/03/21 Page 110 of 209 Page 1#.0
                          M. Heimbach - Recross
 1
                           RECROSS-EXAMINATION
 2
     BY MR. SMITH:
 3
         Mr. Heimbach, when you're referring to "a lawyer" told you
    that, were you referring to me, Joshua Smith?
 4
 5
         No.
 6
         Was I your lawyer at the time that --
 7
              THE COURT: Mr. Smith, why don't you --
 8
              MR. SMITH: It's just these two questions, Your
 9
    Honor.
10
              THE COURT:
                           Sir?
11
              MR. SMITH: Yeah.
12
              THE COURT: Well, it's just --
13
              MR. SMITH: You want me to go to the podium? Okay.
14
     BY MR. SMITH:
15
         Is that person that you were talking about, that lawyer,
16
    is that person still your lawyer currently?
17
         No.
    Α
18
                          Okay. No further questions, Your Honor.
              MR. SMITH:
19
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
20
              You may step down.
21
              Call the next witness.
22
              Members of the jury, you're going to hear this
```

instruction again, but you will hear about the duty to preserve

evidence and duty to make -- that there's a duty to disclose

all relevant and non-privileged evidence that either side

23

24

M. Heimbach - Recross

1 possesses. They are to produce it for the other. 2 And you're going to hear that sanctions, certain 3 sanctions, will apply to anyone who doesn't properly make discovery and doesn't properly preserve evidence in the case. 4 5 And you are cautioned, however, that each party is entitled to have the case decided solely on the evidence that applies to 7 that party. 8 Any sanctions against these parties will have no bearing on the other parties, and in any event does not relieve 9 plaintiffs of their burden to prove by a preponderance of the 10 11 evidence the conduct committed by the defendants in the case -other defendants in the case. 12 13 All right. You may proceed. 14 MS. KAPLAN: Plaintiffs call Diane D'Costa, Your Honor, and she will be examined by my colleague Mr. Levine. 15 16 THE COURT: All right. 17 MR. SMITH: Your Honor, may we --18 (Discussion off the record.) 19 THE COURT: Is the witness on the way? 20 MR. SMITH: Your Honor, may I be excused with my 21 client? 22 THE COURT: What? 23 THE CLERK: He's asking if he and his client can be 24 excused from the courtroom. 25 MR. SMITH: Is that okay?

```
1
              THE COURT: Yes. You don't have to be here.
 2
              MR. LEVINE: Your Honor, may I go get the witness?
 3
              THE COURT: Well, I hope the witness is on the way.
              MR. LEVINE: She's right outside, Your Honor.
 4
 5
              THE COURT: Call the witnesses in. Call the witness.
 6
              You may leave.
 7
              (Discussion off the record.)
 8
              MR. LEVINE: Your Honor, could they wait until the
 9
   witness gets on the witness stand?
10
              THE COURT: What?
11
              MR. LEVINE: Could the defendants please wait until
12
   the witness gets on the witness stand?
13
              THE COURT: No. They can go on and leave. It's just
   taking too much time. They can just leave.
14
15
             MR. SMITH: Thanks, Judge.
16
            DIANE D'COSTA, CALLED BY THE PLAINTIFFS, SWORN
17
                          DIRECT EXAMINATION
18
    BY MR. LEVINE:
19
        Please state your name for the record and remove your
   mask.
20
21
        My name is Diane D'Costa, D-I-A-N-E, D, apostrophe,
22
   C-O-S-T-A.
23
        Are you have currently employed?
24
        I am.
   Α
25
   Q
        What do you do?
```

- 1 A I am a middle school teacher in a charter school in DC.
- 2 Q What is the highest level of your education?
- 3 A A bachelor's degree from the University of Virginia.
 - O Was that here in Charlottesville?
- 5 A Yes.

- 6 Q When did you begin attending UVA?
- 7 A I came in the fall of 2014.
- 8 Q And when did you graduate?
- 9 A The spring of 2018.
- 10 Q Ms. D'Costa, do you identify with a particular religion?
- 11 A I do. I'm Jewish.
- 12 Q And what was the makeup of your family's religious
- 13 situation?
- 14 A Yeah, my mom was Jewish. My dad is Catholic. And we kind
- 15 of grew up celebrating all the holidays.
- 16 Q Have you always identified as Jewish?
- 17 A I have, and in my young adulthood really stepped into my
- 18 | Jewish identity.
- 19 **||**Q Were you involved in organizations while you were an
- 20 | undergraduate?
- 21 A Many, yes.
- 22 $\|Q\|$ Could you please tell the jury what they were?
- 23 A I was a resident advisor for first-year students. I was a
- 24 tour guide. I was part of the Jewish women's group at the
- 25 Hillel. I did ceramics. A number of things.

- Q Were you an officer of your class?
- 2 A Oh, yeah. I was vice president of my class.
- 3 \parallel Q So in August of 2017, were you entering your senior year
- 4 lat UVA?

- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q Where were you scheduled to live?
- 7 A I was selected to live on the Lawn.
- 8 Q Could you please tell the jury what the Lawn is at UVA?
- 9 A The Lawn is the historical part of our grounds. It's
- 10 where the Rotunda is. It was originally built in 1817. There
- 11 are student Lawn rooms and professor pavilions with grass in
- 12 the middle.
- 13 \mathbb{Q} What is the -- what are the structures made of?
- 14 A Yeah, it's, like, brick and wood. All my furniture was,
- 15 like, oak. It's, like, historical. So it's preserved with,
- 16 | like, the historical construction that they used; horse hair,
- 17 stuff like that.
- 18 \parallel Q How did you get to be able to live there for your senior
- 19 | year?
- 20 A I applied at the end of my third year.
- 21 \mathbb{Q} And is it competitive to live on the Lawn?
- 22 \parallel A Yes. It's kind of a big deal. There are, like, hundreds
- 23 of applicants for 53 rooms.
- 24 Q And could you please describe a student's room on the
- 25 Lawn?

- A Yeah. So the Lawn rooms are single rooms that open straight to the outside. So the outside door, if you open it and look into the room, there's a window at the back. There's a fireplace on either side, a lofted bed, and a water cabinet
- 6 Q So there are no bathrooms in the rooms?

with a sink, and that's about it.

- 7 \blacksquare A No. We would walk outside to the bathrooms.
- 8 Q What is a pavilion?

- 9 A The pavilions were -- well, they're two-story, like,
- 10 houses. They have the modern amenities of kitchens and
- 11 bathrooms, but it's where professors live.
- 12 Q When did you move into your room on the Lawn in August of 2017?
- 14 A I moved in on August 11th of 2017.
- 15 Q And just describe for the jury physically what the Lawn is and where your room was in relation to it.
- A So the Rotunda is at the top of the Lawn, the north end of the Lawn. Then there's the West Lawn and the East Lawn, two parallel tracks of student Lawn rooms, and professor pavilions interspersed in between.
- I lived in 48 East, which was on -- looking at the Rotunda, the right side of the Lawn, further south.
- Q So if the Lawn was a football field, the Rotunda would be at one set of the goal posts. Where was your room in relation to the Rotunda?

- A I was, like, kind of towards the other end of the goal post, if it's a football field, and I was on, like, the sideline on the right.
- Q Now, focusing in on August of 2017, before you moved into your room on August 11th, had you heard anything about a Unite the Right rally scheduled for Charlottesville?
- A I hadn't heard anything for the 11th. I heard that something might be happening at the Robert E. Lee statue downtown, but I didn't have much more information than that.
- 10 Q And when did you understand the events were scheduled for 11 the Robert E. Lee statue?
- 12 A For the 12th. I didn't know anything other than the 12th.
- Q Had you heard anything about who would be coming for that rally?
- 15 A I heard that it was white nationalists, the alt-right, who wanted to organize around the statue.
- Q And after you moved in on August 11th, that Friday, what did you learn, if anything, about plans for Friday night activity?
- A I learned that evening that some of the alt-right white
 nationalists might be coming to grounds or the Lawn that night.
- 22 I didn't know much information beyond that.
- Q Were you in your dorm room around 9:30, 10:00 at night on Friday, August 11?
- 25 **|** A I was.

1

2

- 1 Q What did you hear?
- 2 A From inside my Lawn room, I could hear the chants of "you
- 3 | will not replace us." And then I heard "Jews will not replace
- 4 us," in that, like, rhythmic chant.
- 5 \parallel Q And could you describe the tone of what you were hearing?
- 6 A I could only describe it as like an angry mob. It was
- 7 loud. It kept going. It was like this guttural belly chant
- 8 that just kept going, of, like, "Jews will not replace us,"
- 9 over and over again.
- 10 Q Was your door closed at that time to the Lawn?
- 11 A It was.
- 12 Q Did you try to see what was going on?
- 13 A Once I heard the chants, I looked out my peephole, and so
- 14 \parallel I was looking through that peephole to see what was happening.
- 15 Q And how big was that peephole?
- 16 A Maybe an inch, half an inch.
- 17 $\|Q\|$ And did you have your eye right at the peephole?
- 18 A Once I heard the chants, I was staring through the
- 19 | peephole, just trying to figure out what was happening on the
- 20 other side.
- 21 Q What did you see?
- 22 A Through the peephole I just saw, like, the flames going
- 23 from the south end of the Lawn north. And I just saw, like, a
- 24 | continual stream of flames.
- 25 | Q Were there people marching or walking? What did you see

```
1
   in respect of the flames?
 2
        I saw the people holding the flames marching up the Lawn.
 3
        So you didn't just see one person standing through the
   peephole?
 4
 5
        No. It was a mass.
 6
        And where were the people in relation to your front door
 7
   that you were looking through?
 8
        My door opened straight onto the Lawn. They were no more
 9
   than four yards in front of me. Just the sidewalk and then the
10
   grass, and they were right on the grass.
11
        Describe how you felt at that moment?
12
        I was terrified. As a Jewish person, hearing "Jews" --
13
             MR. KOLENICH: Objection. Your Honor has ruled on
14
   this, the emotional impact of third parties, as part of
15
   pretrial.
16
             MR. LEVINE: Your Honor didn't rule on this.
17
   witness --
18
             THE COURT: Not with regard -- she's not a plaintiff
19
   in the case, right?
20
             MR. LEVINE: She's not, Your Honor. But she's
21
   describing for herself the events of August 11th.
22
             THE COURT: All right. She's just telling her
23
   present-sense impression.
24
             MR. LEVINE: Exactly.
```

THE COURT: So that's fine.

1 THE WITNESS: It was terrifying. I was scared for my 2 My chest, like, started tightening up, and there was 3 ringing in my ears. I was kind of in shock of what was 4 happening and trying to process what was going on, but I was 5 terrified. BY MR. LEVINE: 6 7 Could you tell before opening the door how many people 8 were outside? 9 I couldn't tell. It was just a large crowd. 10 How long were you looking through the peephole? 11 I was looking through the peephole for a good minute or 12 so. 13 What happened next? 14 I got a knock on my door from Wendy Baucom. 15 What did the person on the other side of the door say? 16 She said, "Diane, Diane, Diane" --17 MR. KOLENICH: Hearsay. 18 MR. LEVINE: It's not offered for the truth, Your 19 Honor, just to explain what she --20 THE COURT: It's not hearsay. Go ahead. 21 THE WITNESS: She said, "Diane, Diane, come 22 with me." 23 BY MR. LEVINE: 24 And did you open the door? 25 I did open the door for her.

What is a Shema -- that's S-H-E-M-A. What is a Shema

again. Could I request a continuing objection to any

MR. KOLENICH: Your Honor, I apologize for objecting

21

22

23

24

25

ring?

It's a --

description of the emotional impact on this witness pursuant to 2 my previous objection -- I understand it's overruled, but so 3 that I don't have to keep interrupting Mr. Levine? THE COURT: Yes. 4 5 BY MS. DUNN: 6 What is a Shema ring? 7 The Shema is a prayer in Judaism. It's like the Hebrew 8 letters in a circle just on the ring. 9 Are you wearing it today? 10 Yeah. It's this ring. 11 Why did you cover these items? 12 I was hearing "Jews will not replace us" outside of my 13 door with people holding flames, and I was scared that if they 14 knew that I was Jewish or if there was any identifier of me being Jewish, that I would have a threat to my personal safety 15 16 and my room would be a threat or a target. 17 Did you leave with Dean Baucom's wife? 18 I did. 19 And when you got outside your dorm room, what did you see 20 immediately? 21 I could see the mass of people carrying flames, walking, 22 marching towards the Rotunda. 23 I remember seeing a swastika tattooed to someone's arm. 24 And I just saw them carrying the torches, marching towards

25

the Rotunda.

```
1
        And what did you hear?
 2
        They were still chanting the "Jews will not replace us."
 3
        Where did you go?
        I went to Dean Baucom's pavilion, Pavilion X.
 4
 5
        And when you got into Dean Baucom's pavilion, where did
 6
   you go?
 7
        I went to the second-floor balcony that overlooks the
 8
   Lawn.
 9
        And was that just up one floor?
10
        Yeah. It's the...
11
        Did you take any video while you were up on the balcony?
12
        I did.
13
              MR. LEVINE: Can we please show the witness
   Plaintiffs' Exhibit 323?
14
15
              (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 323 marked.)
16
   BY MR. LEVINE:
17
        And, without playing it for the jury, Ms. D'Costa, could
   you identify it?
18
19
              (Video playing.)
20
              THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's the Snapchat video that I
21
   took.
22
              MR. LEVINE: Your Honor, we offer Plaintiffs'
23
   Exhibit 323.
24
              THE COURT: Be admitted.
25
              (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 323 admitted.)
```

person, I was just kind of in shock and in fear of what was

Where were the marchers going that you were watching?

They came from the south end of the Lawn. It almost

looked like a river of, like, flames. So it was, like, from

21

22

23

24

happening.

- 1 the south end of the Lawn marching up towards the Rotunda. And
- 2 II could see some folks coming from the alleyways of the Lawn
- 3 joining into the crowd marching towards the Rotunda.
- 4 \mathbb{I} Q Now, was the Rotunda, or is the Rotunda elevated from the
- 5 Lawn itself?
- 6 A Yeah. There are stairs that lead up to the Rotunda on
- 7 Weither side.
- 8 Q And what's on the other side of the Rotunda down the
- 9 stairs?
- 10 A On the north end of the Rotunda is the Jefferson statue
- 11 on, like, the plaza, but it's down past on the north end.
- 12 Q So can -- from the balcony of the Pavilion, could you see
- 13 \parallel down the stairs on the other side of the Rotunda?
- 14 | A No.
- 15 Q Could you see the Thomas Jefferson statue?
- 16 **A** No.
- 17 \parallel Q Now, did there come a time when the marchers were -- and
- 18 the torches were out of your view?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 \parallel Q What did you do after they left the Lawn?
- 21 A I went back inside Pavilion X.
- 22 \parallel Q And did you stay at Dean Baucom's house after that?
- 23 A Just for like 10, 15 minutes.
- 24 | Q Did you go back and stay in your room that night?
- 25 \parallel A I did not. I didn't want to be anywhere near the Lawn

- 1 that night.
- 2 Q What did you do next?
- 3 A My friend, who lives in Charlottesville, texted me. And
- 4 he said, you can stay at my parents' house in Charlottesville,
- 5 and I gladly took him up on that offer.
- 6 Q So did there come a time when you left the Lawn to meet
- 7 your friend?
- 8 A I did.
- 9 Q How did that happen?
- 10 A He pulled up in the alleyway right next to Pavilion X, and
- 11 I, like, ran out the back of the Pavilion straight to his car.
- 12 ||It was like I was fleeing my house and it, like, was wild
- 13 because I have memories of my family telling me stories of my
- 14 | great-grandmother fleeing Poland. And it felt like fleeing my
- 15 | home from Nazis, but on the Lawn.
- 16 Q What did you do the next morning?
- 17 A I did not get much sleep at all that night. I had
- 18 breakfast. My friend dropped me back off at my Lawn room. I
- 19 ∥took a quick nap and I woke up to, like, alerts of state of
- 20 | emergency, programming canceled. So I drove straight back to
- 21 Maryland.
- 22 Q Did you end up returning to UVA for senior year?
- 23 **|** A I did.
- 24 Q Now, could you explain to the jury how you physically felt
- 25 over the next several days after August 11th?

1 THE COURT: I think this -- she's adequately 2 explained what happened and the jury -- I think it gets 3 confusing to go into --BY MR. LEVINE: 4 5 Well, can you then just describe for the jury what you witnessed Friday night. 6 7 I witnessed an overt act of antisemitism. I saw --8 THE COURT: I wait, an overt -- you can describe what 9 you saw, but don't characterize it. 10 THE WITNESS: I saw a mass of people carrying lit 11 torches chanting "Jews will not replace us," and I was very 12 confused as to why they were there. The Robert E. Lee statue 13 is why I heard them coming. And I don't know what Jews have to 14 do with Robert E. Lee. And it was the most victim -- I was 15 beyond words seeing a mass of people chanting "Jews will not 16 replace us" with lit torches outside of my front door. 17 MR. LEVINE: No further questions, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: All right. 19 MR. KOLENICH: No questions for this witness, Your 20 Honor. 21 MR. CANTWELL: I have some questions, thanks. 22 MR. JONES: No questions from me. 23 MR. CANTWELL: Pardon me just a second. My laptop 24 logged me out for some reason.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

- 1 BY MR. CANTWELL:
- 2 Q Ms. D'Costa, you moved into UVA on August 11th?
- 3 A For my fourth year, yes.
- 4 Q For your fourth year, you were returning to UVA. You had
- 5 been on campus for some period of time before that?
- 6 A My first, second and third year. I left for the summer
- 7 and came back.
- 8 Q You were coming back.
- 9 Okay. And so you then -- you had already known as you
- 10 were moving in about the August 12th event, you said, right?
- 11 A I heard that something was happening August 12th. I
- 12 didn't know the scale. I didn't know anything else other than
- 13 that something was going to happen on the 12th.
- 14 \parallel Q Prior to the arrival of the men with the torches, somebody
- 15 told you that something was going to happen that night on UVA
- 16 | campus?
- 17 A We didn't know if for sure people were coming to the Lawn.
- 18 It was "there is a possibility that they might come." I didn't
- 19 know any information other than that.
- 20 Q Who is "we" in that sentence?
- 21 $\|A\|$ We being residents who moved in to the Lawn on that day.
- 22 \mathbb{Q} Could you tell me the names of those residents, please?
- 23 A The students?
- 24 \mathbb{Q} The students who knew that the torch-bearers were coming?
- 25 MR. LEVINE: Objection, Your Honor. Lack of

relevance.

MR. CANTWELL: Judge, the torch march, per the plaintiffs' own opening statement, was supposed to be a secret event. And somehow all these people know about it who are now making all these statements in court. And I want to know who infiltrated our communications and confronted us and attacked us at the Thomas Jefferson statue and then blamed us for that violence.

MR. LEVINE: Your Honor, object to Mr. Cantwell's speech to the jury. Press the relevance objection.

THE COURT: Overruled. Who told you?

THE WITNESS: The senior resident of the Lawn at the time, who got the information from the deans, who saw the gathering happening at Nameless Field. From my understanding of how they got that information, once the torches were being lit or people were organizing around Nameless Field, the deans told the senior resident on the Lawn, who told me.

BY MR. CANTWELL:

- Q Okay. I'm not familiar with the structure here, so if I could go through this with you. The senior resident of the Lawn, did you say?
- A They're like the RA for the Lawn, the resident advisor, the student who is in charge of the other students.
 - Q And it was your understanding that the senior resident of the Lawn had heard this from the dean?

- 1 A The dean of students, or I don't know -- I frankly do not
- 2 know. UVA administration at some point.
- $3 \mid Q$ From the administration. Okay.
- 4 Are you familiar with a website called itsgoingdown.org?
- 5 A No.
- 6 Q Are you familiar with a website called Unicorn Riot?
- 7 A No.
- 8 Q Do you know who Emily Gorcenski is?
- 9 A No.
- 10 Q Are you a member of any activist groups?
- 11 A No.
- 12 MR. CANTWELL: I'm showing you Plaintiffs' Exhibit
- 13 323. Could we show this again to the jury, please. I think
- 14 this was just put into evidence.
- 15 I'm sorry. I don't have my screen plugged in here.
- 16 II apologize.
- 17 BY MR. CANTWELL:
- 18 **|**Q So you were in your -- is it your dorm?
- 19 A It's a Lawn room, but yes, a dorm room.
- 20 \parallel Q Lawn room is the -- Lawn room, fair to say, is a
- 21 \parallel UVA-specific term for the dormitory where you were staying?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 \mathbb{I}_{Q} Okay. And how far was that from Pavilion X?
- 24 A Just two doors down.
- 25 \mathbb{I} Q Oh, just two doors down?

- A Like the balcony stretches over the Lawn rooms and
- 2 Pavilion X is two doors from my room.
- 3 Q And you said that you were inside of your dorm and you had
- 4 some kind of -- I'm paraphrasing -- Jewish symbolism within the
- 5 | apartment there?
- 6 A Yes.

- 7 Q And you turned this down inside of the place?
- 8 A I flipped my painting and I removed my jewelry.
- 9 Q Okay. And you did that to go two doors down?
- 10 A Yes, before I left my room.
- 11 Q And did you have to go outside of the building to get two
- 12 doors down?
- 13 A Yes. On the sidewalk.
- 14 Q So you hid all your Jewish symbols inside your apartment
- 15 \parallel and you went outside, and then you went two doors down?
- 16 **A** Yes.
- 17 Q And from two doors down, then you went up to the second
- 18 story?
- 19 **|** A Yes.
- 20 Q And that's where you shot this video?
- 21 A From the balcony, yes.
- 22 \parallel Q All right. I'm going to play this video.
- 23 (Video playing.)
- Now, did I hear you correctly, did you say you saw a
- 25 swastika tattoo on a man's arm?

- A When I was leaving my door. So when I opened my door to walk out with Wendy Baucom, the person closest to me had a swastika.
- Q So it seems to me from this video that the people that we're talking about are pretty far from Pavilion X. Is that fair to say?
- 7 A No. This is from the balcony, this is overlooking it,
 8 like a bird's eye view. When I saw that, they were not even me
 9 to this table that I saw people marching in front of me as I
 10 was leaving.
- MR. LEVINE: The witness is describing about 5 feet,
 Your Honor.
- THE COURT: She said 12 earlier. But let her testify.
- 15 BY MR. CANTWELL:
- 16 Q I'm sorry. So you're saying that somewhere in the range 17 of 4 to 12 feet was the distance between you and these people 18 with the torches?
- 19 A Yes.

1

2

- Q The whole of the people; it wasn't some stray person that got away from the crowd?
- A The mass of the crowd was walking up the grass of the
 Lawn, which was directly in front of my door. This video is
 from the balcony, right atop my room.
- 25 \mathbb{I} Q The balcony on the second floor?

- 1 A There is one floor and then, yes, the second floor.
- 2 Q And so from the second floor, these specks of light off in
- 3 the distance, are you telling me that these are -- I mean, you
- 4 might be some number of feet above them, but you're saying this
- 5 is about 12 feet in front of the building?
- 6 A In front of me when I was leaving my Lawn room. So when I
- 7 was leaving my Lawn room, they were right outside the grass.
- 8 There is a walkway and then there is the grass. I saw them in
- 9 front of me when they were in front of the grass, just a couple
- 10 of yards away.
- 11 ||Q| If that's the case, why would you leave the apartment?
- 12 A There's no bathroom in the Lawn room. I was by myself.
- 13 And my neighbor told me to come with her so that I was safe
- 14 \parallel with her, rather than by myself in a room without a bathroom,
- 15 without a back door. The only way out was towards this crowd
- 16 of people marching with flames.
- 17 Q I'm sorry, the only way to go to the bathroom is to go
- 18 | outside?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q Are you sure?
- 21 \blacksquare A These were built in 1817. We walk down the Lawn, go
- 22 behind and, like, go to the bathroom. It's the historic part
- 23 of grounds.
- 24 Q All right. Well, okay. Wow. That's harrowing.
- 25 \blacksquare All right. And so at the end of -- towards the end of

your testimony, I think that you declined to finish a sentence. 2 You said something to the effect of "it was the most victim," 3 and then stopped. What were you going to say? It was the most traumatized I ever felt as a Jewish 4 5 person. I was scared for my life. I thought that I was going to die if people knew who I was. I had reason to believe with 6 7 lit flames in front of me that these people were angry, that 8 they were hating who I am. And so it is the most traumatized I 9 have ever been as a Jewish person, having seen a mass of people 10 with lit torches chanting "Jews will not replace us." 11 And so I did feel victimized as a Jewish person living in 12 my home, being victim to this happening in a place that's very 13 important to me. 14 Right. Okay. And so if they had been chanting "students against Jewish supremacy," would you have felt better about it? 15 16 I don't think I would have felt good with anyone lighting 17 flames in front of my door. 18 MR. CANTWELL: No further questions. Thank you. 19 MR. CAMPBELL: No questions, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Anyone? 21 MR. SPENCER: No questions. 22 THE COURT: All right. 23 Members of the jury, this witness was allowed to 24 describe the events as they were taking place on the Lawn. You 25 understand she's not a plaintiff in the case and you shouldn't

take her feelings and project them onto the plaintiffs. But she was allowed to testify as to what she saw, and she used rather conclusory terms about, you know, horrific and -- I don't know if she said that. But it was -- they are allowed to explain the experience that night, the event, the atmosphere surrounding it and that type of thing. She's not a plaintiff, as I said. But she was only allowed to testify as to what she saw and the feelings and explain the event.

We're going to recess now until 1:30. Do not discuss the case with anyone. Do not allow anyone to discuss it with you. Do not remain within hearing of anyone discussing the case.

(Jury out, 12:26 p.m.)

(Recess.)

THE COURT: Just a minute. I want to mention a couple of things before the jury comes back.

I'll just say this about the video exhibit that we had come up earlier. Before referring to any exhibit, whether it's been admitted into evidence already or not, please refer to it as a -- by number. In any event, a witness -- this is going forward. A witness may only testify concerning contents of a video based on personal knowledge of the matter, such as first having the witness identify themselves in the witness -- in the video, and further eliciting a response that the video fairly represents the situation depicted. As I stated at the

outset of court today, the Court encourages parties to discuss 2 ahead of time any specific video exhibits sought to be 3 introduced on cross before the cross-examination itself. 4 With respect to that particular video, did you show 5 that, Mr. Kolenich? I couldn't tell at the end whether you did or not. 6 7 MR. KOLENICH: I don't think I tried to put a video 8 in, Your Honor. 9 MR. JONES: It was me. It was Mr. Jones. 10 THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry. Mr. Jones. I'm sorry. 11 Did you show that video? 12 MR. JONES: I actually don't know whether it was 13 admitted or not. I think it was. 14 THE COURT: Was it played to the jury? It was. 15 THE CLERK: 16 THE COURT: Okay. All right. But I allowed that in. 17 But it's questionable whether the proper foundation was laid in that case. 18 19 I think -- Mr. Kolenich, did you show that to a 20 witness before? I had seen that video before. 21 MR. KOLENICH: It may have been a cross after I asked 22 some questions. I'm fairly certain I haven't attempted to 23 introduce any exhibits or publish any to this point. 24 THE COURT: Did you ask Mr. Willis about it? 25 MR. KOLENICH: I don't recall crossing Mr. Willis,

1 because I was absent, Your Honor, on Monday. 2 MS. DUNN: Your Honor, we don't believe this was 3 discussed, the video, or admitted, either. One concern we have that we discussed over the break 4 5 is that defense counsel made a huge deal about plaintiffs not wanting the jury to see the video. That was not our objection. 6 7 We objected because it was not proper foundation. And we would ask the Court to instruct the jury that it was not proper 8 foundation. 9 10 It was, I think, very prejudicial. Every defense 11 counsel said, let them see all the evidence. 12 THE COURT: Okay. 13 MS. DUNN: And that's not our point. And I think it 14 was very, very unfortunate that that happened. 15 THE COURT: Okay. If you would suggest a curative 16 instruction, I would be happy to give one. 17 MS. DUNN: Thank you, Your Honor. We will write 18 something right now. We appreciate it. 19 THE COURT: Okay. 20 MS. DUNN: Your Honor, we will write an instruction, 21 but just because we're at the beginning of this stage, two more 22 things. 23 One is it's improper to make comments like that in 24 front of the jury. So we will think about what we can say that 25 won't compound the problem.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A second problem that we are having is, as you saw, the defendants in their crosses -- or, I'm sorry, in their directs, which are not supposed to be leading, are testifying, including pro se defendants who are percipient witnesses. These are both very large problems rights now. THE COURT: All right. It's also a problem sometimes with you. MS. DUNN: I understand, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. So that applies across the board. All right. And then I wanted to mention something with respect to the last witness, Ms. D'Costa's testimony. To the extent she was describing the events that she witnessed and her perception of the event, the Court clarified -- attempted to clarify for the jury that the testimony -- that she is not a plaintiff and that any emotional impact she may have had shouldn't be projected onto the plaintiffs. The emotional impact of these events on third parties came up in a form in the motion in limine in Docket 1148. plaintiffs' opposition, they stated: "Plaintiffs do not seek recovery for the emotional distress of non-parties and do not intend to argue or present evidence on that non-issue." So I think that's where Mr. Kolenich was coming from when he objects to -- he objected to that testimony. MR. LEVINE: Your Honor, Alan Levine for the

plaintiffs. I read Your Honor's colloguy with Mr. Kolenich

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

during the pretrial conference, and the colloguy and the Court's ruling related to a witness testifying about the emotional experience of a plaintiff, and we said at the time we wouldn't be calling any relative or mother to talk about the personal experience of a plaintiff. And I was very careful in directing Ms. D'Costa's direct examination to only her personal experience of what she saw, what she heard, and what she did. THE COURT: All right. But you said plaintiffs did not --MR. LEVINE: And we're not seeking any recovery --THE COURT: Okay. But no more witnesses telling how terrified -- no more non-parties saying how terrified they were. MR. LEVINE: Your Honor, we don't have any other such witness. THE COURT: Okay. Yes? MR. JONES: Your Honor, if I can also just make a point. Yesterday during Matt Heimbach's direct examination, the plaintiffs introduced the DeAndre Harris beating video, and I made an objection about lack of foundation and authenticity because Matt Heimbach did not personally see that incident. And the Court allowed it in because it had been filed with the Court and not objected to previously. So that's why --THE COURT: What was the difference in those two?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. DUNN: The difference is we showed Mr. Heimbach the video because he had identified shields and an individual that were in that video. We asked him only questions about the shields and what he would recognize in the video. The video will come in later anyway through a different witness who was physically there, and we made that clear at the time. MR. JONES: It was admitted. THE COURT: All right. Anything else on that? MR. JONES: No, Your Honor. The same thing with all those --THE COURT: Anyway, going forward, I hope we know --MR. JONES: That's clear, Your Honor. And I appreciate that. But I will object to a curative instruction, because I think it's happened to both sides. MS. DUNN: Your Honor, we disagree with that, and we are writing up the curative instruction. THE COURT: Okay. MS. DUNN: Look, just to explain, we understand that lawyer questions are not evidence. And I think one issue that's been happening here is Your Honor has said that to the jury, and that certainly applies to my questions and Mr. Jones and the other counsel. We are having an issue that when Mr. Spencer and Mr. Cantwell ask their questions in a leading and argumentative

way, they are percipient witnesses. So it's different. And we ask that this gets sorted out, because it's very prejudicial, what's happening.

THE COURT: You've argued that point, and I think it's well-taken. We all understand.

Anyway, back to Ms. D'Costa. The emotional impact of these events on third parties came in in the form of a motion in limine. In plaintiffs' opposition, they stated:

"Plaintiffs do not seek recovery for emotional distress for non-parties and do not intend to argue or present evidence on that non-issue." In oral argument on this issue, the Court held that it would be inadmissible if plaintiffs sought to have a third party, such as plaintiffs' mother, testify about her daughter's injury and experience. The oral order ended there after limiting non-party testimony consistent therewith.

The Court allowed the testimony of Ms. D'Costa and found her description of the relevant events and, to the extent there was any prejudice to defendants arising therefrom, such prejudice was not undue prejudice, since it was relevant to the issue of animus and people's perception of defendants' acts, and much of the witness's testimony to the effect was elicited by defendant -- well, in particular, Mr. Cantwell in cross.

And I tried to tell the jury, and I think -- I said it was a present-sense impression, which is not exactly the right term, why something like that is admissible. I think

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

it's more in terms of -- of a lay opinion, where a lay witness might express an opinion about -- on what they saw in describing what they saw. And they, in general, are allowed to explain why they -- you know, for the lack of -- they use some conclusory language, for the lack of a better term. But the testimony explains how they came to whatever opinion they had. They're not general experts. Anyway, since that's not going to come up again, we'll proceed. Is that video, the one we're talking about, is that likely to come up again? I know I saw it before. Somebody pointed out the big gentleman in the --MR. JONES: I don't at present have any plans to. THE COURT: Can we bring in the jury and do that later? MS. KAPLAN: Your Honor, would you like us to bring the witness in? THE COURT: Excuse me? You would like to have -- who is the next witness? MS. KAPLAN: Professor Deborah Lipstadt. THE COURT: Okay. That's the expert? MS. KAPLAN: Yes. I'll just note for the record, because she's an expert, she will not be testifying as a percipient witness, obviously, about evidence in this case. THE COURT: You can go ahead and call her.

All right. Call the jury back.

I'm going to read something.

THE COURT: Be seated.

22

23

24

25

(Jury in, 1:52 p.m.)

D. Lipstadt - Direct

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Members of the jury, back when Mr. Heimbach was testifying, defense counsel asked to admit a video and plaintiffs objected on foundation grounds. That objection was well-founded. Witnesses must testify to their personal knowledge. The Court instructs you to disregard any comments by defense counsel about plaintiffs' objections. Lawyers on both sides will make objections, and that is proper. Objections are not evidence in the case. Anything else? All right. You may proceed. DEBORAH LIPSTADT, PH.D., CALLED BY THE PLAINTIFFS, SWORN DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. KAPLAN: Professor Lipstadt, what is your current job? I'm currently the Dorot Professor of Modern Jewish History and Holocaust Studies at Emory University in Atlanta, Georgia. What is your general academic focus as a scholar? As a scholar, my focus is on the history of the Holocaust and on contemporary manifestations of antisemitism. And for how long have you been teaching, Professor, at the university level? At the university level, since 1975. And just briefly, what's your educational background?

My educational background is I attended the City College

D. Lipstadt - Direct

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of New York, part of the City University of New York, in New York, and I studied for two years at the Hebrew University in Jerusalem, and then got any MA and my Ph.D. from Brandeis University. Thank you for reminding me, Professor, you used to live in New York. I currently live in New York, and we both have a tendency to speak too quickly, so let's try to -- for both of us, let's have a pact that we try to speak more slowly. I've spent a lot of years in Atlanta, so I'm learning to slow down. Professor, have you written any books as part of your scholarship? My first book was called Beyond Belief: I have. The American Press and The Coming of The Holocaust. And it attempted to answer a question a student asked me in class: What could the public have known -- not what the government or State Department officials, but what could the public have known during the Holocaust? So that book dealt with the level of information that was in newspapers. My second book was a book exploring the phenomenon of Holocaust denial. It was called Denying the Holocaust: Growing Assault on Truth and Memory. And my third book was a book called History on Trial: My Day in Court with a Holocaust Denier, because my second book

precipitated a libel suit against me, and the third book was

the story of that suit.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

My fourth book was on the Eichmann trial in 1961 in Jerusalem. Adolf Eichmann was one of the chief operating officers of the Holocaust and he was captured by the Israelis and put on trial in Jerusalem in the early '60s.

And then after that I wrote a book on the Holocaust in American culture, post-war, how through movies, through plays, radio, books, how the American public began to understand and see the Holocaust.

And the final book that I've written came out about a year and a half ago. It's called *Antisemitism: Here and Now*. And it deals with contemporary antisemitism.

- Q In addition to all those books, Professor, have you -- do you have occasion to write peer reviewed academic articles?
- A I do. I write many peer reviewed academic articles.
- 16 \parallel Q Can you give the jury just a sense of what topics those --
 - A Generally all relating to these topics. Some of those
- 18 peer review articles become parts of books eventually, but
- 19 dealing with aspects of history of the Holocaust, aspects of
- 20 antisemitism, how I understand antisemitism, exploring
- 21 different facets of it.
- Q And outside of the classroom at Emory, Professor, do you have occasion to give public lectures?
- A I give many public lectures. I'm what some people would call a public intellectual. I'm very much committed to the

- 1 notion that the academic work I do should be made as widely
- 2 available to the public at large, even if they're not
- 3 specialists or academics.
- 4 Q And again, just generally speaking, what was the general
- 5 | topic of those lectures?
- 6 A Of those talks? In recent years, the general topic has
- 7 been a lot on contemporary antisemitism and Holocaust denial;
- 8 not exclusively, but in a great number.
- 9 Q Have you ever served before as an expert witness in any
- 10 case?
- 11 A I have not.
- 12 MS. KAPLAN: Your Honor, I offer Professor Lipstadt
- 13 under Rules 702 and 703 as an expert to testify, expert in
- 14 antisemitism, including the history, rhetoric, language, and
- 15 symbols of antisemitism.
- 16 | THE COURT: All right. No objection. She may so
- 17 testify.
- 18 BY MS. KAPLAN:
- 19 \parallel Q Professor Lipstadt, were you asked by the plaintiffs in
- 20 | this case to render an opinion?
- 21 **|** A Yes.
- 22 Q And what were you asked to do?
- 23 $\|A\|$ I was asked to examine the materials they sent to me,
- 24 which consisted of emails, podcasts, videos, written material,
- 25 and to look for evidence of antisemitism, Nazi symbolism,

- 1 symbolism associated with the Third Reich, with the Hitler
- 2 regime, expressions of antisemitic ideology that were present
- 3 \parallel at the Unite the Right rally, march and rally, and around it.
- 4 Q And after reviewing those materials, Professor, did you
- 5 form an opinion?
- 6 A I did form an opinion.
- 7 \mathbb{Q} And what was that opinion?
- 8 \blacksquare A That opinion -- I -- I don't -- I don't surprise easily.
- 9 I've been dealing with and writing about the Holocaust, one of
- 10 the worst genocides in human history, for many, many years. So
- 11 very few things surprise me, but I was taken aback by the --
- 12 THE COURT: Excuse me. I have to stop now.
- 13 She can testify as to her opinion, but any -- I think
- 14 any emotional reaction -- that's not --
- 15 BY MS. KAPLAN:
- 16 Q No emotional reaction, Professor. Just what was your
- 17 opinion.
- 18 A My opinion was -- my opinion was that there was a great
- 19 ∥deal of overt antisemitism and adulation of the Third Reich
- 20 \parallel throughout the testimony -- throughout the evidence that I
- 21 looked at.
- 22 | Q And, Professor Lipstadt, were you asked -- was that the
- 23 only opinion you were asked to give? Is that the only --
- 24 A That's my area of expertise, yes.
- 25 \parallel Q So were you asked to give an opinion about whether any of

- 1 the defendants at all committed or were engaged in a conspiracy
- 2 to commit racially motivated violence?
- 3 A I was not. I have no expertise in that area.
- 4 Q And after doing the work that you just described,
- 5 Professor, did you write a report?
- 6 A I did.
- 7 MS. KAPLAN: Your Honor, may I have permission to
- 8 approach?
- 9 THE COURT: Yes.
- 10 BY MS. KAPLAN:
- 11 Q Professor Lipstadt, could you tell me, is that the report
- 12 | that you wrote?
- 13 A Yes, it is.
- 14 \parallel Q Okay. That's not admissible, so I'm not seeking to admit
- 15 it into evidence, but I just want you to have it there in front
- 16 of you.
- 17 Now, let's back up for a moment, Professor.
- 18 How would you define the term "antisemitism"?
- 19 A Simply put, I would say it's Jew hatred; hatred of someone
- 20 Inot because of what they do or who they are, but because they
- 21 Hare a Jew, simply put. You know they're a Jew and you despite
- 22 | them and you want -- maybe even want to do them harm. That
- 23 would be my simple definition.
- 24 \mathbb{Q} How does antisemitism relate to the word "prejudice"?
- 25 Antisemitism is a prejudice. If you think about the root

of the word "prejudice," prejudge: I've made up my mind. 2 Don't confuse me with the facts. So that when you see a Jew, 3 you assume you know exactly what their financial status is, you know what their morals are, you know what their politics are, 5 you know what their ethical behavior is, simply because they are a Jew. 6 7 And in the course of your career, Professor, have you 8 formed a view as to whether there are certain common 9 stereotypes or characteristics of antisemitism? 10 Yes. I would say there's a template. As is the case with 11 virtually every prejudice, there's a template of charges that 12 you find, if not all of them, a goodly number of them in --13 present in antisemitic rhetoric or comments. And there would be four, which I could enumerate. 14 If you could. 15 16 One having to do with inordinate financial power: 17 financially controlling banks, financially controlling the 18 economy. 19 One having to do with Jews being smart, but not smart in a 20 good way. Smart in a malicious, in an evil way. You know, I'd 21 use the word "clever"; conniving, crafty. 22 The third element I would say is Jews -- what I call --23 what we might call in common parlance punching above their 24 weight: Small in number, not a whole lot of them, but somehow 25 managing to control a major portion of society.

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And the fourth element I would put in there is the Jew as a devil, or the Jew has ancient roots. And it's migrated into all different forms of antisemitism, in that the devil comes and does his handiwork without your knowing it. You don't know you've been impacted by the devil. You don't know you've been harmed by the devil until the devil has come and gone. So the Jew works surreptitiously, secretly, behind the scenes, to do harm to the general population for their own benefit. Professor Lipstadt, does antisemitism exist across the political spectrum? Antisemitism is ubiquitous. It appears everywhere. across the political spectrum, across religious spectrums. is -- in that sense, that's one of its unique qualities compared to some other prejudices, that -- how it appears in so many different forms. And what about geography? Is antisemitism limited geographically? Well, we've seen -- its worst impact, of course, we saw in Europe because of World War II; but no, it can be all over the place. It can be all over the place, even in places where there are no Jews, because the idea is so old and has such long legs, so to speak, that it pops up in -- and more than pops up, but is rooted in other places. You've mentioned the Holocaust a couple of times already, Professor Lipstadt. If you could just explain to the jury,

1 what was the Holocaust? The Holocaust was the state-sponsored genocide by the 2 3 Third Reich, by the Nazis, by the Hitler regime, which was in power from 1933 to 1945. And it was a systematic plan to 5 annihilate all the Jews of Europe, and actually beyond as well. And it didn't matter whether the Jew lived within German 7 territory or outside of German territory; it didn't matter if they were of fighting age, or they were old and had to be 9 wheeled to the boxcars which took them to the gas chambers on a gurney, or if they were a tiny baby. It just didn't matter. 10 11 If you were a Jew or if they considered you a Jew -- conversion 12 did not help you. Even if your parents converted, it did not 13 help you; they considered you a Jew. You were to be annihilated. 14 If I can give one historical fact to demonstrate this: 15 16 After the Americans and the British, the Allies, the Canadians, 17 landed at Normandy in June of '44 and after the Americans 18 already were in Italy and moving north and they had liberated 19 Rome, and the Soviets were coming west -- so, in other words, 20 the vice was tightening around the Nazis; it was clear they 21 were not going to win -- they took ships and went to the island 22 of Rhodes, where there was an ancient Jewish community from the

time predating Jesus, easily 2,500, 3,000 years old, and they

took those Jews to Auschwitz and murdered them because there

was such a determination to do this.

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And excuse me, Professor, where is the Isle of Rhodes? The Isle of Rhodes in the Mediterranean. There has been some discussion in this case already about Hitler. What was Hitler's role with respect to the Holocaust? Hitler was the driving force. He was the -- if you could say "genius," evil genius who conceived of it. He didn't plan all of it. He didn't tell his underlings exactly how to carry it out, but he was very clear that every Jew must be destroyed. That isn't what he says initially, but it's very clear initially, even before he comes into power, that he believes that, for Germany to be the strongest nation possible, it must eliminate the Jews, because the Jews are the source of all evil. And how many people, approximately, Professor, were killed in the Holocaust? When we talk about the Holocaust, we're talking primarily about the murder of the Jews, and that's approximately six million, though recent research by Father Patrick Desbois, a French priest, argues that it's even more than that. But it was not just Jews who were killed. Gypsies, Roma, Sinti were murdered. If you disagreed, if you were considered a political opponent, you might be murdered. And this is speculation, but as a historian, it's -- many historians argue that, had the Germans won the war, they

probably would have murdered many, many people. They would

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have murdered religious leaders. They would have murdered Slavs. They would -- and just left enough people to provide them with food and to work for them. But all those other actions could have waited until after the war. The only systemized killing that they started even as the war was going on was that of the Jews. And, Professor Lipstadt, I think you mentioned the number six million. How does that number relate to the overall Jewish population in the world? One of every three. So if you were to take the Jewish population on the eve of the Holocaust and count one, two, three, one, two, three, they would have been murdered. Now, you mentioned earlier, talking about your books, that there was -- I think it was a defamation trial against you in the United Kingdom? Yes, there was. In my book, "Denying the Holocaust: Growing Assault on Truth and Memory," I made brief reference, I would say maybe 300 words, maybe not even that much, to a man named David Irving, who was a writer, a writer of historical works, who had become an overt Holocaust denier, denied that -first he denied that Hitler had any role in the Holocaust, and then afterwards he said there was no Holocaust. And I wrote that he was a Holocaust denier and I argued that Holocaust denial is a form of antisemitism.

He -- once the book was published in England -- it was

bought and published by Penguin UK -- he sued me for libel 2 there, and he was able to do that because I had, quote unquote, 3 done business in the UK by having a book published there. was a very long trial of about ten weeks with a couple of 5 years' preparation before that. And we won overwhelmingly. The judge talked about his antisemitism, his racism, his 7 hatred, his twisting of historical facts, how he would take a 8 truth and twist it, and that it was done deliberately. 9 wasn't a mistake that might have happened in his work, but this was a deliberate effort. 10 11 That leads me to my next question, Professor. Have you 12 ever heard the phrase "Holohoax"? 13 Yes. Α 14 What does that mean? 15 It's a crude way of Holocaust denial, that the Jews have 16 pulled this hoax on the world by claiming there was a 17 Holocaust. And of course it is so counter -- I mean, for 18 someone who believes in the Holohoax, or Holocaust denial, call 19 it whatever term you want, for them to be right, who -- I have 20 posed this question with my students: Who would have to be 21 wrong? Well, certainly all the survivors who tell their 22 stories and have given evidence. But not just them. 23 survivors, the Poles, the Russians, the Lithuanians who lived 24 in the towns and villages near the killing sites, near the camps, who saw the trains going in day after day, coming out 25

empty, who smelled the burning flesh, they would have to be wrong.

The thousands of historians all over the world would either have to have been duped or be in on the hoax. And then finally the Germans themselves. There has never been a war crimes trial for crimes against humanity, including the Holocaust, where a Nazi war criminal has said it didn't happen. They have said I didn't do it, or I had no choice, but never that it didn't happen.

- Q Professor, are you familiar with a book called Mein Kampf?
- 11 A Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- 12 Q What is Mein Kampf?
 - Hitler wrote when he was in detention after his aborted attempt to overthrow the -- Weimar Democratic Germany. Germany had a democracy between World War I and the Nazi rise to power. And he wanted to do a putsch, an overthrow, a coup d'etat, a coup against the government. And he was sentenced to approximately two years in jail, and in very comfortable circumstances, he wrote this book, "My Struggle."
- 21 \parallel Q And what does he say about Jews in the book?
- 22 A He talks about Jews as being not part of the Volk,
- 23 V-O-L-K, sort of the folk, the nation, that they are foreign to
- 24 lit, that they are the source of all the troubles of Germany.
- 25 | They and they alone are the backstabbers, the ones who stabbed

- Germany in the back and had it lose the war. If there's a problem in Germany, they are the ones responsible for it.
- Q Professor Lipstadt, are you familiar with the phrase "Daily Stormer"?
- 5 A Yes.

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 6 0 What is that?
- A It's a -- first of all, the title is a play on a newspaper that appeared in Nazi Germany, *Der Stürmer*, which was published. The publisher was a man named Julius Streicher, who
- was one of the defendants on trial at the Nuremberg trials
- 11 after the war where the Allies, the British, the Americans,
- 12 French, etc, tried Nazi war criminals.
 - And it was replete with antisemitism. The current rendition is also replete with antisemitism, but instead of being called -- it's clear that it's a play on the words "Der Stürmer," The Daily Stormer.
 - MS. KAPLAN: I'm going to ask Mr. Shepherd to put a document that's already in evidence as Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2033 on the screen and ask him to turn to page 10.
- 20 BY MS. KAPLAN:
- Q And I want to look at the paragraph that begins "Prime directive: Always blame the Jews for everything." Do you see that, Professor?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 | Q The first paragraph, well, you can read it out loud if you

want. I want to hear your thoughts on that. 2 "As Hitler says, people will become confused and 3 disheartened if they feel there are multiple enemies. As such, all enemies should be combined into one enemy, which is the 5 Jews. This is pretty much objectively true anyway, but we want to leave out any and all nuance." 6 7 And if you see above, the paragraph above the header, Professor, there's a reference to Mein Kampf. Do the ideas 8 9 expressed in that paragraph that you just read, are they reminiscent of Mein Kampf? 10 11 They evoke, yes -- they are quite reminiscent of what's in 12 Mein Kampf. 13 Can you explain? 14 That this was Hitler's -- he was, as I say, again, I'm 15 reluctant to call him a genius, but at the very least an evil 16 genius. And he understood very well how propaganda works. And 17 he understood that if you give people nuance and say, well, 18 this is the banks or this part was responsible for this -- this 19 suffering and this is responsible for another suffering, people 20 get confused. 21 But if you say to them, it's that one group, and you pick 22 a group which for millennia before that have often been blamed 23 for things, poisoning of wells when there was bubonic plague or 24 whatever it might have been -- people will be more ready to accept it. You simplify. You simplify. Again, this wasn't 25

just Hitler. It was Joseph Goebbels, the propaganda chief. 2 Take an idea, simplify it and repeat it and repeat it. 3 I want to skip the paragraph and I want you to look at the paragraph that begins, "This basically includes blaming the 5 Jews for the behavior of other non-whites." "This basically includes blaming the Jews for the behavior 6 7 of other non-whites. Of course, it should not be that they are innocent, but the message should always be that if we didn't 9 have the Jews, we could figure out how to deal with the 10 non-whites very easily." Let me ask you a first question. I want to take it in 11 12 pieces. What does non-white mean? 13 People -- today we would use the term "people of color," anyone who doesn't look like a white person. But that also 14 15 would include Jews. Jews are not considered whites by people 16 who write these kind of things. 17 And what is -- in terms of this kind of antisemitism, 18 what's the relationship between Jews and non-whites? 19 Well, this -- here what is being said and what's being 20 argued and what this is evocative of is what I would call the 21 replacement theory, white genocide replacement theory, which 22 argues that Jews control other non-whites in an effort to 23 destroy white society, to destroy white national societies, to 24 destroy societies which have been primarily white,

European-rooted, etc, and that you blame -- if you feel they're

non-whites or people think the non-whites are doing bad things, 2 blame the Jews for it. 3 MS. KAPLAN: You can take that down, Mr. Shepherd. 4 THE WITNESS: Blame the Jews for it, but the message 5 is that it is the Jews' fault. BY MS. KAPLAN: 6 7 With respect to the United States, Professor Lipstadt, 8 what are the roots or the beginnings in the United States of 9 this idea of replacement theory? 10 You begin to see it first in the late 1960s, early 1970s, 11 though it begins to gain more traction in the '90s, when there 12 are at least legal changes in the status, in America's 13 treatment of or legal status of particularly the black 14 population, the African American population, with the voting law, the civil rights law, housing bills, anti-discriminatory 15 16 bills. And there were some -- some people who felt that -- who 17 were disturbed by this. 18 And they were convinced that the people of color could not 19 be doing this on their own. There had to be someone behind the 20 scenes manipulating it, making it happen. And that person --21 in other words, the people of color were the puppets according 22 to this theory, and the Jew was the puppeteer. The Jew was the 23 one controlling this development.

Q Professor Lipstadt, are you aware of replacement theory having any other names?

24

1 White genocide replacement theory, white replacement 2 theory, sometimes it's called white Christian replacement 3 theory. Did you see -- in the materials you reviewed for this 4 5 case, Professor Lipstadt, did you see evidence of this idea of replacement theory? 6 7 I saw it in an overwhelming fashion, more than I expected 8 to see it. Certainly from the first night of the -- of the -at the events at the University of Virginia with the march, "Jews will not replace us," which confused many people because 10 they said what is that about? What are they talking about 11 12 there? But that's what that was about. 13 Let's watch just a very quick clip of that. Let's use, Mr. Spalding -- and I apologize for calling you by the wrong name. But let's watch a clip of Plaintiffs' 3474, 1:50 to 15 16 2:10, which is in evidence. 17 (Video playing.) 18 MS. KAPLAN: With the volume. Can we get volume? 19 (Video playing.) 20 BY MS. KAPLAN: 21 The jury has seen this a bunch of times so I think they 22 have the idea. 23 Professor Lipstadt, can you tell me whether the tiki 24 torches that you see in that video, whether they have any

resonance in terms of the issues you study?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

They definitely have resonance. Though they've been used in many places, and you could put one in your backyard to ward off mosquitoes or something, but when used in a rally, with the fire, with the marching, that is very much evocative, it evokes, it reminds one who has studied this of the propaganda techniques of the person I previously mentioned, Joseph Goebbels, who was one of Hitler's closest associates. Every time there was a major event, including on January 30th, 1933, the night Hitler became chancellor, he would organize one of these marches, with fire, with the tiki torches. And they -- for a historian who studies this period, the connection is absolutely clear. Professor, I'm going to ask Mr. Spalding to put up Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1827, which was in evidence through Mr. Heimbach, and I think the record reflects that it was written by Mr. Heimbach. And I want to look at the first paragraph, and ask you, focusing on that paragraph and especially the last sentence, what that says to you about this kind of ideology at play, at least in this document? Can I read it aloud? Please. "The Unite the Right event in Charlottesville, Virginia, on August 12th is going to be more than yet another successful nationalist event for our rapidly growing and rapidly moving cause. It's going to be a defining rally, proving that the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

populist movement is built on a solid bedrock of nationalism and that America's nationalists have finally set aside their bickering, second-guessing, and factionalism, to deliver a clear and simple white nationalist message: We will not be replaced." So what I understood and what I see in that paragraph is that this is going to be more than just a successful nationalist rally, but it's going to be defining. It's going to be change -- a defining moment, and it's going to prove that the populist movement, this far right wing movement, is built on a solid bedrock of nationalism. But it's to deliver a clear and simple white nationalist message: We will not be replaced. So it's not just nationalism, that we're going to be -affirm Americans and affirm our American identity, which is quite common and can be a very positive thing, but it is a white nationalist message. And it is to some degree a call to arms. "We will not be replaced." It's not just a rally to make us feel good, to make us feel affirmed, we're strong in number, but this is a call to battle. We will not be replaced. If someone is out to replace you and I'm calling you to come together, and what's on the -- what's in the cards is a possible elimination of your group, you get the message. We will not be replaced.

Q And again, who -- people who have this view, what do they have in mind in terms of who will be doing the replacing?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Well, if they lose or if they don't succeed, the Jews will do the replacing and they will use people of color to -non-Christians to do their handiwork, but they will be doing the controlling behind the scenes. I want to go down to the second paragraph from the bottom. And if Mr. Spalding could highlight that. And if you could look at that paragraph, Professor, and ask you whether there's anything in that paragraph that has any one of those four characteristics that you spoke about earlier. "We need to scare these people, and the way to achieve that is with numbers, discipline, and determination. We must send a message to the Jewish oligarchs" -- money -- "and their hordes of minions that we will not go silently into the night as they desire. No, we will fight them. We will defeat them. We will secure our people's destiny." So first there's the overt connection to Jewish oligarchs, Jewish oligarchs controlling, controlling our future, controlling who we are --Let me stop you for a second. What does oligarch mean?

A A fabulously wealthy person, and powerful because of their wealth.

"We will not go silently into the night." Silently into the night doesn't mean just -- it means to disappear, to disintegrate, you're going to not be anymore, the end of your existence.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"No, we will fight them. We will secure our people's destiny." When you put it with some of the other things I've read, again, it's a call to arms. This is not come to an important rally that will unite our parts and we'll be stronger. But this is a call to arms. This is a call to battle. I'm going to ask Mr. Spalding to put up Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2030, which I'll represent is a tweet from one of the defendants in this case, Michael Hill. It's not yet in evidence, Your Honor, but I promise you that it will be through Mr. Hill. (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2030 marked.) BY MS. KAPLAN: Professor Lipstadt, again, talk about this idea of replacement theory. Can you tell me how this tweet relates to that? "If you want to defend the South and Western civilization from the Jew and his dark-skinned allies, be at Charlottesville on August 12." So again, it builds on what -- or reaffirms strength, is what I was saying earlier about the previous segments you had me read, that this is not a rally just to strengthen our movement. To defend the South and Western civilization, Christian civilization, from the Jew and his dark-skinned allies. In other words, the Jew controlling the allies, maybe

working with them, but the Jews have control of these dark-skinned allies -- be in Charlottesville on August 12th.

MS. KAPLAN: I'm going to ask Mr. Spalding to put up

(Plaintiffs' Exhibit 3642 marked.)

MS. KAPLAN: I'll represent to the Court that this is a tweet -- the "NewGiantDad," Your Honor, is the Twitter handle for Defendant James Fields.

BY MS. KAPLAN:

Plaintiffs' Exhibit 3642.

- Q And I'm going to ask you, Professor Lipstadt, to read the words under the tweet and tell me if you know what they are.
- We must secure the existence of our people and a future for white children." That's often called the 14 Words. You often see the number 14 in white nationalist material, white nationalist literature, etc, emails, publications. And this is what it is. It's not, again, we must strengthen our movement, but this is a battle to secure the existence of our people and a future for the white children. In other words, if we don't secure our existence, our children have no future. Again, a call to arms.

MS. KAPLAN: Your Honor, if I may, I understand that under the rules as we previously discussed that both the prior exhibit, which is 2030, and the current exhibit, 3642, can be shown to the jury subject to us getting them in, which I assure Your Honor, as we discussed, we will.

with Adolf Hitler? 21

22 Why -- that statement?

23 Q Yes.

24

Well, that's exactly what Hitler was saying. That's

25 exactly what he was arguing, what he was proposing, what he was

promulgating in his book, Mein Kampf, and in everything he did afterwards. That this is a war, not a war, just a war to win territory, but this is a war of annihilation, either we annihilate our enemies or they annihilate us.

And I think this speaks exactly to that, that there's no living -- there's no doing it part way. We have to do it all the way.

Q I'm going to now play again for both the witness and the jury -- not yet in evidence but we're going to get it into evidence later this afternoon -- a video, Plaintiffs'

Exhibit 207, of Defendant Robert "Azzmador" Ray giving a speech after the Unite the Right rally in Charlottesville on August 12, 2017.

(Video playing.)

Now, Professor Lipstadt, given your expertise on the history of antisemitism, can you please explain whether, and if so, how these words relate to the kind of themes of antisemitism that you've been discussing and that you talk about in your report?

A Well, they certainly -- when they talk about drinking our blood, that goes back to one of the very old antisemitic myths of Jews using the blood of Christian children to prepare their matzah, the unleavened bread they eat on Passover. And this charge became so pernicious, so evil that you even had popes saying this is not true. And bishops and cardinals saying this

is not true. But it was something that did -- nonetheless, it continues to exist, as we just heard.

Bury us in brown sludge, whether that -- that could have a double meaning, brown sludge talking very distastefully and disgustingly about people of color, but it also could mean Jews causing disease, causing white people to suffer, one of the charges in the Middle Ages. I mentioned it earlier, when there was bubonic plague across Europe, caused by rats -- we know that -- but they accused Jews of poisoning the wells because you had a familiar enemy. When you said to people the rats caused it, they looked -- everyone is dying because of the rats? No. But when you say Jews poisoned the well, it fit into their belief.

And again, the war of extermination. I don't know if that was his exact term, but this is a war to the end.

Q I'm going to put up a document that came into evidence yesterday, Professor Lipstadt. It's a post by Defendant Heimbach. It's Plaintiffs' 2499.

And I would ask Mr. Spalding to highlight the one, two, three, four, fifth -- oh, he's already done it -- post there from Mr. Heimbach.

If you could read that and explain again for the jury how and if so -- whether, and if so, how, the ideas expressed there relate to your expertise as an expert in antisemitism?

A "The total destruction of Jewry is the only way to ensure

that we will no longer be plagued by the eternal enemy of all mankind."

So can I parse it sentence by sentence?

Q Please do.

A Okay. So the total destruction. So in other words we're not just saying limit the Jews' power, but we're talking this is almost Hitlerian language, the total destruction of Jewry is the only way that we will ensure that we will no longer be plagued by the eternal enemy of all mankind. So the Jew becomes the enemy of everyone.

"If they are not destroyed in their entirety, they will come back to attack and subvert all free people and nations."

So again, it's that idea that you have to bring to the gas chamber the 91-year-old woman and you have to bring the little baby born but a few days ago, because if either continues to exist, you are in danger for your own existence.

"This is a total war because the Jews are waging a total war against us. They want nothing less than the slavery of our children and the destruction of our folks -- our folk. To do anything less than wage a war of extermination against these vermin would be a betrayal of our future generations."

The use of "vermin," very often it's a -- it's a trope, it's a theme that you see, or a way of describing the Jew, using the Jew, the Jew is vermin, the Jew is dirty, the Jew as someone, a purveyor of disease. So the word vermin there is

not I think happenstance.

"Would be a total betrayal of our future generations. We did not start this war, but we will finish it, once and for all."

So again, it picks up on the themes we've been seeing for the past couple of minutes and a good portion of this time.

This is a total war. This is a war that must be fought to the end. And it's not just in order for you to win that you wage this war, but it's in order for you to survive. It's what academics might call an existential war for your very existence. This is for your existence, not for your being stronger than your neighbors, not for getting the upper hand. This is a war to the death because it's either you or them.

Q I want to touch on now briefly, Professor, this idea of the Jew as the master puppeteer that you talked about earlier.

I'm going to ask Mr. Spalding to put up another document that came into evidence through Mr. Heimbach yesterday,

Plaintiffs' 1824. It's a long document so I'm going to ask

Mr. Spalding to turn to page 52.

And let me ask you to begin with, have you heard the expression "JQ"? "JQ," Professor?

- A Oh, yes. The Jewish question, yeah, sure.
- Q And I want you to look at the first two paragraphs, but focusing more specifically -- no, I'm sorry, the second -- the second and third paragraph, focusing specifically on the third

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

paragraph. And I want you, if you could read that and explain, parse it any way you want, whether that is relevant to this idea of the Jew as the master puppeteer? "Jews do not only have a disproportionate control over international finance, but the world media, the military-industrial complex, and most social movements that promote antisocial, anti-national values. You cannot be a nationalist and truly work to save your people if you do not identify and act against the Jew as our primary enemy." It reiterates many of them, brings them together. The Jew with his control -- disproportionate control over international finance. And I want to just point out something here, which I haven't done before. There may be a Jew who does something, a Jew who is a chairwoman of a bank or chairman of a corporation, but for this it becomes "the Jews," so that the Jew is always seen in their entirety. But the -- not only international finance, world media, military-industrial complex, social movements, everything. "You cannot be a nationalist and truly work to save your people if you do not identify and act against the Jews as our primary enemy." So if you want to be a nationalist -- and I'll put in there the word "white nationalist," to save your nation, don't call yourself one unless you're identifying and acting against the Jew as our primary enemy. I think it's quite unambiguous.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(Video playing.)

And I want to go to the final paragraph there, and if you could tell me whether that final paragraph, the one that begins, "the Jew must be understood," if that expresses any of the kind of core characteristics or stereotypes historically of antisemitism? "The Jew must be understood to defeat them. And at their core, they are the servants of chaos, destruction, and Satan himself" -- Satan, of course, being another name for the devil, as I said earlier. "The Jews love to hide how many of them are involved in the world-destroying" -- "in the world-destroying project of globalism, capitalism, and communism, but the truth eliminate -- illuminate them for the enemies that they are." So once again, the Jew as controlling, the Jew as Satan, the Jew as trying to control the whole world, capitalism and communism. You know, I always thought those two were counter, you know, at odds with each other. But they are enemies. And in order to avoid this chaos, this destruction, we must destroy them. I want to turn now, Professor, to -- reference for Nazi Germany or manifestations of Nazi Germany and Adolf Hitler. And I'm going to ask Mr. Spalding to play a video that the jury has already seen and is in evidence, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2514.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

What's going on in that video? "Sieg Heil" was called in Germany the Hitler gruß, or the Hitler greeting. Sometimes it was "Heil Hitler"; sometimes it was "Sieg Heil." It means "Hail victory." And it was directly associated with the Third Reich, with the Hitler regime, so much so that it became outlawed in Germany after the war. But the only place -- there's some symbols that I found that are very much associated with the Nazis, with the fascists during World War II. But they're also -- we can find them in other places. This is the only place we can find "Sieg Heil," "Heil Hitler." I have a colleague at another university, born in Germany, raised in a non-Jewish family, and the war ended when she was 5, I think, or something like that. It was only after the war ended that she was -- learned that there was -- that you said "good morning" and you didn't say "Heil Hitler" when you woke up and you greeted your parents. Professor, what was the gesture that the men in that video were doing with their arm? It's an outstretched -- it's an outstretched arm. You see it in many documentaries of the Third Reich. There's a famous documentary by Leni Riefenstahl, Triumph of the Will, which is 23 a documentary of a major Nazi rally, Nuremberg rally, in 1934. You see people doing it passionately, to one another, but it's "Hail victory," "Heil Hitler."

1

2

5

25

Have you heard of the phrase "blood and soil," Professor? "Blut und Boden" is the German way. In fact, I 3 believe we heard it chanted, or I heard it in some the videos, chanted from the Unite the Right rally. 4 And what it means is -- it has -- it's a German -- it was very popular in Germany -- not solely in Germany -- before, but 6 7 certainly very much associated with, the Third Reich. And what it was saying, essentially, is: If you are not of our blood, 8 9 and if you are not of our soil -- and that doesn't mean work 10 the soil, because there were many Jews in Germany who were in 11 agriculture -- but if you are not of us, from our very soil, 12 from our very blood, you are foreign to us. You are an enemy 13 to us. And if you try to pass as one of us, you're even more 14 dangerous, because we can't identify you. Professor Lipstadt, what does the word "kike" mean? 15 16 The word "kike" is equivalent to other derogatory terms 17 used for ethnic groups. It's the word used for Jews. 18 not know the exact origins. Linguists have different theories 19 on it. But it's an American term that became a derogatory term 20 to refer to Jews and is as unacceptable today as other 21 derogatory terms are for other groups. 22 Have you seen it spelled, Professor, different ways? 23 Yes. In some of the material that was sent to me to 24 review I saw repeatedly that it was spelled "kyak," K-Y-A-K,

which -- it's clear it had nothing to do with kayaking.

was nothing about boating where it was spelled that way, but it 2 was clearly done and is often done in order to avoid the 3 filters that some of the social media platforms put up. They'll pick up that word and they'll pull the post. They 5 won't let you post it. But if you -- "kyak," kayak is a sport, 6 so you can slip under the radar. 7 I'm going to -- before I say that, did you see references 8 or use of the word "kyak" -- excuse me -- "kike" in the 9 materials you reviewed? 10 Both "kike" and "kyak." 11 I'm going to show you a social media post -- don't put it 12 up yet, Mr. Spalding -- that's from Defendant Christopher 13 Cantwell. It's on the social media website Minds or Wire. 14 It's from July 2017. And it's been marked for identification 15 as Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2571. And this will come in through 16 Mr. Cantwell. 17 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2571 marked.) BY MS. KAPLAN: 18 19 Can you read that social media post? 20 "America will never be free until the last kike is 21 strangled with the entrails of the last male Democrat." 22 So in order for America to be a free nation, the last Jew 23 must be strangled -- not just move away, but strangled -- with 24 the entrails of the last male Democrat. So, you know, I think 25 it's pretty clear that this is a war to the end and the only

- way to win it is to kill every, as the term used in the post,
 kike.

 Under the post in the post,
 post in the post in the post,
 and it is to kill every, as the term used in the post,
 and it is to kill every, as the term used in the post,
 and it is to kill every, as the term used in the post,
 and it is to kill every, as the term used in the post,
 and it is to kill every, as the term used in the post,
 and it is to kill every, as the term used in the post,
 and it is to kill every, as the term used in the post,
 and it is to kill every, as the term used in the post,
 and it is to kill every, as the term used in the post,
 and it is to kill every, as the term used in the post,
 and it is to kill every, as the term used in the post,
 and it is to kill every, as the term used in the post,
 and it is to kill every, as the term used in the post,
 and it is to kill every, as the term used in the post,
 and it is to kill every, as the term used in the post,
 and it is to kill every, as the term used in the post,
 and it is to kill every, as the term used in the post,
 and it is to kill every, as the term used in the post,
 and it is to kill every every
- 5 August 12th, 2017. This will come in through Mr. Ray. It's 6 Plaintiffs' Exhibit 3234.

speech that Mr. Ray gave at the Unite the Right rally on

- I want to show this to you in two pieces, although they're short, Professor.
 - Let's start with the first piece, which is 2645 through 2719, Mr. Spalding.
- 11 (Video playing.)

4

9

10

21

22

23

- 12 Let's pause it right there.
- I think we talked about this earlier, but *The Daily*Stormer on the sign, that's *The Daily Stormer* we were talking about earlier?
- 16 A Yes. That's right. "End Jewish control" under -- or "of America."
- Q Can you explain for the jury what Mr.-- I mean, the words speak for themselves, but how what Mr. Ray was saying relate to the themes we've been discussing today?
 - A You're under control of a Semitic tribe -- the Jew -- and it's control of the white people -- i.e., Jews are not white, and they are out to destroy you. And then the final phrase, "Did Hitler do anything wrong?" And yells: "No."
- 25 And there's a certain irony in there, because there's both

an adoption of Holocaust denial, "Holohoax," but at the same time, "Did Hitler do nothing wrong?" Well, in killing those six million he didn't do anything wrong.

Q I'm just going to play a second clip now that's 27:45 to 28:06.

(Video playing.)

What does "gas the kikes" mean?

A Well, "gas the kikes" is quite clearly a reference to the Holocaust: Gas the Jews.

The Third Reich, together with its allies, killed

people -- primarily Jews, but not only Jews -- in a number of

ways. One way was with bullets, the Holocaust by bullets, and

that's the work that I mentioned earlier of Father Patrick

Desbois, the French Catholic priest who has been working on

shooting sites in eastern Europe, where there were mass

shootings, but often very small, so we didn't know about them;

300 people there, 500 people there, et cetera, et cetera. So

many people were killed by bullets.

If you're going to be murdered, it doesn't matter how, if you're going to be murdered by a bullet or murdered by gas, but the killing implement -- a gun can be used for many things. It can be used for protection. It can be used to provide food for your family. It can be used for sport. A gun has many different purposes. But the Third Reich recognized that they wanted to kill all these Jews and there were just too many for

them to shoot, and they worried about the emotional impact -not on the victims, but there are memos where they talk about
the emotional impact on the killers, that our men and our boys
will become mean because they have to stand there at the pit
and shoot babies and old people and whomever, and the blood and
the guts is spilling -- flying all over their clothing, et
cetera -- they sought a faster, more efficient way of killing.
And they devised the system of gas chambers.

They had used them earlier, before the war, to kill people who today we might call handicapped, people who were mentally disabled, who had genetic diseases. And they moved those gas chambers, the workings of those gas chambers, to the death camps. And they devised ways of doing it and built special apparatus for killing people.

The reason we focus so much on the gas chambers and the gassings have become the symbol is that they served no other purpose. No -- there was nothing else you could do with those implements except kill people quickly and efficiently and not have the killers have to see -- you know, have to do the shooting and see the dead victims.

Q We're almost at the end, Professor, but I'm now going to turn to some of the symbolism that was used at Unite the Right.

And I want, if you would, Mr. Spalding, to pull up
Plaintiffs' -- Plaintiffs' Exhibit 341, which is a Discord post
from Defendant Nathan Damigo.

1 I'll represent that Fashy Haircut #9734 is Mr. Damigo. 2 And I'm going to ask you about the password that he states 3 there, "stopthehate1488." What is 1488? 4 5 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 341 marked.) 6 Well, the 14 Words; that we saw before. And 88 is another 7 way of sort of getting under the radar. H is the 8th letter of the alphabet, so "Heil Hitler" is -- often, when people such as 9 Fashy Haircut #9734 wants to refer to Adolf Hitler, he'll refer "88." It's very common. 10 11 Let's put up another Discord post, which is 12 Plaintiffs' 1057. 13 And I want to -- Mr. Spalding to focus on, actually, the photograph there. 14 15 And if you could explain to the jury --16 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1057 marked.) 17 Yes. 18 Go ahead, Professor. 19 What you see in the center there on the segment of the 20 Confederate flag is what's called the dark sun. And it's an 21 ancient Nordic symbol. It's not unique to the Third Reich, but 22 it became one of the symbols used, certainly by the SS, the 23 unit of German forces that conducted the Holocaust, or was in 24 charge of the Holocaust. 25 And at one point Himmler, who was the head of the SS and

1 who would have -- had he survived, would have become number two 2 once Hitler died, he built a spiritual retreat for the SS; not 3 a retreat where you go and relax and go fishing, but a spiritual retreat where you would be reimbued with the Nazi 4 5 ideology, with the need to understand that what you are doing 6 is a glorious page, to quote Himmler, "a glorious page in 7 German history never to be written." And in that castle which 8 he built for this SS retreat, in the mosaics on the floor you 9 have this symbol. So it has become a popular symbol amongst white nationalists. 10 11 If I may, Professor, I apologize if you've already said 12 this, but how do you say in German "Black Sun"? "Sonnen bund." 13 14 Let's turn to the next exhibit, which is another photograph. It's Plaintiffs' 2390. And this is a photograph 15 16 of Mr. Fields and some other gentlemen on August 12th. And I 17 want you to focus on the symbol on those black shields, including the one in the middle that Mr. Fields is holding. 18 19 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2390 marked.) 20 That is called the fasces, and it is a bundle of twigs 21 that has been bound together around an axe. It was used in 22 many places. 23 In fact, you'll even find it if you go north a little bit 24 and visit Washington, DC and you visit the Lincoln memorial. 25 You'll find it there. But there, it represents "e pluribus

D. Lipstadt - Direct

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

unum," one of the mottoes of the United States: "In many, we become one." But it was then adopted also by the Italian political party under Mussolini -- the Fascist Party, from "fasces" -- and it became a symbol of fascism. So for some people who want to avoid carrying something like the swastika, this might be a good sort of secret handshake. You know, people in the club know what it means. One more, Professor. Could we put up Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1979? This is a photograph from Unite the Right on August 12th. And I'd like you to focus on that kind of square flag at the top. (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1979 marked.) It's called the Othala rune, and it's also an ancient symbol, but it became very much associated with the Nazis. And some of the units; of the SS, of the German army, of ethnic Germans, the unit that served in Croatia and killed thousands of Croats. This was a symbol. So again, if you want to avoid certain symbols which we immediately look at and know that that's a Nazi symbol, such as the swastika, you might use something like this. MS. KAPLAN: Thank you for your time, Professor. have no further questions. THE WITNESS: You're welcome.

THE COURT: All right. Before we start the

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1393 Filed 11/03/21 Page 182 of 209 Page 14.
                           D. Lipstadt - Cross
 1
    cross-examination, I think we'll take a 20-minute recess and
 2
    then come back.
 3
    (Jury out, 2:54 p.m.)
 4
               (Recess.)
 5
              THE COURT: Ready to call the jury?
 6
              MS. KAPLAN: One very quick matter that the court
 7
    reporter asked me to put on the record. I broke my own promise
 8
    and I spoke too fast, and so one exhibit that I mentioned was
 9
    207 was actually 2071, to clarify. Apologize.
    (Jury in, 3:18 p.m.)
10
11
              THE COURT: You may be seated.
12
              Mr. Kolenich?
13
              MR. KOLENICH: I have no questions of this witness.
              THE COURT: All right.
14
15
              MR. SPENCER: I have no questions, Your Honor.
16
              MR. CANTWELL: Christopher Cantwell. I do.
17
                            CROSS-EXAMINATION
18
     BY MR. CANTWELL:
19
         Hello, Ms. Lipstadt. Did I say that right, Lipstadt?
20
    Α
         Lipstadt.
21
         Can you see on your screen this social media post from me?
22
         Yes.
23
              MR. CANTWELL: This is already in evidence, I
24
    believe, Plaintiffs' 2571. Can we show this to the jury?
```

25

THE COURT: Yes.

1 MS. KAPLAN: Just to clarify, Your Honor. I don't 2 think it was in evidence. We were showing it to -- Professor 3 Lipstadt is an expert. But the jury did see it. MR. CANTWELL: They did see it. Okay. So if we 4 5 could put it back up in that case. BY MR. CANTWELL: 6 7 This post from me dated July 15, 2017, do you want to read 8 that? 9 "America will never be free until the last kike is strangled with the entrails of the last male Democrat." 11 Okay. And do you understand that this quote is derived 12 from another quote? 13 No, I don't. 14 Have you ever heard anything about the last aristocrat 15 being strangled with the entrails of the last priest? 16 Yes, but I don't connect it to this. 17 Do you know what the origin of that quote is? 18 No, I don't. 19 MR. CANTWELL: Okay. And I think we already showed 20 the jury Plaintiffs' 2499 as well, right? 21 MS. KAPLAN: Yes. 22 MR. CANTWELL: So I'm just going to click over to 23 that tab, if that's all right. 24 THE COURT: I think anything that Ms. Kaplan showed 25 the witness, you can assume you can use too.

1 MR. CANTWELL: Okay. 2 BY MR. CANTWELL: 3 And so this was a post by Matthew Heimbach dated December 26, 2017. That's right in the center there. Do you see where 4 5 I'm referencing there? Yes, I do. 6 7 So this was a quote about the total destruction of Jewry, 8 right? 9 Α Yes. 10 And before the letter T in the word "the," what character 11 is that before that? 12 It's a little vague but it looks like a quotation mark. 13 That's a quotation mark. And what about at the end of 14 that post? At the end of that post, what is the character that's at the end of the --15 16 A quotation mark. 17 So does that appear that Matthew Heimbach is quoting 18 somebody else? 19 It appears Matthew Heimbach has put quotations marks 20 around a sentence that he put up. 21 And so in the course of your study of antisemitism did you 22 come across jokes about Jews? 23 Yes. Α 24 And did you -- and in the course of that, did you come 25 across any jokes that were just jokes?

- A I don't know what that means.
- 2 \blacksquare Q You don't know what it means to make a joke about Jews?
 - A I know what it means to make a joke about Jews. I don't
- 4 know what you mean by "just jokes."
- 5 Q Well, it seems to me that the implication that you're
- 6 drawing is that when people in these posts that you're looking
- 7 at in the activities of the defendants in this case, you're
- 8 saying that there is some kind of intent, a desire to destroy
- 9 the Jewish people. And I'm just wondering if you ever come
- 10 across somebody who just makes an antisemitic joke from time to
- 11 | time?

1

- 12 A They may just be making an antisemitic joke, but the fact
- 13 that they're making an antisemitic joke is a pretty striking
- 14 # thing, because if you make a joke at the expense of someone,
- 15 whether it's a joke of someone who is handicapped, a joke of
- 16 someone of color, and you say oh, it's just a joke, well, it
- 17 may not be just a joke.
- 18 Q Is it your opinion as an expert that there's no such thing
- 19 as an innocent racist joke?
- 20 A I would say I'm not an expert in jokes. There are people
- 21 | who work on that, who work on the rhetoric of jokes, etc. But
- 22 as an expert in antisemitism and in hate generally, I would say
- 23 yes, that's correct.
- Q Okay. So there's no such thing as an innocent antisemitic
- 25 **|**joke.

Have you ever heard the phrase, the saying, "two Jews, 1 2 three opinions"? 3 Yes. 4 And tell me your opinion about that saying. 5 Jews are -- Jewish tradition is the debating tradition, and you get many different opinions, and that there are 6 7 different opinions amongst Jews. That's a characteristic. 8 Is this a negative stereotype about the Jewish people? 9 Not necessarily. Depends how it's used. 10 Would you say that it's -- would you say there's any truth 11 to the stereotype from what you know? 12 No. 13 There's no truth to "two Jews, three opinions"? 14 I have taught in an academic setting for 40 years, and put two academics together, I'll get three opinions from them, too, 15 and they're not necessarily Jews. 16 17 Fair enough. 18 You also testified that the torches in the torchlight 19 rally from August 11th, that they were -- I don't want to --20 rather than me try to restate what you said, tell me what your 21 opinion was of the torches. 22 That those torches can have a benign import. You can have 23 a couple in your backyard to ward off mosquitoes or other pesky 24 bugs. But when used in a rally like that with the fire, with the marching at night, they evoke something, a propaganda 25

- 1 \parallel technique or practice that was very common in Nazi Germany.
- 2 \parallel Q And I think the words that you used earlier were, "for a
- 3 historian who studies this period, the connotation is
- 4 absolutely clear."
- 5 What about for somebody who is not a historian who studies
- 6 the period?

- A I didn't say only for a historian. I said for a historian
- 8 who studies the period.
- 9 Q But for somebody who was not a historian who studied the
- 10 period, they might not be as aware of it?
- 11 A They might. They might not.
- 12 Q What about somebody who had read Mein Kampf?
- 13 A Not necessarily.
- 14 ∥Q In your report I think you quoted Dr. Edna Friedberg, her
- 15 | piece in The Atlantic, saying that Hitler talked about the
- 16 torches in *Mein Kampf*. Is that --
- 17 A You'd have to show me that.
- 18 Q Well, maybe we don't need to go into it.
- 19 \parallel If somebody were going to make a joke about Jewish people,
- 20 | is there something that stands out about the Jewish people more
- 21 than other people in the course of history?
- 22 A That's a very vague question. I don't understand the
- 23 question.
- 24 Q If somebody was going to make a joke about the Jewish
- 25 people, would the Holocaust be an easy target?

1 I find it hard to imagine that using a genocide which 2 killed 6 million people, irrespective of their religion, their 3 identity, their nationality, a topic for jokes. MR. CANTWELL: Okay. No further questions. 4 5 THE COURT: Thank you. Anyone else? 6 MR. CAMPBELL: I have two questions, Judge. 7 THE COURT: All right. CROSS-EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. CAMPBELL: 9 Good afternoon, Dr. Lipstadt. 10 11 Good afternoon. 12 I represent James Fields. Just a couple questions for you, Doctor. 13 The jury was shown Plaintiffs' 3642, which was a Twitter 14 post by @TheNewGiantDad which included, I think you said, the 15 14 Words? 16 17 Correct. Α 18 And a photograph of Hitler. 19 Ma'am, you're not here to offer any testimony as to 20 whether that had any relation to the Unite the Right rally; is that correct? 21 22 It was cited -- I believe it was cited at the Unite the 23 Right rally. 24 Yes, ma'am. But this post in April --

25

Α

No.

Mr. Cantwell asked you a series of questions or a couple of

Sines, et al. v. Kessler, et al., 3:17CV72, 11/03/2021 questions about whether there was a quotation mark at the 2 beginning --3 Right, correct. 4 -- and at the end; do you recall that? 5 Yes, I do. Can you look at the quote right above it and please read 6 7 that into the record? 8 "Hey, by the way, what I've got y'all here, I'm writing 9 the section of the book on Jews. Thoughts on this for a closer?" 10 11 And who posted that? 12 Matthew Heimbach. 13 MS. KAPLAN: No further questions, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Thank you. You may be excused. 15 16 Who is your next witness? 17 MS. KAPLAN: So what we're going to do right now, 18 Your Honor, is put in -- make a presentation of documents from 19 Defendant Azzmador. We're going to have a little bit of a 20 switching of the guard, Your Honor, if you don't mind. 21 MR. ISAACSON: Your Honor, I will be publishing the 22 exhibits for Robert Ray. We have agreed on these exhibits with 23 the defendants. We have agreed that they may be admitted. 24 Rather than admit them one by one, I have a list of them that I

can give to the court reporter and the clerk so that they can

be admitted as a whole to save time.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. ISAACSON: I will be presenting evidence, documents and videos, from Defendant Robert Ray, who is absent from this proceeding. And my name is Bill Isaacson.

Mr. Spalding?

The first exhibit is dated February 19th, 2017. It is from the Discord server that is stated at the upper left-hand corner of this document. This is Plaintiffs' Exhibit 536 and it is part of the #general channel on this server. It is a post from Azzmador, which is the name that Robert Ray uses on Discord.

And there you see his post on that day.

The next exhibit is dated March 4th of 2017. This is a direct message between Robert Ray, Azzmador, and Matthew Heimbach. You will see that Azzmador sends a link. Matthew Heimbach responds, and he says, "I'm gonna apologize in advance. I do not feel very well, but we are getting this done." The link was to Discord. That was Exhibit 581.

Then we have here on the next page, after he says that he doesn't feel very well, we're getting this done,

Azzmador says -- tells him where to click. "No problem."

The next exhibit is Exhibit 2797. You will see that Robert Ray, Azzmador, is writing in *The Daily Stormer*. This is April 20th, 2017, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2797. This article that

he is writing under the name "Azzmador" is wishing "happy birthday to my leader," who you see pictured there, Adolf Hitler.

On the second page of the article, Robert Ray writes,

"Adolf Hitler was the greatest political leader of the 20th

century and most likely of all time. He was a man of vision

and of action. He loved his people and he came along at a time

when they needed him the most."

You will see on page 3 of this exhibit another photo of Adolf Hitler with "Heil Hitler."

The next exhibit is Plaintiffs' Exhibit 3710. It is dated May 12th, 2017. This is in the Aquilonia server on Discord in the #general channel. Azzmador writes, "This is the modern era, Zeiger, we prefer aluminum baseball bats now." And then he talks about whacking someone's skull and the sound that it will make. And you can see that on your screen.

The next exhibit is dated June 15, 2017. So this is slightly less than two months to August 11th. This is on the Latveria Discord server in the #general channel. And here you can see what Azzmador, Robert Ray, posted that day. That was Exhibit 393.

The next exhibit is 351. This is now June 29th of 2017 and this is a direct message between Azzmador, or Robert Ray, and Andrew Anglin, another absent defendant, he of *The Daily Stormer*. And you can see where Andrew Anglin writes,

"Anyway the battle flag with a swastika in the middle would be more triggering. Otherwise I'd do straight battle flag."

Robert Ray, Azzmador, responds, "The swastika in the middle is fine by me. It might upset the neoconfederate guys not because of the swastika but because they consider these flags sacred.

Either way is okay by me, though."

The next exhibit is Exhibit 502. It is on the Thunderdome server of Discord, which is The Daily Stormer server. It is dated June 29th. And there you will see that Robert Ray posts: "Soon it will be doable. I want to invest in race-specific bioweapons." And he makes reference to something more specific.

The next exhibit is Plaintiffs' Exhibit 3693. It is dated July 16th, 2017, less than a month to August 11th, and Robert Ray is posting on the Vanguard South District server in the #general channel. And he writes or posts: "Hatred absolutely comes naturally to us. The Jews have spent two millennia trying to purge it. Hate may be the most important attribute we ever had. There is absolutely nothing wrong with hate. When one lacks hate, one will not act in the interest of his race and nation. It is natural and good that one hates one's enemy."

The next exhibit is also dated July 16 of 2017. It is Exhibit 3705. It is also in the Vanguard South District Discord server in the #general channel, where Robert Ray

writes: "When you go to war, you should always behave like beasts, killing machines. There is no place for codes and treaties and 'fair treatment.' War means the death of your enemy, the destruction of his ability to ever challenge you again, the erasure of his name and legacy from history.

Anything less is not victory."

The next exhibit is Exhibit 445 and is July 17, 2017, again on the Vanguard South District server on the #general channel. Azzmador writes to someone named RCO Nick-TX: "We've been doing a background check via several methods and then vetting in person." He continues: "The Discord thing is serious business, though. There's a lot of crossover between these groups, and the servers all have to be airtight, and you can't be letting people in there until they're at least vetted to prospect level."

The next exhibit is 363. This is a direct message on July 18th, 2017. This is -- Azzmador writes on that day:

"There are 2,000 Antifa coming. You gonna represent?" And he continues: "I'll be there gassing these people. All that shit is an excuse. You're never gonna find an event that only has people you like at it. This is a war, not a party."

The next exhibit is dated July 18th, 2017. It is Plaintiffs' Exhibit 396. It is in the Latveria Discord server in the #general channel, where Azzmador writes: "Apparently one of the divisions going to the rally will be sporting

shields." He continues: "Good idea, but me personally, I don't do shields and helmets. I think being bareheaded and barehanded as a prominent figure is a good look. No fear, etc."

The next exhibit is 3700, dated July 19th, 2017.

It's in the Vanguard South District server in the #general channel. Robert Ray writes: "There was no program to kill Jews. They were forced to work for a few years and now we'll never hear the end of it. In many cases the Jews in the camps fared far better than the German populations in the neighboring towns."

The next exhibit is Exhibit 976. It is dated

July 21st, 2019. Now we are in the Charlottesville 2.0 Discord

server in the #general channel. Robert Ray writes: "Thus far,

I'm batting 1000. No Antifa or oathcucker has been able to

withstand me and my bully squad at any event."

The next exhibit is Exhibit 979, dated July 22nd,

2017. It is in the Charlottesville 1.0 server in the

#general_1 channel. A poster named Ignis Faatus says: "So I'm

thinking about renting a woodchipper from Home Depot for the

event." Robert Ray responds: "Portable ovens are where it's

at."

The next Exhibit is dated July 23rd, 2017. It is Exhibit 1109. It is in the Charlottesville 2.0 server in the channel called #safety planning. Someone named McCarthy says:

"Hey Azzmador, is there a single point of contact for all the Stormer guys regarding security planning?" Azzmador responds:
"No." Tyrone, who has been identified as Michael Chesny in this case, also responds: "Stormer book clubs are highly decentralized by design. Even at the local level they are a loose association of individuals. A few small groups here or there may be mobilized, but any actions for them might as well be addressed to the event at large." McCarthy says: "All right. Well, if the Stormer guys are bringing shields and want to hold a perimeter, have them get in contact with Heinz."

The next exhibit is dated July 23rd, 2017. It is in the Charlottesville 2.0 server in a channel titled #antifa_watch. It is Exhibit 1184. Azzmador writes to someone named Whiskey Sierra: "If the police get stand down orders and our whole mob shows up and Antifa is occupying the park there is going to be an all out brawl. Good. Bring it on." Tyrone, Michael Chesny, says: "What if we are sociopathic and want them to show up, for... self-defense purposes?"

The next exhibit is a direct message. It is

Exhibit 348. It is dated July 23rd, 2017. It is once more

with RCO Nick. Robert Ray says: "The last step is Kessler

himself. DS" -- Daily Stormer -- "guys were giving him shit

over not having any Daily Stormer speakers at the event. So he

contacted me last night and we're supposed to talk this

afternoon about me speaking." He continues: "And the last

thing he wants, if he's smart, is to have the 1488ers less than enthusiastic."

The next exhibit, Exhibit 349, is dated July 23rd, 2017. It is again a direct message with RCO Nick. Robert Ray writes: "I'm a white supremacist. Apparently that's the term you have to use to be clear with these idiots." RCO Nick responds: "Yeah, I say WN" -- which we believe is white nationalist -- "because you can't confuse that." Robert Ray writes: "Yeah, but even WN confuses people because they think that means you'll settle for a little sliver of the USA as a white reservation."

The next exhibit is Exhibit 426, dated July 23rd.

This is a post by Robert Ray, Azzmador, on a server called Bowl

Patrol.

The next exhibit is dated July 23rd, 2017. It is Exhibit 448. It is in the Vanguard South District server in the #general channel. Robert Ray writes: "Listen to me very carefully. Not a single Jew was gassed."

The next exhibit is also dated July 23rd, 2017. It is in the Charlottesville 2.0. It is in the channel #questions_for_coordinators where someone named Stannisthemannis says: "I'm conceal carrying. I mean, I don't go to the grocery store unarmed let alone this LOL. But that's for extremely last resort. I have other less lethal alternatives like a fire extinguisher, mainly in case we face

Molotovs." Robert Ray writes: "Well, I always come barehanded and bareheaded, because officers don't duck LOL. But my guys will be ready with lots of nifty equipment."

The next exhibit is 3766, dated July 25th, 2017, and it has this picture on page 2, which someone named Vic Macken has sent to Robert Ray, and to which he responds: "Ha ha."

The next exhibit is Exhibit 542. It is dated

July 27, 2017. Robert Ray writes in the server that is identified up above in the #charlottesville-contact channel.

He says: "Myself and some of the other Daily Stormer guys are gonna be out front with a big bully squad hogging all the attention and gassing all the kikes."

The next exhibit is dated July 28th, 2017. It is in the Thunderdome server, The Daily Stormer server, in the #events channel. Robert Ray writes: "I just got done with an hours-long chat with some of the event organizers and I feel better about the thing. The plan is the same: Gas the kikes, PR war now, plenty of trolling and lulz."

The next exhibit is Exhibit 399, July 30th, 2017, in the Latveria server in the #general channel. Someone named Lee writes to Azzmador: "Just as an FYI, I've got my travel booked to Virginia. Let me know when you've got logistics planned out for the event." Azzmador, Robert Ray, responds: "Will do. It's going along quite well actually. My DFW" -- which we believe is Dallas/Fort Worth -- "SBC" -- Stormer Book Club --

"guys are making shields, as are Vanguard and several others.

We already have several people bringing megaphones. I have a rough sketch of how we'll form up, and of course I'm bringing the banner. We'll have some guys hold that and we'll stand in front of it."

The next exhibit is dated July 31st, 2017. It is Plaintiffs' Exhibit 375. This is in the Azzmador server in his #general channel. He posts a link to Operational Security for Right Wing Rallies, and he says: "Everyone go to your local Dollar Store or Walmart and get cheap tiki torches for the Charlottesville event. There will be a torch march."

The next exhibit is now dated August 1st, 2017. It is Exhibit 3760. It is in the Thunderdome server of The Daily Stormer in the #events channel. Robert Ray writes: "Wear a Daily Stormer tee if you have one, a dark plain tee if you don't. Jeans or cargo pants. New Balances or boots. No military attire other than boots if you like, etc." Someone posting under the name khaos156 says: "I might show up with Vanguard America wearing a Daily Stormer tee." Robert Ray responds: "If you're a member of Vanguard, dress like them." And khaos responds: "Yep, I will personally."

The next exhibit is August 2nd, 2017, again in the Thunderdome server in the #events channel. Azzmador writes he wants to gas two groups -- excuse me. He says: "Gas the dune monkeys." And then he says: "Gas the N word too."

1 The next exhibit is August 3rd, 2017. It's in the 2 Latveria Discord server in the #general channel. Robert Ray 3 writes: "Yeah, it's a hardcore banner. When it arrived and I unrolled it, it had visions of pogroms and gas chambers, LOL." 4 5 He continues, in all capital letters: "END JEWISH INFLUENCE IN AMERICA." He says: "Beautiful man." Lee says: "I think it 6 7 says END JEWISH CONTROL OF AMERICA." Robert Ray responds: "Yeah, maybe so. It's in the container." 8 August 3rd, 2017, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 449. We are 9 again in the Vanguard South District server in the #general 10 11 channel. Someone named SonderSchutz says: "Ah, Antifa is 12 fucked now." 13 Azzmador responds: "I'm looking forward to BLM more 14 than Antifa. Blacks are the easiest people on earth to trigger. I predict some gloriously hilarious chimping." 15 16 Also on August 3rd, Plaintiffs' 450, also in the 17 Vanguard South server and in the #general channel, someone 18 posting under Hyperborean Vanguard posts this picture of 19 Vanguard helmets, and Robert Ray responds: "You can get cheap 20 motorcycle helmets on Amazon that offer better protection and 21 look a lot cooler." 22 The next exhibit, also dated August 3rd, 2017, 23 Plaintiffs' Exhibit 5112. It is in the Thunderdome server of 24 The Daily Stormer in the #events channel. Someone named Pat says: "Hate things that are worthy of hate, and the Jews and 25

the antiwhite movement deserve a whole lot of hate." And Robert Ray responds: "Yeah, hate and gas."

August 6, 2017, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 356. This is a direct message with Robert Ray and Thomas Commander, who has been identified as Thomas Rousseau of Vanguard. There you will see the rally poster saying: "Unite the Right. Join Azzmador and The Daily Stormer to end Jewish influence in America."

The next exhibit, August 7th, 2017, Plaintiffs'

Exhibit 367. This is in the Azzmador server in the

#announcements channel. Robert Ray writes: "I need everyone
to get together, and by Tuesday night I need you guys to have
picked two officers, which for the time being will be known as
Storm leaders, from Stormers who are going to Charlottesville.
This is a temporary position. You are not electing Stormer
Book Club officers. It's just for the event. I also need at
least two bodyguards, as the event planners said each VIP -LOL, that just means a person speaking -- needs a security
detail. I have already asked" -- and then there is a handle
there -- "to be the head of my security detail. So one or two
volunteers to work with him will be fine. Note: One person
can be both security and a Storm leader. The two positions
need not be mutually exclusive."

He continues: "This is to ensure we will have order and leadership with an agreed-upon hierarchy with the authority to command our guys and make sure the group functions as a

Sines, et al. v. Kessler, et al., 3:17CV72, 11/03/2021 1 unit, with no stragglers and no cowboys leaving the group for 2 one-on-one fights." 3 August 7, 2017, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 358. Again, these are direct messages with Robert Ray. "I got to get to 4 5 work now," he says. "Professional racism takes no breaks." And then there's a winking smiley face. White Trash says: 7 "Make it glorious, my friend. I'll bring at least eight shields." Robert Ray responds: "Okay. Great." 8 August 7th, 2017, Exhibit 408. The Latveria server 9 in the #general channel, to Andrew Anglin of The Daily Stormer, 10 11 Robert Ray writes: "I'm writing a Charlottesville story now. 12 I've been in chats all evening getting everything coordinated 13 to get our guys supplied, updated, and secured with a ride to the event and then another logistics chat with the organizers. 14 This shit is incredibly complex. In the last three days I must 15 16 have spent 50 hours networking and planning with various groups 17 within and without the organization." 18 He also posted on August 7th, 2017, on the Latveria 19 server in the #general channel, this meme. 20 On August 8th -- that was Plaintiffs' Exhibit 409. 21 Plaintiffs' Exhibit 410 is on August 8th. It's the 22 Latveria server in the #general channel. Azzmador writes: 23 "Yeah, our guys need to get a grip on the fact that they're 24 probably going have to physically fight these people. A lot of

this wanting guns and such is driven by their belief that if

someone tries to attack them they can point a gun and it's over. That's far from true."

Also on August 8th, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 412. This is the Latveria server in the #general channel. Azzmador writes:
"I guess I should put an update at THEW that says we're going whether they give us the permit back or not."

Plaintiffs' Exhibit 413, also dated August 8th. The Latveria server in the #general channel. Robert Ray writes:

"I posed this question to one of these cucked militia idiots who posted a video saying they were gonna be there to prevent violence. I asked, how do you retards propose to prevent violence by shooting people? No reply thus far." Andrew Anglin responds: "Yeah, you prevent violence by having big guys, juiced or not, who are trained to fight." Robert Ray responds: "We have those aplenty."

Also on August 8th. This is the Latveria server in the #general channel. Azzmador says: "My guys have built the best, strongest shields you ever saw." Andrew Anglin says: "What you want are big guys ready to choke someone out in seven seconds."

There are pictures of the shields on the following pages.

Also on August 8th, 2017, in the Vanguard server in the #general channel, Azzmador posts a link: "Charlottesville, we are still going. Why you must attend and what to bring and

not to bring." And Ronny TX posts this mockup picture of Mr. Heimbach.

Plaintiffs' Exhibit 415, August 8th, the Latveria server in the #general channel. Azzmador says: "The only thing I'm gonna have is pepper spray and my commie-whacking cane, LOL." He says: "The laws on the pepper spray are it's fine if you spray an attacker, but you get charged if you hit the wrong person. That's why I told the readers to only get a particular brand of pepper gel I use. It's almost impossible to hit the wrong person with it."

August 8th, Exhibit 518, the Thunderdome server of

The Daily Stormer in the #events channel. Robert Ray writes:

"If you want to be armed, bring a shield, a flag on a flagpole,
and OC pepper gel."

On August 9th on the Azzmador server in the #general channel, Azzmador writes to @everyone: "I couldn't possibly be more proud of you guys or happier with the way these shields turned out. I will be both elated and humbled to stand with you men and address the media and fight the hordes of filth and scum." And then he writes, in all capital letters: "THIS IS OUR DAY. CHARLOTTESVILLE WILL BE OURS. TEXAS WILL BE OURS.

THE WORLD WILL BE OURS," above the picture of Adolf Hitler.

On August 9th, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 419. In the Latveria server in the #general channel, Robert Ray says:

"Check this out. My boys have been hard at it," with this

Ray on August 12. It is clips from the livestream.

(Video playing.)

21

22

23

24

25

The next clip is at Emancipation Park that day.

(Video playing.)

The next exhibit is 2112, also at Emancipation Park on August 12th.

MR. ISAACSON: Elliot Kline.

25

MS. KAPLAN: We agree, Your Honor.

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: We'll do that in the morning, then.

MR. ISAACSON: And, Your Honor, I'll hand up the written list of the exhibits from the Ray presentation.

```
Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1393 Filed 11/03/21 Page 208 of 209 Pageid#. 23909
          Sines, et al. v. Kessler, et al., 3:17CV72, 11/03/2021
  1
     (Proceedings adjourned, 4:57 p.m.)
 2
  3
  4
  5
  6
  7
 8
  9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Case 3:17-cv-00072-NKM-JCH Document 1393 Filed 11/03/21 Page 209 of 209 Page id #. 23910

Sines, et al. v. Kessler, et al., 3:17CV72, 11/03/2021

CERTIFICATE

I, Lisa M. Blair, RMR/CRR, Official Court Reporter for the United States District Court for the Western District of Virginia, appointed pursuant to the provisions of Title 28, United States Code, Section 753, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript of the proceedings reported by me using the stenotype reporting method in conjunction with computer-aided transcription, and that same is a true and correct transcript to the best of my ability and understanding.

I further certify that the transcript fees and format comply with those prescribed by the Court and the Judicial Conference of the United States.

/s/ Lisa M. Blair Date: November 3, 2021